forgings) in that they have undergone further processing after forging, including, but not limited to, beveling, bore threading, center or step boring, face machining, taper boring, machining ends or surfaces, drilling bolt holes, and/or de-burring or shot blasting. Any one of these post-forging processes suffices to render the forging into a finished carbon steel flange for purposes of this order. However, mere heat treatment of a carbon steel flange forging (without any other further processing after forging) does not render the forging into a finished carbon steel flange for purposes of this order.

While these finished carbon steel flanges are generally manufactured to specification ASME B16.5 or ASME B16.47 series A or series B, the scope is not limited to flanges produced under those specifications. All types of finished carbon steel flanges are included in the scope regardless of pipe size (which may or may not be expressed in inches of nominal pipe size), pressure class (usually, but not necessarily, expressed in pounds of pressure, e.g., 150, 300, 400, 600, 900, 1500, 2500, etc.), type of face (e.g., flat face, full face, raised face, etc.), configuration (e.g., weld neck, slip on, socket weld, lap joint, threaded, etc.), wall thickness (usually, but not necessarily, expressed in inches), normalization, or whether or not heat treated. These carbon steel flanges either meet or exceed the requirements of the ASTM A105, ASTM A694, ASTM A181, ASTM A350 and ASTM A707 standards (or comparable foreign specifications). The scope includes any flanges produced to the above-referenced ASTM standards as currently stated or as may be amended. The term "carbon steel" under this scope is steel in which:

- (a) Iron predominates, by weight, over each of the other contained elements:
- (b) The carbon content is 2 percent or less, by weight; and
- (c) none of the elements listed below exceeds the quantity, by weight, as indicated:
 - (i) 0.87 percent of aluminum;
 - (ii) 0.0105 percent of boron;
- (iii) 10.10 percent of chromium;
- (iv) 1.55 percent of columbium;
- (v) 3.10 percent of copper;
- (vi) 0.38 percent of lead;
- (vii) 3.04 percent of manganese;
- (viii) 2.05 percent of molybdenum;
- (ix) 20.15 percent of nickel;
- (x) 1.55 percent of niobium;
- (xi) 0.20 percent of nitrogen;
- (xii) 0.21 percent of phosphorus;
- (xiii) 3.10 percent of silicon;
- (xiv) 0.21 percent of sulfur;
- (xv) 1.05 percent of titanium; (xvi) 4.06 percent of tungsten;
- (xvii) 0.52 percent of tungsten,
- (xvii) 0.53 percent of vanadium; or
- (xviii) 0.015 percent of zirconium.

Finished carbon steel flanges are currently classified under subheadings 7307.91.5010 and 7307.91.5050 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). They may also be entered under HTSUS subheadings 7307.91.5030 and 7307.91.5070. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes; the written description of the scope is dispositive.

Appendix II

Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination

- 1. Adinath International
- 2. Allena Group
- 3. Alloyed Steel
- 4. Balkrishna Steel Forge Pvt. Ltd.
- 5. Bebitz Flanges Works Private Limited
- 6. C. D. Industries
- 7. Cetus Engineering Private Limited
- 8. CHW Forge
- 9. CHW Forge Pvt. Ltd.
- 10. Citizen Metal Depot
- 11. Corum Flange
- 12. DN Forge Industries
- 13. Echjay Forgings Limited
- 14. Falcon Valves and Flanges Private Limited
- 15. Heubach International
- 16. Hindon Forge Pvt. Ltd.
- 17. Jai Auto Pvt. Ltd.
- 18. Kinnari Steel Corporation
- 19. Mascot Metal Manufacturers
- 20. M F Rings and Bearing Races Ltd.
- 21. Munish Forge Private Limited
- 22. OM Exports
- 23. Punjab Steel Works
- 24. Raaj Sagar Steels
- 25. Ravi Ratan Metal Industries
- 26. R.D. Forge
- 27. Rolex Fittings India Pvt. Ltd.
- 28. Rollwell Forge Engineering Components and Flanges
- 29. Rollwell Forge Pvt. Ltd.
- 30. SHM (ShinHeung Machinery)
- 31. Siddhagiri Metal & Tubes
- 32. Sizer India
- 33. Steel Shape India
- 34. Sudhir Forgings Pvt. Ltd.
- 35. Tirupati Forge Pvt. Ltd.
- 36. Umashanker Khandelwal Forging Limited

[FR Doc. 2022–27223 Filed 12–14–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-533-884]

Glycine From India: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: 2020

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that producers and exporters of glycine from India received countervailable subsidies during the period of review (POR), January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020.

DATES: Applicable December 15, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Caitlin Monks or Scarlet Jaldin AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2670 or (202) 482–4275, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 7, 2022, Commerce published the preliminary results of this administrative review in the Federal **Register** and invited interest parties to comment.1 We received timely case briefs from the Government of India (GOI) ² and GEO Specialty Chemicals, Inc. (the petitioner),3 and timely filed rebuttal briefs from the petitioner,4 and the mandatory respondents in this review, Avid Organics Private Limited (Avid) 5 and Kumar Industries, India (Kumar).6 On September 29, 2022, Commerce extended the deadline for issuing these final results to December 9.2022.7

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the Order is glycine from India. For a complete description of the scope of the Order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁸

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in interested parties' briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum accompanying this notice. A list of the issues raised by parties and discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is provided as an appendix to this notice. Based on our analysis of the comments received from interested parties and record information, we made no changes from the *Preliminary Results*. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's

See Glycine from India: Preliminary Results and Recission, in Part, of Countervailing Duty
Administrative Review; 2020, 87 FR 40494 (July 7, 2022) (Preliminary Results) and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See GOI's Letter, "Case Brief on behalf of Government of India," dated August 8, 2022.

³ See Petitioner's Letter, "Glycine from India: Case Brief of GEO Specialty Chemicals, Inc.," dated August 8, 2022.

⁴ See Petitioner's Letter, "Rebuttal Brief of GEO Specialty Chemicals, Inc.," dated August 15, 2022.

⁵ See Avid's Letter, "AVID's Rebuttal to Petitioner Case Brief of August 8, 2022," dated August 13, 2022

⁶ See Kumar's Letter, "Rebuttal Brief to Petitioner's Case Brief," dated August 15, 2022.

⁷ See Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for the Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2020," dated September 29, 2022

⁸ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Glycine from India; 2020," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx.

Methodology

Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, we find that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.⁹ For a description of the methodology underlying Commerce's conclusions, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Rate for Non-Selected Company Under Review

There is one company subject to this review that was not selected as a mandatory respondent, i.e., Paras Intermediates Private Ltd. (Paras).¹⁰ Because the final subsidy rates calculated for the mandatory respondents in this review, Avid and Kumar, are above de minimis and are not based entirely on facts available,11 we have continued to apply to Paras a subsidy rate based on a weightedaverage of the subsidy rates calculated for Avid and Kumar using publicly ranged sales data for these final results.12 This methodology for establishing the subsidy rate for the non-selected company is consistent with our practice and with section 705(c)(5)(A) of the Act.

Final Results of Administrative Review

For the period January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020, we

determine that the following net countervailable subsidy rates exist:

Company	Subsidy rate (percent ad valorem)
Avid Organics Private Limited	3.00
Kumar Industries, India ¹³	3.11
Paras Intermediates Private Ltd	3.06

Disclosure

Normally, Commerce discloses to interested parties the calculations performed in connection with the final results of review within five days of a public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of the notice of final results in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). However, because we have made no changes from the *Preliminary Results*, there are no calculations to disclose.

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2). Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise covered by this review. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the Federal Register. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, Commerce also intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amounts indicated above on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review. For all nonreviewed firms subject to the Order. Commerce will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit requirements, effective upon publication of these final results, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an administrative

protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: December 9, 2022.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Scope of the Order

IV. Non-Selected Company Rate

V. Subsidies Valuation Information

VI. Interest Rates, Discount Rates, and Benchmarks

VII. Analysis of Programs VIII. Discussion of the Issues

Comment 1: Whether to Apply Adverse Facts Available (AFA) to Kumar

Comment 2: Whether Kumar Received Countervailable Electricity Subsidies from the State Government of Gujarat (SGOG)

Comment 3: Whether Commerce Should Adjust its Benchmark and Benefit Calculations for the SGOG Provision of Water for Less than Adequate Remuneration (LTAR) Program

Comment 4: Whether Avid Used Both the Interest Equalization Scheme (IES) and the Pre-Shipment and Post-Shipment Finance Programs

Comment 5: Whether Commerce has Conducted an Appropriate Review

Comment 6: Whether the Duty Drawback (DDB) Program Is Countervailable

Comment 7: Whether the Export Promotion of Capital Goods and Services (EPCGS) Program Is Countervailable

Comment 8: Whether the Merchandise Export From India Scheme (MEIS) Program Is Countervailable

Comment 9: Whether the SGOG Electricity Duty Exemption Program Is Countervailable

Comment 10: Whether the Pre-Shipment and Post-Shipment Finance Program Is Countervailable

Comment 11: Whether the Interest Subsidy Under Scheme for Assistance of Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) as per Gujarat Industrial Policy 2009 Program Is Countervailable

Comment 12: Whether the SGOG Provision of Water for LTAR Program Is Countervailable

⁹ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

¹⁰ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

¹¹The subsidy rates for Avid and Kumar for these final results of review are unchanged from the *Preliminary Results*.

¹² See Issues and Decision Memorandum; see also Memorandum, "Preliminary Results Calculation of Subsidy Rate for a Non-Selected Company Under Review," dated June 30, 2022 (Non-Selected Rate Calculation Memorandum).

¹³ Commerce continues to find that Kumar is cross-owned with Advance Chemical Corporation; therefore, the same subsidy rate applies to both companies. See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 8. We note that the *Initiation Notice* references "Kumar Industries" which we have determined is the same company as "Kumar Industries, India."

IX. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022–27221 Filed 12–14–22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Visiting Committee on Advanced Technology

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Department of

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: : National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)'s Visiting Committee on Advanced Technology (VCAT or Committee) will meet on Wednesday, February 8, 2023, from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, and Thursday, February 9, 2023, from 8:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. Eastern Time.

DATES: The VCAT will meet on Wednesday, February 8, 2023, from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. and Thursday, February 9, 2023, from 8:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. Eastern Time.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence, 9700 Great Seneca Highway, Rockville, Maryland, 20850 with an option to participate via webinar. Please note admittance instructions under the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephanie Shaw, VCAT, NIST, 100 Bureau Drive, Mail Stop 1060, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899–1060, telephone number 240–446–6000. Ms.

Shaw's email address is stephanie.shaw@nist.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, 5 U.S.C. App., notice is hereby given that the VCAT will meet on Wednesday, February 8, 2023, from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time and Thursday, February 9, 2023, from 8:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. Eastern Time. The meeting will be open to the public. The VCAT is composed of not fewer than 9 members appointed by the NIST Director, eminent in such fields as business, research, new product development, engineering, labor, education, management consulting, environment, and international relations. The primary purpose of this meeting is for the VCAT to review and make recommendations regarding general policy for NIST, its organization, its budget, and its programs within the framework of applicable national

policies as set forth by the President and the Congress. The agenda will include an update on major programs at NIST. Each of the three subcommittees: Subcommittee on Alignment of Manufacturing Efforts, Subcommittee on Visibility Improvement, and Subcommittee on Workforce Development Efforts will present their recommendations to the full Committee. The Committee will also present its initial observations, findings, and recommendations for the 2022 VCAT Annual Report. The agenda may change to accommodate Committee business. The final agenda will be posted on the NIST website at http://www.nist.gov/ director/vcat/agenda.cfm.

Individuals and representatives of organizations who would like to offer comments and suggestions related to the Committee's business are invited to request a place on the agenda by no later than 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Wednesday, February 1, 2023 by contacting Stephanie Shaw at stephanie.shaw@nist.gov. Approximately one-half hour will be reserved for public comments and speaking times will be assigned on a first-come, first-serve basis. The amount of time per speaker will be determined by the number of requests received but, is likely to be about 3 minutes each. The exact time and date for public comments will be included in the final agenda that will be posted on the NIST website at http://www.nist.gov/director/ vcat/agenda.cfm. Questions from the public will not be considered during this period. Speakers who wish to expand upon their oral statements, those who had wished to speak but could not be accommodated on the agenda, and those who were unable to attend in person or via webinar are invited to submit written statements to Stephanie Shaw at stephanie.shaw@ nist.gov.

For participants attending via webinar, please contact Ms. Shaw at *stephanie.shaw@nist.gov* for detailed instructions on how to join the webinar by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Wednesday, February 1, 2023. For participants wishing to attend in person, please submit your name, time of arrival, email address, and phone number to Hope Fato, *hope.fato@nist.gov* by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Wednesday, February 1, 2023. For detailed information please contact Ms. Fato at *hope.fato@nist.gov*.

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 278, as amended, and the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, 5 U.S.C. App.

Alicia Chambers,

 $NIST\ Executive\ Secretariat.$

[FR Doc. 2022–27232 Filed 12–14–22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Establishing an Advisory Council Pursuant to the National Marine Sanctuaries Act and Solicitation for Applications for the Proposed Hudson Canyon National Marine Sanctuary Advisory Council

AGENCY: Office of National Marine Sanctuaries (ONMS), National Ocean Service (NOS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Department of Commerce (DOC).

ACTION: Notice of solicitation.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that NOAA is establishing a sanctuary advisory council (council) for the proposed Hudson Canyon National Marine Sanctuary to provide advice and recommendations to ONMS regarding the sanctuary's designation. With this notice, ONMS is soliciting applications for seats on the council. ONMS will add this new council to the list of established national marine sanctuary advisory councils.

DATES: Applications for membership on the proposed Hudson Canyon National Marine Sanctuary Advisory Council must be emailed or postmarked by January 31, 2023.

ADDRESSES: For application submission or further information contact: Ellen Brody, NOAA Office of National Marine Sanctuaries, 4840 South State Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48108, phone: (734) 276–6387, email Ellen.Brody@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Section 315 of the National Marine Sanctuaries Act (NMSA) (16 U.S.C. 1445a) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to establish advisory councils to advise and make recommendations regarding the designation and management of national marine sanctuaries. ONMS is establishing a new sanctuary advisory council for the proposed Hudson Canyon National Marine Sanctuary to serve as a liaison to the local community and provide guidance and advice to ONMS during its designation.