

reimbursable share of costs to rehabilitate Arrowrock Dam Outlet Gates under the O&M program.

Completed contract actions:

8. Benton ID, Yakima Project, Washington: Replacement contract to, among other things, withdraw Benton ID from the Sunnyside Division Board of Control; provide for direct payment of Benton ID's share of total operation, maintenance, repair, and replacement costs incurred by the United States in operation of storage division; and establish Benton ID responsibility for operation, maintenance, repair, and replacement for irrigation distribution system. Contract executed on April 7, 2021.

14. Quincy-Columbia Basin ID, Columbia Basin Project, Washington: Long-term contract to renew master water service contract No. 14-06-100-9166, as supplemented, to authorize the District to deliver project water to up to 10,000 First Phase Continuation Acres located within the District, and to deliver additional project water to land irrigated under the District's repayment contract during the peak period of irrigation water use annually. Contract executed on October 7, 2021.

California-Great Basin—Interior Region 10: Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95825-1898, telephone 916-978-5250.

New contract actions:

49. San Luis Canal Company, Central California ID, Firebaugh Canal Water District, Columbia Canal Company (collectively San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors), CVP, California: Amend 1968 Second Amended Contract for Exchange of Waters.

Modified contract actions:

9. Tuolumne Utilities District (formerly Tuolumne Regional WD), CVP, California: Long-term water service contract for up to 6,000 acre-feet from New Melones Reservoir, and possibly a long-term contract for storage of non-project water in New Melones Reservoir.

11. San Luis WD, CVP, California: Proposed partial assignment of 4,449 acre-feet of the District's CVP supply to Santa Nella County WD for M&I use.

Discontinued contract action:

4. Redwood Valley County WD, SRPA, California: Restructuring the repayment schedule pursuant to Public Law 100-516.

Christopher Beardsley,

Director, Policy and Programs.

[FR Doc. 2022-27431 Filed 12-16-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4332-90-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-540-541 (Fifth Review)]

Certain Welded Stainless Steel Pipe From South Korea and Taiwan

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on certain welded stainless steel pipe from South Korea and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on May 2, 2022 (87 FR 25668) and determined on August 5, 2022, that it would conduct expedited reviews (87 FR 64112, October 21, 2022).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on December 14, 2022. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5395 (December 2022), entitled *Welded Stainless Steel Pipe from South Korea and Taiwan: Investigation Nos. 731-TA-540-541 (Fifth Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 14, 2022.

Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2022-27452 Filed 12-16-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-564 and 731-TA-1338-1340 (Review)]

Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar (Rebar) From Japan, Taiwan and Turkey; Scheduling of Expedited Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on steel concrete reinforcing bar (rebar) from Turkey and the antidumping duty orders on steel concrete reinforcing bar from Japan, Taiwan and Turkey would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: September 6, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stamen Borisson (202-205-3125), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On September 6, 2022, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (87 FR 33206, June 1, 2022) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews has been placed in the nonpublic record, and will be made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews on December 16,

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's website.

2022. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before December 23, 2022 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to these reviews by December 23, 2022. However, should the Department of Commerce ("Commerce") extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the Commission's website at https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf, elaborates upon the Commission's procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is

² The Commission has found the response filed on behalf of the Rebar Trade Action Coalition and its individual members, Nucor Corporation, Gerdau Ameristeel US Inc., Commercial Metals Company, Byer Steel, and Steel Dynamics, Inc., domestic producers of rebar, to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 13, 2022.

Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2022-27374 Filed 12-16-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Advisory Committee on Bankruptcy Rules; Hearing of the Judicial Conference

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States.

ACTION: Advisory Committee on Bankruptcy Rules; Notice of cancellation of open hearing.

SUMMARY: The following public hearing on proposed amendments to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure has been canceled: Bankruptcy Rules Hearing on January 13, 2023. The announcement for this hearing was previously published in the **Federal Register** on August 5, 2022.

DATES: January 13, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: H. Thomas Byron III, Esq., Chief Counsel, Rules Committee Staff, Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building, One Columbus Circle NE, Suite 7-300, Washington, DC 20544, Phone (202) 502-1820, RulesCommittee_Secretary@ao.uscourts.gov.

(Authority: 28 U.S.C. 2073)

Dated: December 14, 2022.

Shelly L. Cox,

Management Analyst, Rules Committee Staff.

[FR Doc. 2022-27434 Filed 12-16-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 21-35]

Allan Alexander Rashford, M.D.; Decision and Order

On September 23, 2021, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA or Government) issued an Order to Show Cause and Immediate Suspension of Registration (OSC/ISO) to Allan Alexander Rashford, M.D. (Respondent) of Charleston, South Carolina.¹ OSC/ISO, at 1.

¹ Respondent holds a DEA Certificate of Registration no. AR1001306 at the registered

A hearing was held before DEA Administrative Law Judge Paul E. Soeffing (the ALJ) who, on April 5, 2022, issued his Recommended Rulings, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision of the Administrative Law Judge (RD).² Having reviewed the entire record, the Agency adopts and hereby incorporates by reference the entirety of the ALJ's rulings, credibility findings, findings of fact, conclusions of law, sanctions analysis, and recommended sanction in the RD and summarizes and expands upon portions thereof herein.

I. Findings of Fact

Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823(f), 824(a)(4), the Government seeks revocation of Respondent's DEA registration because Respondent allegedly committed acts rendering his continued registration inconsistent with the public interest, including: (1) improperly prescribing controlled substances; (2) failing to maintain medical records; and (3) engaging in unlawful electronic prescribing practices. OSC/ISO, at 1.

Respondent issued the controlled substance prescriptions at issue in this case to Patients W.G., P.L., T.E., D.P., N.R., and L.C. without maintaining any medical records. RD, at 28.³ According to the credible, un rebutted, expert testimony of Dr. Gene Kennedy, Respondent issued all of these controlled substance prescriptions outside the usual course of professional practice and beneath the applicable standard of care due to Respondent's lack of medical records. *Id.* at 28 (citing Tr. 118-31, 344). The record showed that Respondent could not produce any records for these six patients. RD, at 28 (citing Tr. 249-50; 323). In addition, Dr. Kennedy credibly testified that the controlled substance prescriptions for L.P. and P.B. were issued outside the usual course of professional practice and beneath the applicable standard of care because Respondent's partial medical records did not adequately support his prescribing. RD, at 29-31. Finally, the record established that Respondent permitted his wife and son

address of 903 Saint Andrews Blvd. Suite B, Charleston, SC 29407-7194. OSC/ISO, at 1-2.

² Neither party filed exceptions.

³ The parties entered into 46 stipulations, all of which are incorporated into this Decision. RD, at 2-10. On January 29, 2020, Respondent entered into a memorandum of agreement (MOA) with DEA, which remains in effect for three years, and which prohibited Respondent from prescribing Schedule II controlled substances, required Respondent to maintain proper medical files on all patients to whom Respondent issued controlled substance prescriptions, and required Respondent to maintain medical records in a readily retrievable manner. The Agency agrees with the ALJ's consideration of the violations of the MOA in the Sanctions section. *See* RD, at n.12.