

consumers in its advertising of the types of prescriptions that do not qualify, enabling ineligible consumers to avoid the wasted time and expense of traveling to a center and obtaining a consultation. (Complaint Para. 36).

Notably, though, the complaint explains that “[e]ligibility for vision correction surgery depends upon various factors, including a patient’s prescription level, the thickness of the cornea, the size of the pupil, and the stability of the prescription.” (Complaint Para. 7.) In addition, the complaint notes that “Respondent sets surgery price guidelines and parameters, including which prescriptions are eligible for certain pricing, but generally leave decisions as to a patient’s eligibility for LASIK surgery, and the appropriate type of surgery and laser, to the judgment of its surgeons and optometrists.” (Complaint Para. 7.) The company’s centers use two types of laser surgery and the complaint states that the decision of which type to use to correct a patient’s eyesight is left to the surgeon. (Complaint Paras. 6–7.)

It has been said that medicine is as much an art as a science.<sup>1</sup> Even as described in the complaint, eligibility for the surgery—and, as a secondary matter, pricing for those who are good LASIK candidates—present complicated and nuanced questions whose answers depend on the outcome of the eye examination and the judgement of the attending surgeon. There are no clear rules about who does and does not qualify for the two types of LASIK surgery offered at LCA-Vision centers. I believe there could be instances in which patients facially may appear to qualify for the price but, after thorough examination, are found not to qualify because of medical conditions or complications identified during consultation. I also believe there could be instances in which some patients who at first blush may appear to be ineligible in fact end up qualifying for the promotional pricing following consultation due to the discretion the attending surgeon enjoys.

Moreover, I believe the free eye exam provides significant value to the potential patient. Even consumers who do not qualify for promotional pricing learn detailed information about their vision, prescription, and eligibility for LASIK. As a result of this examination, LASIK candidates could learn that their prescriptions have changed, or that they

show signs of glaucoma or other eye health issues that might require medical intervention. While the attractive prices advertised by LCA-Vision may have encouraged consumers to schedule consultations, I do not agree that this battery of comprehensive medical exams constitutes a waste of time. To the contrary, I believe that these free, comprehensive exams provide significant value to consumers, and that this value likely outweighs any potential injury that may have resulted from the allegedly deceptive advertising.

Thus, I am not convinced that the claims here constitute deceptive claims in violation of the FTC Act. LCA-Vision offered a price that is available to some consumers and did disclose that there were eligibility requirements. I agree that the disclosures noting eligibility requirements and the need for an examination to determine if one qualifies could have been presented more clearly in LCA-Vision’s advertising. But I am concerned that requiring the inclusion of specific medical parameters in advertisements, when those parameters could be either over- or under-inclusive depending upon the results of the consultation, could be more confusing than helpful.

For these reasons, I dissent.

[FR Doc. 2023–02375 Filed 2–3–23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6750–01–P**

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Docket No. 9407]

### HomeAdvisor, Inc.; Analysis of Proposed Consent Order to Aid Public Comment

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed consent agreement; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of Federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices. The attached Analysis of Proposed Consent Order to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the complaint and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before March 8, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested parties may file comments online or on paper by following the instructions in the Request for Comment part of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below. Please write “HomeAdvisor, Inc.; Docket No. 9407” on your comment and

file your comment online at <https://www.regulations.gov> by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, please mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite CC–5610 (Annex P), Washington, DC 20580.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sophia Calderón (206–220–4486), Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20580.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule § 2.34, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of 30 days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained at <https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/commission-actions>.

You can file a comment online or on paper. For the Commission to consider your comment, we must receive it on or before March 8, 2023. Write “HomeAdvisor, Inc.; Docket No. 9407” on your comment. Your comment—including your name and your state—will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including, to the extent practicable, on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website.

Because of heightened security screening, postal mail addressed to the Commission will be subject to delay. We strongly encourage you to submit your comments online through the <https://www.regulations.gov> website.

If you prefer to file your comment on paper, write “HomeAdvisor, Inc.; Docket No. 9407” on your comment and on the envelope, and mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite CC–5610 (Annex P), Washington, DC 20580.

Because your comment will be placed on the publicly accessible website at <https://www.regulations.gov>, you are solely responsible for making sure your comment does not include any sensitive or confidential information. In particular, your comment should not include sensitive personal information, such as your or anyone else’s Social

<sup>1</sup>Joseph Herman, *Medicine: the science and the art*, 27 J. Med. Ethics: Medical Humanities 42 (2001) (discussing that “[m]edicine has been said to be both a science and an art” and describing scientific and artistic writings that demonstrate this point), available at: <https://mh.bmj.com/content/27/1/42>.

Security number; date of birth; driver's license number or other state identification number, or foreign country equivalent; passport number; financial account number; or credit or debit card number. You are also solely responsible for making sure your comment does not include sensitive health information, such as medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, your comment should not include any "trade secret or any commercial or financial information which . . . is privileged or confidential"—as provided by section 6(f) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule § 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2)—including competitively sensitive information such as costs, sales statistics, inventories, formulas, patterns, devices, manufacturing processes, or customer names.

Comments containing material for which confidential treatment is requested must be filed in paper form, must be clearly labeled "Confidential," and must comply with FTC Rule § 4.9(c). In particular, the written request for confidential treatment that accompanies the comment must include the factual and legal basis for the request and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. *See* FTC Rule § 4.9(c). Your comment will be kept confidential only if the General Counsel grants your request in accordance with the law and the public interest. Once your comment has been posted on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website—as legally required by FTC Rule § 4.9(b)—we cannot redact or remove your comment from that website, unless you submit a confidentiality request that meets the requirements for such treatment under FTC Rule § 4.9(c), and the General Counsel grants that request.

Visit the FTC website at <http://www.ftc.gov> to read this document and the news release describing the proposed settlement. The FTC Act and other laws the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding, as appropriate. The Commission will consider all timely and responsive public comments it receives on or before March 8, 2023. For information on the Commission's privacy policy, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, see <https://www.ftc.gov/site-information/privacy-policy>.

#### **Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment**

The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission") has accepted,

subject to final approval, an agreement containing a consent order from HomeAdvisor, Inc. ("HomeAdvisor"). The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for 30 days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After 30 days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement and take appropriate action or make final the agreement's proposed order.

This matter involves HomeAdvisor's advertising and sale of its membership and leads products to home service providers. Count I of the complaint alleges HomeAdvisor violated section 5(a) of the FTC Act by disseminating advertisements and marketing that misrepresent that HomeAdvisor's leads: (1) concern individuals who intend to hire service providers soon, (2) will match the types and locations of work selected by service providers, and (3) concern individuals who intentionally sought out HomeAdvisor's assistance in finding a service provider. Count II of the complaint alleges that HomeAdvisor disseminated false and unsubstantiated advertisements and marketing concerning the rate at which HomeAdvisor's leads convert into paying jobs. Count III of the complaint alleges that HomeAdvisor misrepresented that the first month of its mHelpDesk add-on subscription was free.

The proposed consent order includes injunctive relief that addresses these alleged violations and contains provisions designed to prevent HomeAdvisor from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future. The proposed consent order also requires HomeAdvisor to pay up to \$7,200,000 to the Commission to be used for consumer redress. Provision I prohibits HomeAdvisor from making false and/or unsubstantiated representations regarding its products. Provision I.A prohibits HomeAdvisor from misrepresenting central characteristics of its leads, including that the leads concern individuals who intend to hire service providers soon, that they concern projects that will match service providers' stated task type and location preferences, and that they concern individuals who submitted a request concerning home services directly to HomeAdvisor. Provision I.A also prohibits HomeAdvisor from misrepresenting products as free. Provision I.B prohibits HomeAdvisor from making any representation regarding the rate at which

HomeAdvisor's leads convert into paying jobs unless that representation is non-misleading and supported by data or written materials in HomeAdvisor's possession when the claim is made.

Provision II requires HomeAdvisor to pay up to \$7,200,000 to the Commission for purpose of consumer redress, with an initial payment of \$4,448,000. Provision III provides for a redress program that would administer two redress funds. The first fund would make payments of up to \$30 to service providers identified as affected by the practices at issue in Counts I and II of the complaint. The second fund would make payments of up to \$59.99 to service providers identified as affected by the practices at issue in Count III of the complaint and who submit a claim for payment. The Commission or its designee will administer the redress programs, with expenses to be paid from the redress funds. Provision IV contains language necessary to aid in the enforceability by the Commission of any debt accruing pursuant to this proposed order, including, but not limited to, in any subsequent bankruptcy litigation. Provision V requires HomeAdvisor to provide the Commission with customer information necessary to administer the redress program.

Provisions VI through IX of the proposed order relate to compliance reporting and monitoring. Provision VI is an order acknowledgment and distribution provision requiring HomeAdvisor to acknowledge the order, to provide the order to current and future owners, managers, business partners, certain employees, and to obtain an acknowledgement from each such person that they received a copy of the order. Provision VII requires HomeAdvisor to submit a compliance report ninety days after the order is entered, and to promptly notify the Commission of corporate changes that may affect compliance obligations. Provision VIII requires HomeAdvisor to maintain, and upon request make available, certain compliance-related records. Provision IX requires HomeAdvisor to provide additional information or compliance reports, as requested. Provision X states that the proposed order will remain in effect for 20 years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to aid public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the complaint or proposed order, or to modify in any way the proposed order's terms.

By direction of the Commission.

**April J. Tabor,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2023-02383 Filed 2-3-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6750-01-P**

## UNITED STATES AGENCY FOR GLOBAL MEDIA

### USAGM Performance Review Board Members

**AGENCY:** United States Agency for  
Global Media.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Agency for  
Global Media (USAGM) announces the  
members of its SES Performance Review  
Board (PRB).

**ADDRESSES:** USAGM Office of Human  
Resources, 330 Independence Ave. SW,  
Washington, DC 20237.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Ellona Fritschie, Senior Advisor, at  
[efritschie@usagm.gov](mailto:efritschie@usagm.gov) or (202) 382-7500.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In  
accordance with 5 U.S.C. 4314, USAGM  
publishes this notice announcing the  
individuals who will serve as members  
of the PRB for a term of one year. The  
PRB is responsible for: (1) reviewing  
performance appraisals and ratings of  
Senior Executive Service and Senior  
Level members; and (2) making  
recommendations on other performance  
management issues, such as pay  
adjustments, bonuses, and Presidential  
Rank Awards. The names, position  
titles, and appointment types of each  
member of the PRB are set forth below:

1. Yolanda Lopez, Voice of America Director,  
Limited Term SES
2. Grant Turner, Chief Financial Officer,  
Career SES
3. James Reeves, Chief Information Officer,  
Career SES

Dated: January 9, 2023.

**Armanda Matthews,**

*Program Support Specialist, U.S. Agency for  
Global Media.*

[FR Doc. 2023-02396 Filed 2-3-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8610-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60 Day-23-1027; Docket No. CDC-2023-  
0008]

### Proposed Data Collection Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

**AGENCY:** Centers for Disease Control and  
Prevention (CDC), Department of Health  
and Human Services (HHS).

**ACTION:** Notice with comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Centers for Disease  
Control and Prevention (CDC), as part of  
its continuing effort to reduce public  
burden and maximize the utility of  
government information, invites the  
general public and other federal  
agencies the opportunity to comment on  
a continuing information collection, as  
required by the Paperwork Reduction  
Act of 1995. This notice invites  
comment on a proposed information  
collection project titled Generic  
Clearance for the Collection of  
Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service  
Delivery. This Generic Clearance is  
designed to garner qualitative customer  
and stakeholder feedback in an efficient,  
timely manner, in accordance with the  
Administration's commitment to  
improving service delivery.

**DATES:** CDC must receive written  
comments on or before April 7, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments,  
identified by Docket No. CDC-2023-  
0008 by either of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:*  
[www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). Follow the  
instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail:* Jeffrey M. Zirger, Information  
Collection Review Office, Centers for  
Disease Control and Prevention, 1600  
Clifton Road NE, MS H21-8, Atlanta,  
Georgia 30329.

*Instructions:* All submissions received  
must include the agency name and  
Docket Number. CDC will post, without  
change, all relevant comments to  
[www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov).

*Please note:* Submit all comments  
through the Federal eRulemaking portal  
([www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov)) or by U.S. mail to  
the address listed above.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To  
request more information on the  
proposed project or to obtain a copy of  
the information collection plan and  
instruments, contact Jeffrey M. Zirger,  
Information Collection Review Office,  
Centers for Disease Control and  
Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road NE, MS  
H21-8, Atlanta, Georgia 30329;

Telephone: 404-639-7570; Email: [omb@cdc.gov](mailto:omb@cdc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under the  
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA)  
(44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), federal agencies  
must obtain approval from the Office of  
Management and Budget (OMB) for each  
collection of information they conduct  
or sponsor. In addition, the PRA also  
requires federal agencies to provide a  
60-day notice in the **Federal Register**  
concerning each proposed collection of  
information, including each new  
proposed collection, each proposed  
extension of existing collection of  
information, and each reinstatement of  
previously approved information  
collection before submitting the  
collection to the OMB for approval. To  
comply with this requirement, we are  
publishing this notice of a proposed  
data collection as described below.

The OMB is particularly interested in  
comments that will help:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed  
collection of information is necessary  
for the proper performance of the  
functions of the agency, including  
whether the information will have  
practical utility;
2. Evaluate the accuracy of the  
agency's estimate of the burden of the  
proposed collection of information,  
including the validity of the  
methodology and assumptions used;
3. Enhance the quality, utility, and  
clarity of the information to be  
collected;
4. Minimize the burden of the  
collection of information on those who  
are to respond, including through the  
use of appropriate automated,  
electronic, mechanical, or other  
technological collection techniques or  
other forms of information technology,  
*e.g.*, permitting electronic submissions  
of responses; and
5. Assess information collection costs.

### Proposed Project

Generic Clearance for the Collection  
of Qualitative Feedback on Agency  
Service Delivery (OMB Control No.  
0920-1027, Exp. 8/31/2023)—  
Extension—National Center for HIV/  
AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB  
Prevention (NCHHSTP), Centers for  
Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

### Background and Brief Description

CDC is requesting a three-year  
Extension for the data collection titled  
Generic Clearance for the Collection of  
Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service  
Delivery (OMB Control No. 0920-1027).  
During the past three-year approval  
period, eight GenICs consisting of 750  
responses have been submitted for  
approval. The collections included web-