

The vast majority of retailers and warrantors already have developed systems to provide the information the Rule requires. Compliance by retailers typically entails keeping warranties on file electronically, in binders or otherwise, and posting an inexpensive sign indicating warranty availability. Warrantor compliance under the 2016 amendments entails providing retailers, together with the warranted good, a copy of the warranty or the address of the warrantor's internet website where the consumer can review and obtain the warranty terms, along with the contact information where the consumer may use a non-internet based method to obtain a free copy of the warranty terms. Commission staff believes that, in light of the amendments, annual capital or other non-labor costs will remain de minimis.

Request for Comments

Pursuant to Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, the FTC invites comments on: (1) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of maintaining records and providing disclosures to consumers. All comments must be received on or before March 10, 2023.

You can file a comment online or on paper. For the FTC to consider your comment, we must receive it on or before March 10, 2023. Write "Paperwork Reduction Act Comment: FTC File No. P072108" on your comment. Your comment—including your name and your state—will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including the <https://www.regulations.gov> website.

Due to the public health emergency in response to the COVID-19 outbreak and the agency's heightened security screening, postal mail addressed to the Commission will be subject to delay. We encourage you to submit your comments online through the <https://www.regulations.gov> website.

If you prefer to file your comment on paper, write "Paperwork Reduction Act Comment: FTC File No. P072108" on your comment and on the envelope, and mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite CC-

5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20580; or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW, 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20024. If possible, submit your paper comment to the Commission by courier or overnight service.

Because your comment will become publicly available at <https://www.regulations.gov>, you are solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive or confidential information. In particular, your comment should not include any sensitive personal information, such as your or anyone else's Social Security number; date of birth; driver's license number or other state identification number, or foreign country equivalent; passport number; financial account number; or credit or debit card number. You are also solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive health information, such as medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, your comment should not include any "trade secret or any commercial or financial information which . . . is privileged or confidential"—as provided by Section 6(f) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2)—including in particular competitively sensitive information such as costs, sales statistics, inventories, formulas, patterns, devices, manufacturing processes, or customer names.

Comments containing material for which confidential treatment is requested must be filed in paper form, must be clearly labeled "Confidential," and must comply with FTC Rule 4.9(c). In particular, the written request for confidential treatment that accompanies the comment must include the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. See FTC Rule 4.9(c). Your comment will be kept confidential only if the General Counsel grants your request in accordance with the law and the public interest. Once your comment has been posted publicly at www.regulations.gov, we cannot redact or remove your comment unless you submit a confidentiality request that meets the requirements for such treatment under FTC Rule 4.9(c), and the General Counsel grants that request.

The FTC Act and other laws that the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding, as

appropriate. The Commission will consider all timely and responsive public comments that it receives on or before March 10, 2023. For information on the Commission's privacy policy, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, see <https://www.ftc.gov/site-information/privacy-policy>.

Josephine Liu,

Assistant General Counsel for Legal Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2023-00180 Filed 1-6-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Extension

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 ("PRA"), the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission") is seeking public comment on its proposal to extend for an additional three years the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") clearance for information collection requirements in its Informal Dispute Settlement Procedures Rule ("the Dispute Settlement Rule" or "the Rule"). The current clearance expires on July 31, 2023.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 10, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may file a comment online or on paper by following the instructions in the Request for Comments part of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below. Write "Dispute Settlement Rule; PRA Comment: FTC File No. P072108" on your comment, and file your comment online at <https://www.regulations.gov> by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite CC-5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW, 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laura Basford, General Attorney, Division of Marketing Practices, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20580, (202) 326-2343, lbaford@ftc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Informal Dispute Settlement Procedures Rule (the Dispute Settlement Rule or the Rule), 16 CFR part 703.

OMB Control Number: 3084-0113.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: The Dispute Settlement Rule is one of three rules¹ that the FTC implemented pursuant to requirements of the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. 2301 *et seq.* (“Warranty Act” or “Act”).² The Dispute Settlement Rule, 16 CFR part 703, specifies the minimum standards which must be met by any informal dispute settlement mechanism (“IDSM”) that is incorporated into a written consumer product warranty and which the consumer is required to use before pursuing legal remedies under the Act in court (known as the “prior resort requirement”).³

The Dispute Settlement Rule standards for IDSMs include requirements concerning the mechanism’s structure (*e.g.*, funding, staffing, and neutrality), the qualifications of staff or decision makers, the mechanism’s procedures for resolving disputes (*e.g.*, notification, investigation, time limits for decisions, and follow-up), recordkeeping, and annual audits. The Rule requires that IDSMs establish written operating procedures and provide copies of those procedures upon request.

Likely Respondents: Warrantors that Use an IDSM (Automobile Manufacturers) and Informal Dispute Settlement Mechanisms.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 9,267 (derived from 6,210 recordkeeping hours in addition to 2,070 reporting hours and 987 disclosure hours).

Estimated Annual Labor Costs: \$239,093.

Estimated Annual Capital or Other Non-labor Costs: \$344,560.

As required by section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A), the FTC is providing this opportunity for public comment before requesting that OMB extend the existing clearance for the information collection requirements associated with the Dispute Settlement Rule.

¹ The other two rules relate to the information that must appear in any written warranty offered on a consumer product costing more than \$15 and the pre-sale availability of warranty terms.

² 40 FR 60168 (Dec. 31, 1975).

³ The Dispute Settlement Rule applies only to those firms that choose to require consumers to use an IDSM. Neither the Rule nor the Act requires warrantors to set up IDSMs. A warrantor is free to set up an IDSM that does not comply with the Rule as long as the warranty does not contain a prior resort requirement.

Burden Statement

The primary burden from the Dispute Settlement Rule comes from the recordkeeping requirements that apply to IDSMs that are incorporated into a consumer product warranty through a prior resort clause. Currently, there are two IDSMs operating under the Rule: (1) the BBB AUTO LINE, and (2) the National Center for Dispute Settlement (“NCDS”). Although the Rule’s information collection requirements have not changed since 2020, staff has adjusted its previous estimates slightly upward for its 2023 calculations because the two IDSMs indicate that, on average, more disputes have been handled since the previous submission to OMB (12,241 disputes/year projected in 2020; 12,420 disputes/year projected in 2023). The calculations underlying staff’s new estimates follow.

Recordkeeping: The Rule requires IDSMs to maintain records of each consumer warranty dispute. Both the BBB AUTO LINE and NCDS report the number of disputes closed each year. Staff is using those numbers to project what will happen over the next three years of OMB clearance for the Rule. The BBB AUTO LINE handles an average of 9,365 disputes each year.⁴ NCDS handles an average of 3,055 disputes each year.⁵ Based on these figures, staff estimates that the average number of IDSM disputes covered by the Rule is approximately 12,420. Case files must include information such as the consumer’s contact information, the make and model of the product at issue, all letters or other correspondence submitted by the consumer or warrantor, and all evidence collected to resolve the dispute. Because maintaining individual case records is a necessary function for any IDSM, much of the burden would be incurred in the ordinary course of business. Nonetheless, staff estimates that maintaining individual case files imposes an additional burden of 30 minutes per case.

Accordingly, the total annual recordkeeping burden is approximately 6,210 hours ((12,420 disputes × 30 minutes of burden/dispute) ÷ 60 minutes/hour).

⁴ According to its annual audits, the BBB AUTO LINE closed 10,351 disputes in 2019, 9,044 in 2020, and 8,700 in 2021. This includes disputes for at least two manufacturers that do not include a prior resort requirement. Therefore, this number likely overstates the number of disputes covered by the Rule.

⁵ According to NCDS’ annual audits, the number of disputes both within its jurisdiction and closed each year are 3,861 in 2019, 2,864 in 2020, and 2,439 in 2021.

Reporting: The Rule requires IDSMs to update indexes, complete semiannual statistical summaries, and submit an annual audit report to the FTC. Staff estimates that covered entities spend approximately 10 minutes per case for these activities, resulting in a total annual burden of approximately 2,070 hours ((12,420 disputes × 10 minutes of burden/dispute) ÷ 60 minutes/hour).

*Disclosure***(a) Warrantors’ Disclosure Burden**

As it did in 2020, staff has determined that it would be appropriate to account for the disclosure burden as it relates to warrantors based on two types of additional information that warrantors are required to disclose under the Rule: (1) information concerning the IDSM and its procedures; and (2) information that makes consumers aware of the existence of the IDSM.⁶

A review of the annual audits of the BBB AUTO LINE and the NCDS indicates that there are approximately twenty-six automobile manufacturers covered by the Rule. Staff assumes that each manufacturer spends an average of thirty hours a year creating, revising, and distributing the informational materials necessary to comply with the Rule, resulting in an annual disclosure burden of 780 hours (26 manufacturers × 30 hours).

(b) IDSMs’ Disclosure Burden

Under the Rule, the IDSMs are required to provide to interested consumers, upon request, copies of the various types of information the IDSM possesses, including its annual audits. In addition, consumers who have filed disputes with the IDSM also have a right to copies of their records. IDSMs are permitted to charge for providing both types of information.

Based on discussions with representatives of the two IDSMs, staff estimates that the burden imposed by these disclosure requirements is approximately 207 hours per year. This estimate draws from the average number of disputes closed each year with the IDSMs (12,420) and the assumption that twenty percent of consumers request copies of the records pertaining to their disputes (approximately 2,484 disputes).⁷ Staff estimates that copying such records would require approximately 5 minutes per dispute.⁸ Staff estimates a total disclosure burden of approximately 207 hours ((2,484

⁶ 16 CFR 703.2(b).

⁷ This assumes each dispute is associated with one consumer.

⁸ In addition, some case files are provided to consumers electronically, which further reduces the paperwork burden borne by the IDSMs.

disputes × 5 minutes of burden/dispute) + 60 minutes/hour) for the IDSMs.

Accordingly, the total PRA-related annual hours burden attributed to the Rule is approximately 9,267 (6,210 hours for recordkeeping plus 2,070 hours for reporting plus 780 hours for warrantors' disclosures and 207 hours for IDSM disclosures).

Total Annual Labor Cost: \$239,093.

Recordkeeping: Staff assumes that IDSMs use clerical staff to comply with the recordkeeping requirements contained in the Rule at an hourly rate of approximately \$19.⁹ Thus, the labor cost associated with the 6,210 annual burden hours for recordkeeping is approximately \$117,990 (6,210 burden hours × \$19 per hour).

Reporting: Staff assumes that IDSMs also use clerical support staff at an hourly rate of \$19 to comply with the reporting requirements. Thus, the labor cost associated with the 2,070 annual burden hours for reporting is approximately \$39,330 (2,070 burden hours × \$19 per hour).

Disclosure: Staff assumes that the work required to comply with the warrantors' disclosure requirements entails an equal mix of legal, clerical, and graphic design work. Staff assumes that one third of the total disclosure hours for warrantors (260 hours) require legal work at a rate of \$250 per hour, one third require graphic design at a rate of \$29 per hour,¹⁰ and one third require clerical work at a rate of \$19 per hour. This results in a disclosure labor burden of \$77,480 for warrantors ((260 × \$250) + (260 × \$29) + (260 × \$19)).

In addition, staff assumes that IDSMs use clerical support at an hourly rate of \$19 to reproduce records and, therefore, the labor cost associated with the 207 annual hours of disclosure burden for IDSMs is approximately \$3,933 (207 burden hours × \$19 per hour).

Accordingly, the combined total annual labor cost for PRA-related burden under the Rule is approximately \$239,093 (\$117,990 for recordkeeping + \$39,330 for reporting + \$81,413 for disclosures).

Total Annual Capital or Other Non-Labor Costs: \$344,560.

Total Capital and Start-Up Costs: The Rule imposes no appreciable current

capital or start-up costs. The vast majority of warrantors have already developed systems to retain the records and provide the disclosures required by the Rule. Rule compliance does not require the use of any capital goods, other than ordinary office equipment, to which providers already have access.

The Rule imposes one additional cost on IDSMs operating under the Rule, which is the annual audit requirement. According to representatives of the IDSMs, the vast majority of costs associated with this requirement consist of the fees paid to the auditors and their staffs. Representatives of the IDSMs previously estimated a combined cost of \$300,000 associated with the audits. In light of cost increases over the past three years, staff has increased that estimate by five percent, estimating that the annual audit requirement now costs \$315,000.

Other Non-Labor Costs: As discussed above, staff assumes that approximately twenty percent of dispute files (approximately 2,484 files) are requested by consumers. Staff also estimates that only five percent of consumers will request a copy of the IDSM's audit report (approximately 612 audit reports).¹¹ Staff bases this assumption on the number of consumer requests received by the IDSMs in the past and the fact that the IDSMs' annual audits are available online. Staff estimates that the average dispute-related file contains 35 pages and a typical annual audit file contains approximately 200 pages. Staff estimates copying costs of 14 cents per page.

Thus, the total annual copying cost for dispute-related files is approximately \$12,172 (35 pages per file × \$0.14 per page × 2,484 disputes) and the total annual copying cost for annual audit reports is approximately \$17,388 (200 pages per audit report × \$0.14 per page × 621 audit reports). Accordingly, the total cost attributed to copying under the Rule is approximately \$29,560.

Thus, the total non-labor cost under the Rule is approximately \$344,560 (\$315,000 for auditor fees + \$29,560 for copying costs).

Request for Comments

Pursuant to Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, the FTC invites comments on: (1) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate

of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of maintaining records, providing reports to the government and providing disclosures to consumers.

For the FTC to consider a comment, we must receive it on or before March 10, 2023. Your comment, including your name and your state, will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including the <https://www.regulations.gov> website.

You can file a comment online or on paper. Due to the public health emergency in response to the COVID-19 outbreak and the agency's heightened security screening, postal mail addressed to the Commission will be subject to delay. We encourage you to submit your comments online through the <https://www.regulations.gov> website.

If you file your comment on paper, write "Dispute Settlement Rule; PRA Comment: FTC File No. P072108" on your comment and on the envelope, and mail it to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite CC-5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW, 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20024. If possible, submit your paper comment to the Commission by courier or overnight service.

Because your comment will become publicly available at <https://www.regulations.gov>, you are solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive or confidential information. In particular, your comment should not include any sensitive personal information, such as your or anyone else's Social Security number; date of birth; driver's license number or other state identification number, or foreign country equivalent; passport number; financial account number; or credit or debit card number. You are also solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive health information, such as medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, your comment should not include any "trade secret or any commercial or financial information which . . . is privileged or confidential"—as provided by Section 6(f) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and

⁹ The wage rate is derived from occupational data found in the Bureau of Labor Statistics, *Occupational Employment and Wages* (Mar. 2022), available at <https://www.bls.gov/news.release/ocwage.htm>. The clerical wage rate estimate is based on the mean hourly wage data for the category of "Office Clerks, general" (\$18.75), rounded up to the nearest whole dollar amount (\$19).

¹⁰ *Id.* The wage rate estimate for graphic design work is based on the mean hourly wage data for the category of "Graphic designers" (\$28.83), rounded up to the nearest whole dollar amount (\$29).

¹¹ This estimate assumes each dispute is associated with one consumer.

FTC Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2)—including, in particular, competitively sensitive information such as costs, sales statistics, inventories, formulas, patterns, devices, manufacturing processes, or customer names.

Comments containing material for which confidential treatment is requested must (1) be filed in paper form, (2) be clearly labeled “Confidential,” and (3) comply with FTC Rule 4.9(c). In particular, the written request for confidential treatment that accompanies the comment must include the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. See FTC Rule 4.9(c). Your comment will be kept confidential only if the General Counsel grants your request in accordance with the law and the public interest. Once your comment has been posted publicly at www.regulations.gov, we cannot redact or remove your comment unless you submit a confidentiality request that meets the requirements for such treatment under FTC Rule 4.9(c), and the General Counsel grants that request.

The FTC Act and other laws that the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. The Commission will consider all timely and responsive public comments that it receives on or before March 10, 2023. For information on the Commission’s privacy policy, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, see <https://www.ftc.gov/site-information/privacy-policy>.

Josephine Liu,

Assistant General Counsel for Legal Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2023–00163 Filed 1–6–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Extension

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Trade Commission (“FTC” or “Commission”)

¹ Title X of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (“Dodd-Frank Act”), Public Law 111–203, 124 Stat. 1376 (2010), transferred the Commission’s rulemaking authority under the mortgage provisions in section 626 of the 2009 Omnibus Appropriations Act, as amended, to the CFPB. On December 16, 2011, the CFPB republished the Mortgage Assistance Relief Services (“MARS”) Rule as Regulation O (12 CFR pt. 1015). As a result, the Commission subsequently rescinded its MARS Rule (16 CFR pt. 322). Nonetheless, under the Dodd-Frank Act, the FTC retains its authority

requests that the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) extend for an additional three years the current Paperwork Reduction Act (“PRA”) clearance for its shared enforcement authority with the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”) for information collection requirements contained in the CFPB’s Regulation O. That clearance expires on March 31, 2023.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 10, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may file a comment online or on paper by following the instructions in the Request for Comments part of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below. Write “Paperwork Reduction Act Comment: FTC File No. P072108” on your comment, and file your comment online at <https://www.regulations.gov> by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite CC–5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW, 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephanie Rosenthal, Division of Financial Practices, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20580, (202) 326–3332.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Regulation O, 12 CFR part 1015.
OMB Control Number: 3084–0157.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved collection.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 118.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 354.

Abstract: The FTC and CFPB share enforcement authority for the Mortgage Assistance Relief Services (Regulation O), 12 CFR part 1015.¹ The rule includes disclosure requirements to assist purchasers of mortgage assistance relief services in making well-informed decisions and avoiding unfair or deceptive acts and practices. The

to bring law enforcement actions to enforce Regulation O.

² Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, *Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request*, 87 FR 40514 (Oct. 4, 2022).

³ See CFPB Supporting Statement, *Mortgage Assistance Relief Services (Regulation O) 12 CFR 1015*, OMB Control No: 3170–0007 (July 11, 2022), available at https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewDocument?ref_nbr=202205-3170-004; clearance expires on October 31, 2025.

information that must be retained under Regulation O’s recordkeeping requirements is used by the CFPB and the FTC for enforcement purposes and to ensure compliance by MARS providers with Regulation O. The information is requested only on a case-by-case basis.

As required by section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A), the FTC is providing this opportunity for public comment before requesting that OMB extend the existing clearance for the information collection requirements contained in Regulation O.

Burden Statement

Because the FTC and CFPB share enforcement authority for this rule, the FTC is seeking clearance for one-half of the following burden estimates. These estimates are based on the agencies’ law enforcement experience and the recent analysis conducted as part of the CFPB’s clearance renewal for the information collections associated with Regulation O.² The FTC and CFPB estimate that there are approximately 118 for-profit, non-attorney entities offering MARS services and subject to Regulation O’s requirements.³

Estimated annual hours burden: 354 (FTC share).

FTC staff estimates that compliance with Regulation O’s disclosure requirements for MARS providers requires 6 hours of labor annually.⁴ Multiplying this figure by 118 entities yields a total burden for covered providers of 708 hours annually.⁵ For PRA purposes, the FTC and CFPB share enforcement authority and split the information collection burden associated with the Rule equally. As a result, the FTC assumes 354 hours of this total annual hours of burden.

Estimated associated labor cost: \$12,195 (FTC share).

In calculating the associated labor costs, FTC staff estimates that a compliance officer or equivalent will prepare the required disclosures at an hourly rate of \$34.45/hr.⁶ Thus, the estimated annual labor cost is \$24,390 (118 providers × 6 hours × \$34.45) of which the FTC assumes half, or \$12,195.

⁴ *Id.*

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ This estimate is based on the median hourly wage for a Compliance Officer (occupation code 13–1041) of \$34.45 provided by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. See BLS Occupational Employment and Wages estimate of the median hourly wage for a Compliance Officer (occupation code 13–1041) of \$34.45, available at <https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes131041.htm>.