

For the companies for which the administrative review is rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). We intend to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP with respect to the companies for which this administrative review is rescinded 35 days after the publication of the preliminary results in the **Federal Register**.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this review for all shipments of the subject merchandise from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) for the companies that have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is *de minimis*, then a cash deposit rate of zero will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters for which a review was not requested and that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the China-wide entity (*i.e.*, 85.13 percent); and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount

of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing the preliminary results of this review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4), and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: December 21, 2022.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Period of Review
- IV. Scope of the *Order*
- V. Selection of Respondents
- VI. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
- VII. Preliminary Successor-in-Interest Determination
- VIII. Discussion of the Methodology
- IX. Recommendation

Appendix II

No Shipments

Anhui Longhua Bamboo Product Co., Ltd.
 Arte Mundi Group Co., Ltd. (successor-in-interest to Arte Mundi (Shanghai) Aesthetic Home Furnishings Co., Ltd.)
 Benxi Flooring Factory (General Partnership)
 Benxi Wood Company
 Dalian Deerfu Wooden Product Co., Ltd.
 Dalian Jiahong Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
 Dalian Shengyu Science And Technology Development Co., Ltd.
 Dongtai Fuan Universal Dynamics, LLC
 Dun Hua Sen Tai Wood Co., Ltd.
 Dunhua City Dexin Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
 Dunhua City Hongyuan Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
 Dunhua Shengda Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
 HaiLin LinJing Wooden Products Co., Ltd.
 Hunchun Xingjia Wooden Flooring Inc.
 Huzhou Chenghang Wood Co., Ltd.
 Huzhou Sunergy World Trade Co., Ltd.
 Jiangsu Keri Wood Co., Ltd.
 Jiangsu Mingle Flooring Co., Ltd.
 Jiangsu Simba Flooring Co., Ltd.
 Jiangsu Yuhui International Trade Co., Ltd.
 Jiashan On-Line Lumber Co., Ltd.
 Kingman Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
 Linyi Anying Wood Co., Ltd.
 Linyi Youyou Wood Co., Ltd.
 Muchsee Wood (Chuzhou) Co., Ltd.
 Pingte Timber Manufacturing (Zhejiang) Co., Ltd.
 Power Dekor Group Co., Ltd.
 Sino-Maple (Jiangsu) Co., Ltd.
 Suzhou Dongda Wood Co., Ltd.
 Tongxiang Jisheng Import and Export Co., Ltd.
 Yekalon Industry Inc.
 Zhejiang Longsen Lumbering Co., Ltd.
 Zhejiang Shiyou Timber Co., Ltd.
 Zhejiang Shuimojiangnan New Material Technology Co., Ltd.

China-Wide Entity

Jiashan HuiJiaLe Decoration Material Co., Ltd.
 Jiaying Hengtong Wood Co., Ltd.
 Lauzon Distinctive Hardwood Flooring, Inc.
 Metropolitan Hardwood Floors, Inc.
 Yihua Lifestyle Technology Co., Ltd.
 (successor-in-interest to Guangdong Yihua Timber Industry Co., Ltd.)
 Yingyi-Nature (Kunshan) Wood Industry Co., Ltd.

Rescissions

A-Timber Flooring Company Limited
 Jiangsu Senmiao Bamboo and Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
 Kingman Floors Co., Ltd.
 Zhejiang Yuhua Timber Co. Ltd.

Non-Selected Companies Under Review Receiving a Separate Rate

Dalian Penghong Floor Products Co., Ltd./
 Dalian Shumaike Floor Manufacturing Co., Ltd.
 Huzhou Fulinmen Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd.
 Jiangsu Guyu International Trading Co., Ltd.
 Zhejiang Dadongwu Greenhome Wood Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2022–28273 Filed 12–27–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–520–8807]

Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe From the United Arab Emirates: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that the producers/exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), December 1, 2020, through November 30, 2021. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable December 28, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Benjamin A. Luberda or Alice Maldonado, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2185 or (202) 482–4682, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 4, 2022, based on timely requests for review, in accordance with

19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review on circular welded carbon-quality steel pipe (CWP) from the United Arab Emirates (UAE).¹ This review covers five producers/exporters of the subject merchandise.² Commerce selected Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind. L.L.C./Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind., L.L.C.-Branch-1 (collectively, Ajmal)³ and Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd./THL Tube and Pipe Industries LLC/KHK Scaffolding and Framework LLC (collectively, Universal) for individual examination.⁴

On August 17, 2022, Commerce extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this administrative review until December 20, 2022.⁵ For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 87 FR 6487 (February 4, 2022).

² Although we initiated on both TSI Metal Industries L.L.C. (TSI Metal) and Tiger Steel Industries L.L.C. (Tiger Steel), as noted in the final results of the 2019–2020 administrative review, we found that TSI Metal is the successor in interest to Tiger Steel. See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020*, 87 FR 41111 (July 11, 2022).

³ We collapsed Ajmal Steel Tubes and Pipes Ind. L.L.C. and Noble Steel Industries L.L.C. (Noble Steel) together in the final results of the 2016–2017 administrative review. See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017*, 84 FR 44845 (August 27, 2019) (CWP from UAE 2016–2017 Final Results). Additionally, in the final results of the 2019–2020 administrative review, we found that Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind., L.L.C.-Branch-1 is the successor-in-interest to Noble Steel. See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020*, 87 FR 41111 (July 11, 2022).

⁴ See Memorandum, “Selection of Respondents for Individual Examination,” dated March 18, 2022, at 2. Commerce previously determined that Universal is a single entity consisting of the following three producers/exporters of subject merchandise: Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd.; KHK Scaffolding and Framework LLC; and Universal Tube and Pipe Industries LLC (UTP). See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination*, 81 FR 36882 (June 8, 2016), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum, unchanged in *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 81 FR 75030 (October 28, 2016), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum. Because there is no information on the record of this administrative review that would lead us to revisit this determination, we are continuing to treat these companies as part of a single entity for purposes of this administrative review. Additionally, we previously determined that THL Tube and Pipe Industries LLC is the successor-in-interest to UTP. See *CWP from UAE 2016–2017 Final Results*.

⁵ See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of 2020–2021 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated August 17, 2022.

this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁶

Scope of the Order⁷

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is welded carbon-quality steel pipes and tube, of circular cross-section, with an outside diameter not more than nominal 16 inches (406.4 mm), regardless of wall thickness, surface finish, end finish, or industry specification, and generally known as standard pipe, fence pipe and tube, sprinkler pipe, or structural pipe (although subject product may also be referred to as mechanical tubing). The products subject to the *Order* are currently classifiable in Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) statistical reporting numbers 7306.19.1010, 7306.19.1050, 7306.19.5110, 7306.19.5150, 7306.30.1000, 7306.30.5015, 7306.30.5020, 7306.30.5025, 7306.30.5032, 7306.30.5040, 7306.30.5055, 7306.30.5085, 7306.30.5090, 7306.50.1000, 7306.50.5030, 7306.50.5050, and 7306.50.5070. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) and (2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price and constructed export price are calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to

⁶ See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the 2020–2021 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁷ See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the Sultanate of Oman, Pakistan, and the United Arab Emirates: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 91906 (December 19, 2016) (*Order*).

registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Rate for Non-Examined Companies

The Act and Commerce’s regulations do not address the rate to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for companies that were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.”

Consistent with section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, we determined the weighted-average dumping margin for each of the non-selected companies by using the weighted-average dumping margins calculated for Ajmal and Universal in this administrative review.

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period December 1, 2020, through November 30, 2021:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind. L.L.C./Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind. L.L.C.-Branch-1	4.94
Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd/THL Tube and Pipe Industries LLC/KHK Scaffolding and Framework LLC	2.61
Conares Metal Supply Limited	3.57
K.D. Industries Inc	3.57
TSI Metal Industries L.L.C	3.57

Disclosure and Public Comment

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results to interested parties within five days after

the date of publication of this notice.⁸ Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to Commerce no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.⁹ Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than seven days after the time limit for filing case briefs.¹⁰ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) a statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.¹¹ Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS.¹² Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.¹³

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, filed electronically via ACCESS within 30 days after publication of this notice.¹⁴ Hearing requests should contain: (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Oral presentations at the hearing will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at a date and time to be determined.¹⁵ Parties should confirm by telephone the date and time of the hearing two days before the scheduled date. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the established deadline.

Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the publication date of this notice, unless otherwise extended.¹⁶

Assessment Rates

Upon completion of the administrative review, Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and

Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.¹⁷ If the weighted average dumping margin for Ajmal or Universal is not zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* antidumping duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).¹⁸ Where the respondent did not report entered value, we will calculate the entered value in order to calculate the assessment rate. If the weighted-average dumping margin for the respondents listed above is zero or *de minimis* in the final results, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis* in the final results, we will instruct CBP not to assess antidumping duties on any of their entries in accordance with the *Final Modification for Reviews*.¹⁹

For the companies that were not selected for individual review, we intend to assign an assessment rate based on the methodology described in the "Rate for Non-Examined Companies" section. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.²⁰

Commerce's "automatic assessment" practice will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by companies included in these final results of review for which the reviewed companies did not know that the merchandise they sold to the intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.²¹

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of

publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the exporters listed above will be that established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not participating in this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding in which the company was reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or previous segment, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently-completed segment for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 5.95 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.²² These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

²² See Order.

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.309(c).

¹⁰ See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020) (Temporary Rule).

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

¹² See 19 CFR 351.303.

¹³ See *Temporary Rule*.

¹⁴ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

¹⁵ See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

¹⁶ See section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

¹⁷ See 19 CFR 351.212(b).

¹⁸ In these preliminary results, Commerce applied the assessment rate calculation adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification for Reviews*).

¹⁹ *Id.* at 8102.

²⁰ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

²¹ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

Dated: December 20, 2022.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination
- V. Application of Partial Adverse Facts Available
- VI. Discussion of the Methodology
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022–28171 Filed 12–27–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–523–812]

Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe From the Sultanate of Oman: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Deferred 2019–2020 Period and Concurrent 2020–2021 Period

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily finds that circular welded carbon-quality steel pipe (CWP) from the Sultanate of Oman (Oman) was sold in the United States at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR), December 1, 2019, through November 30, 2020, and the POR, December 1, 2020, through November 30, 2021. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable December 28, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Samuel Glickstein or Dennis McClure, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5307 or (202) 482–5973, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on CWP from

Oman.¹ On February 4, 2021, Commerce published the initiation of the 2019–2020 administrative review of the *Order* with respect to three companies, excluding Al Jazeera Steel Products Co. SAOG (Al Jazeera).² Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(c), Commerce received a request from Al Jazeera Steel Products Co. SAOG (Al Jazeera) to defer the 2019–2020 administrative review with respect to itself for one year.³ Commerce did not receive any objections to the deferral within 15 days after the end of the December 2020 anniversary month. As such, we deferred the initiation of the administrative review for the 2019–2020 POR with respect to Al Jazeera to the month immediately following the next anniversary month.⁴ On February 4, 2022, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), Commerce published its initiation of an administrative review of the *Order* for the 2019–2020 POR with respect to Al Jazeera.⁵ On the same day, Commerce also published its initiation of a review of the *Order* for the 2020–2021 POR covering four exporters/producers,⁶ of which we selected Al Jazeera as the mandatory respondent.⁷

On August 17, 2022, we extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this review until December 21, 2022.⁸ For a complete description of the events between the initiation of this review and these preliminary results, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁹

¹ See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the Sultanate of Oman, Pakistan, and the United Arab Emirates: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 91906 (December 19, 2016) (*Order*).

² These three companies are: Al Samna Metal Manufacturing & Trading Company LLC (Al Samna); Bollere Logistics (Oman) LLC (Bollere Logistics); and Transworld Shipping Trading & Logistics Services LLC (Transworld Shipping). See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 86 FR 8166 (February 4, 2021) (*February 2021 Initiation Notice*). On March 9, 2021, Commerce rescinded the administrative review for the 2019–2020 POR with respect to these companies. See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from Oman: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020*, 86 FR 13525 (March 9, 2021) (*CWP from Oman Rescission*).

³ *Id.* at Footnote 12.

⁴ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 87 FR 6487 (February 4, 2022) (*February 2022 Initiation Notice*), at fn. 6.

⁵ See *February 2022 Initiation Notice*.

⁶ The four companies are: Al Jazeera; Al Samna; Bollere Logistics; and Transworld Shipping. See *February 2022 Initiation Notice*.

⁷ See Memorandum, “Respondent Selection,” dated March 7, 2022.

⁸ See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated August 17, 2022.

⁹ See Memorandum, “Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the Sultanate of Oman:

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is CWP from Oman. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.¹⁰

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act. Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. NV is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying these preliminary results, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as the appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Rate for Non-Examined Companies

The statute and Commerce’s regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, for guidance when determining the rate for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero and *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.”

For the 2020–2021 POR, we have preliminarily calculated a weighted-

Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Deferred 2019–2020 Period and Concurrent 2020–2021 Period,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

¹⁰ *Id.* at “Scope of the *Order*.”