

**Week of January 9, 2023—Tentative**

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of January 9, 2023.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:**

For more information or to verify the status of meetings, contact Wesley Held at 301-287-3591 or via email at [Wesley.Held@nrc.gov](mailto:Wesley.Held@nrc.gov).

The NRC is holding the meetings under the authority of the Government in the Sunshine Act, 5 U.S.C. 552b.

Dated: November 30, 2022.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Wesley W. Held,**

*Policy Coordinator, Office of the Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2022-26428 Filed 12-1-22; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

[Docket Nos. 50-498 and 50-499; NRC-2022-0206]

**STP Nuclear Operating Company; South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact; issuance.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing an environmental assessment (EA) and finding of no significant impact (FONSI) under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) and NRC's regulations. This EA summarizes the results of the NRC staff's environmental review, which evaluates the potential environmental impacts of approving an alternate disposal request in response to a request from STP Nuclear Operating Company (STPNOC) for Renewed Facility Operating Licenses NPF-76 and NPF-80 for South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2 (STP). Specifically, the alternate disposal request, if approved, would allow the licensee to dispose of very-low-level waste (VLLW) generated during day-to-day operations at the STP reactor site at Texas Class 1 or Class 2 industrial landfills.

**DATES:** The EA and FONSI referenced in this document are available on December 5, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2022-0206 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Website:* Go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and search

for Docket ID NRC-2022-0206. Address questions about Docket IDs in [Regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov) to Stacy Schumann; telephone: 301-415-0624; email: [Stacy.Schumann@nrc.gov](mailto:Stacy.Schumann@nrc.gov). For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to [PDR.Resource@nrc.gov](mailto:PDR.Resource@nrc.gov). For the convenience of the reader, instructions about obtaining materials referenced in this document are provide in the "Availability of Documents" section.

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents, by appointment, at the NRC's PDR, Room P1 B35, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852. To make an appointment to visit the PDR, please send an email to [PDR.Resource@nrc.gov](mailto:PDR.Resource@nrc.gov) or call 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time (ET), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dennis Galvin, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, telephone: 301-415-6256, email: [Dennis.Galvin@nrc.gov](mailto:Dennis.Galvin@nrc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****I. Introduction**

The NRC is considering the approval of an alternate disposal request, dated November 4, 2021, as supplemented by letters dated December 3, 2021, August 19, 2022, and November 22, 2022, from STPNOC for waste material containing VLLW generated during day-to-day operations at the STP reactor site, located in Matagorda County, Texas, for ultimate disposal at Texas Class 1 or Class 2 industrial landfills.<sup>1</sup> The August 19, 2022, STPNOC letter was in response to the NRC request for information, dated July 20, 2022. The term "VLLW" is generally understood as material created during the conduct of NRC- or Agreement State-licensed activities that contains some residual

<sup>1</sup> Texas Class 1 or Class 2 industrial landfills refer to landfills permitted to accept Class 1 or Class 2 waste as defined by Texas regulations in 30 Texas Administrative Code 335 Subchapter R.

radioactivity, including naturally occurring radionuclides, that may be safely disposed in hazardous or municipal solid waste landfills. VLLW represents a small fraction of the hazard of waste at the Class A limits in Part 61 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), "Licensing Requirements for Land Disposal of Radioactive Waste."

NUREG-1437, "Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants" dated June 2013 (hereafter, the Generic Environmental Impact Statement or GEIS), Section 3.1.4.3, "Solid Radioactive Waste," addresses solid low-level waste (LLW) as follows:

Solid [LLW] from nuclear power plants is generated from the removal of radionuclides from liquid waste streams, filtration of airborne gaseous emissions, and removal of contaminated material from various reactor areas. Liquid contaminated with radionuclides comes from primary and secondary coolant systems, spent fuel pools, decontaminated wastewater, and laboratory operations.

Solid waste is packaged in containers to meet the applicable requirements of [Department of Transportation's regulations at] 49 CFR parts 171 through 177. Disposal and transportation are performed in accordance with the NRC's applicable requirements of 10 CFR part 61 and 10 CFR part 71, respectively.

Solid radioactive waste generated during operations is shipped to a LLW processor or directly to a [10 CFR part 61] LLW disposal site.

As noted in Supplement 48 to NUREG-1437, "Generic Environmental Impact State for License Renewal, Supplement 48: Regarding South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2" dated November 2013 (hereafter, the Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement or SEIS), the SEIS generated as part of the STP license renewal process,<sup>2</sup> a solid waste processing system is maintained onsite at STP designed to process, package, and store solid radioactive wastes generated by plant operations until they are shipped offsite to a vendor for further processing or for permanent disposal at a 10 CFR part 61 LLW disposal facility.

The waste being considered in the licensee's alternate disposal request includes dewatered sewage sludge, ion exchange media, desiccant, ventilation filtration media, and soil that originated from the secondary side of plant operations. Rather than disposal at a 10 CFR part 61 LLW disposal site, the licensee is requesting approval to dispose of the waste at Texas Class 1 or

<sup>2</sup> The license was renewed on September 28, 2017.

Class 2 industrial landfills in accordance with 10 CFR 20.2002, “Method for obtaining approval of proposed disposal procedures.”

In accordance with NRC guidance outlined in All Agreement States letter Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs (FSME)–12–025, “Clarification of the Authorization for Alternate Disposal of Material Issued Under 10 CFR 20.2002 and Exemption Provisions In 10 CFR,” dated March 13, 2012, and Regulatory Information Summary–2016–11, “Requests to Dispose of Very Low-Level Radioactive Waste Pursuant to 10 CFR 20.2002,” dated November 13, 2016, approval of the requested action requires authorization from both the NRC and the State of Texas. In order to release the waste from the NRC license and allow it to be disposed in accordance with the request, a review must be performed by the NRC as the regulatory agency that issued the license. Texas, which is an NRC Agreement State, maintains the regulatory authority over the Class 1 and Class 2 industrial landfills being considered for the disposal of the waste in question and, thus, maintains responsibility for approving the disposal of the requested waste and ensuring that the disposal actions are performed in accordance with regulations described in the Texas Administrative Code (TAC).<sup>3</sup>

The requested action of releasing the waste from the licensee’s authority is a licensing action and, per NRC requirements in 10 CFR part 51, “Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions,” this action requires an evaluation of environmental impacts associated with the requested action. The NRC staff has prepared this EA<sup>4</sup> in accordance with NRC requirements in 10 CFR 51.21, “Criteria for and identification of licensing and regulatory actions requiring environmental assessments,” and 51.30, “Environmental assessment,” and with the associated guidance in NUREG–1748, “Environmental Review Guidance for Licensing Actions Associated with NMSS [the Office of Nuclear Material

Safety and Safeguards] Programs,” dated August 2003, and the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) Office Instruction LIC–203, “Procedural Guidance for Categorical Exclusions, Environmental Assessments, and Considering Environmental Issues,” dated July 2020. This EA evaluates the licensee’s requested action of releasing the waste which is regulated by the NRC and the connected action<sup>5</sup> of transporting the waste for disposal at an industrial landfill, which is regulated by Texas.

## II. Environmental Assessment

### *Description of the Proposed Action*

The proposed action consists of the licensee’s 10 CFR 20.2002 alternate disposal request to release the VLLW waste generated from STP waste management operational activities and disposing of it at an existing Texas Class 1 or Class 2 industrial landfill. Per established procedures and in compliance with NRC regulations, the licensee would continue onsite operations related to the processing, packaging, and shipping of the VLLW offsite, which are described in Section 11.4, “Solid Waste Management System,” of the STP Updated Final Safety Analysis Report. For example, waste is held, pending transport, in the STP Environmental Yard as described in plant procedures for packaging and shipment of waste materials, as discussed in the STPNOC letter, dated December 3, 2021. No additional construction activities or operational changes at STP are required to prepare the waste onsite for transportation and for ultimate disposal, as discussed in the STPNOC letter, dated August 19, 2022.

The proposed action, which involves annual shipments of approximately 51 cubic meters (m<sup>3</sup>) per year of material, results in individual shipping volumes ranging from 4.25 m<sup>3</sup> to 10.2 m<sup>3</sup> per shipment depending on the number of shipments. These volumes are minimal relative to annual volumes being disposed at Texas Class 1 or Class 2 industrial landfills. For example, according to the STPNOC 2020 annual radioactive effluent release report, the licensee disposed of a total of 59.6 m<sup>3</sup> of VLLW at the Blue Ridge Landfill. A review of the Texas Commission on

Environmental Quality (TCEQ) reports, “Municipal Solid Waste in Texas: A Year in Review, 2019 Data Summary and Analysis” and “Municipal Solid Waste in Texas: A Year in Review, 2020 Data Summary and Analysis,” indicated that the Blue Ridge Landfill received and disposed of approximately 1,300,000 m<sup>3</sup> of similar material in the 2020 reporting year.

The waste would be transported per Department of Transportation regulations to Texas Class 1 or Class 2 industrial landfills authorized to accept the material. The material being considered for disposal in the requested action will be shipped from STP to the industrial landfill in B–25 boxes or 55-gallon drums on trucks or, in some cases, vacuum trucks. Upon arrival at the landfill, disposal actions will be performed in accordance with established procedures and consistent with Texas regulations. Texas would maintain oversight and regulatory authority of the disposal actions related to the proposed action.

### *Need for the Proposed Action*

The purpose and need for the proposed action are to authorize a safe and appropriate method for disposing of material containing VLLW generated during operations at STP. The proposed action would expand the licensee’s options for dispositioning this VLLW, allowing disposal at Texas Class 1 or Class 2 industrial landfills, as well as at a 10 CFR part 61 LLW disposal site. Approval of the proposed action would allow the specified waste generated during operations to be sent to industrial landfills permitted by Texas to receive the waste for disposal and allow STP to continue operation. The proposed action would also satisfy the regulatory requirements regarding the disposal of VLLW in accordance with NRC regulations as noted in the NRC’s letter to STPNOC, dated August 10, 2021.

### *Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered the no-action alternative in which the NRC staff would deny the disposal request. Denial of the request would require STP to dispose of the VLLW at a 10 CFR part 61 LLW disposal site or submit an alternate disposal request that considers another option for disposing of the material.

### *Affected Environment Including Environmental Characteristics*

The affected environment of the facilities and processes associated with the onsite waste management activities

<sup>3</sup> Specific regulations can be found at: <https://www.sos.state.tx.us/tac/index.html>.

<sup>4</sup> In 10 CFR 51.14, “Definitions,” an EA is defined as “a concise public document for which the Commission is responsible that serves to: (1) [b]riefly provide sufficient evidence and analysis for determining whether to prepare an environmental impact statement or a finding of no significant impact; (2) [a]id the Commission’s compliance with NEPA when no environmental impact statement is necessary; and (3) [f]acilitate preparation of an environmental impact statement when one is necessary.”

<sup>5</sup> Connected actions are actions that are closely related and therefore should be discussed in the same assessment. Actions are connected if they: (i) Automatically trigger other actions that may require environmental impact statements; (ii) Cannot or will not proceed unless other actions are taken previously or simultaneously; or (iii) Are interdependent parts of a larger action and depend on the larger action for their justification.

at STP is described in Chapter 2, "Affected Environment," of the SEIS.

The environmental characteristics would be expected to vary among approved Texas Class 1 or Class 2 industrial landfills due to their locations and modes of operation. Texas is responsible for approving the construction of landfills within the state and overseeing their operations. Specifically, Texas regulations in TAC Title 30 Chapter 330, "Municipal Solid Waste," which address siting, construction, and operations of specific landfills, consider the environmental characteristics of individual landfills at the time of permitting.

Ideally for the licensee, due to increase cost for transportation and radiological risk, the landfill selected for disposal would be close to the STP site in Matagorda County, Texas. Therefore, the affected environment described for the STP SEIS, specifically Chapter 2, "Affected Environment," could be similar to the selected landfill affected environment. In addition, the NRC staff considered the affected environment for a landfill (1) located close to the STP site in Matagorda County, (2) known to have been used previously by STP (*i.e.*, Blue Ridge Landfill), and (3) located outside of Matagorda County.

If the licensee chooses a landfill that is outside of Matagorda County, it makes sense that the selected landfill would be a short distance from STP in order to minimize potential transportation and radiological impacts. In the past, STP has disposed of waste at Blue Ridge Landfill located in Fresno, Texas (Fort Bend County). In addition, several neighboring counties surrounding Matagorda County have operating landfills (*e.g.*, Fort Bend, Brazoria, Wharton, and Jackson).

Several Federal and State agencies have prepared environmental impact statements (EISs) for their proposed actions, which include a description of the affected environment in these counties, including "U.S. Department of Energy W.A. Parish Post-Combustion CO<sub>2</sub> Capture and Sequestration Project Final Environmental Impact Statement." The W.A. Parish EIS describes the affected environment of Fort Bend County (which is where the Blue Ridge Landfill is located) covering the resource areas of air quality and climate (Section 3.2); geology, soils, and land use (Sections 3.4, 3.5 and 3.11); water resources (Sections 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8); ecological resources (Section 3.9); cultural resources (Section 3.10); traffic and transportation (Section 3.12); and socioeconomics (Section 3.18).

Should STP choose a landfill besides Blue Ridge Landfill which is located

outside of Matagorda County, the W.A. Parish EIS also describes the previously mentioned affected resources areas in Jackson County, Brazoria, or Wharton Counties.

#### *Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action*

This section identifies and evaluates the anticipated environmental impacts associated with implementing the proposed action. This includes consideration of the actions performed at STP, the transportation of the material to the selected Texas Class 1 or Class 2 industrial landfill, and impacts related to the actions performed at the industrial landfill.

The first part of the proposed action considered waste management operational tasks previously evaluated and approved by the NRC as part of the STP license renewal. Impacts to STP from these waste management operational tasks are documented in Chapter 2, "Alternatives Including the Proposed Action," of the GEIS and Chapter 4.0, "Environmental Impacts of Operation," and Chapter 6.0, "Environmental Impacts of the Uranium Fuel Cycle, Waste Management, and Greenhouse Gas Emissions," of the SEIS. Specially, these specific sections discuss impacts of STP operational activities, including waste management, which impact the affected environment:

- Sections 4.1 and 4.11 of the SEIS evaluate impacts to land use, geology, and soils. The impacts would be small.
- Sections 4.3 and 4.4 of the SEIS evaluate impacts to water resources. The impacts would be small.
- Sections 4.5–4.7 of the SEIS evaluate impacts to ecological resources. The impacts would be small.
- Section 4.2 of the SEIS evaluates impacts to air quality. The impacts would be small.
- Section 4.9 of the SEIS evaluates impacts to socioeconomic issues including to noise and visual aesthetics, housing, public services, and historical and archeological resources. The impacts would be small.
- Section 4.9.7 of the SEIS addresses environmental justice. The NRC staff has determined that there would be no disproportionately high and adverse impacts to these populations from the continued operation of STP during the license renewal period.
- Section 4.8 of the SEIS evaluates license renewal impacts to overall human health and concludes that the impacts would be small to moderate. However, as noted in the following bullet, specific impacts related to waste management activities were identified as being small.

- Section 4.11.1.1 of the GEIS and Section 6.1 of the SEIS evaluate waste management activities. The impacts from LLW storage and disposal would be small.

The NRC staff did not identify any new or significant information related to waste management operational activities being performed at STP if the alternate disposal request is approved, which were not considered in the GEIS and SEIS and which would result in changes to the findings or conclusions of their impact analysis.

Transportation of the waste for disposal was evaluated as part of the STP renewal in Section 4.11.1.1 of the GEIS. In the GEIS, the impact of LLW storage and disposal is considered small. The waste in the GEIS is transported from the nuclear power plant to a 10 CFR part 61 LLW disposal site. In this case, the nearest 10 CFR part 61 LLW disposal site would be over 500 miles away. Therefore, the impact assessment of the GEIS would bound the analysis of transporting from the STP site to a local landfill in one of the surrounding counties (*i.e.*, the landfill would be less than 500 miles). The Department of Transportation regulations govern the transport of radioactive material by truck on public highways. The NRC staff evaluated the risk to human health from the transportation of all radioactive material in the U.S. in NUREG–0170, "Final Environmental Statement on the Transportation of Radioactive Materials by Air and Other Modes," December 1977). The principal radiological environmental impact during normal transportation by trucks is direct radiation exposure to transport workers and nearby persons from radioactive material in the package. The average annual individual dose from all radioactive material transportation in the U.S. was calculated as approximately 0.005 millisievert (mSv) per year (0.5 millirem (mrem) per year), well below the 10 CFR 20.1301, "Dose limits for individual members of the public," limit of 1 mSv per year (100 mrem per year) for a member of the public.

Regarding the second part of the proposed action (*i.e.*, disposal at Texas Class 1 or Class 2 industrial landfills), Texas regulations permit Class 1 and Class 2 industrial landfills to accept waste exempt by rule for disposal. The exempt waste is defined as waste with radionuclide content that meets the concentration or activity limits in 25 TAC 289.251(l)(1) and 25 TAC 289.251(l)(2), respectively, in accordance with 25 TAC § 289.251(e)(1) and 25 TAC § 289.251(e)(2). Since the

permit provided by Texas for the construction of landfills requires a discussion of the total amount of material that will be disposed of at the landfill and consideration of the construction of cells or facilities, there would be no additional environmental impacts or significant operational changes when accepting exempted waste. The proposed action would be part of Texas permitted waste management operational activities at the landfill and if the disposal operator complies with the Texas regulations, there would be minimal impacts from the proposed action. Specific impacts related to the disposal of 5–12 shipments of VLLW from STP at Texas Class 1 or Class 2 industrial landfills are addressed in the following subsections.

#### *Land Use, Geology, and Soils*

Regulatory requirements related to potential impacts to these resource areas are overseen by TCEQ in accordance with Texas regulations, including TAC Title 30 Rule 330.61(g), “Land-use map,” TAC Title 30 Rule 330.61(h), “Impact on surrounding area,” and TAC Title 30 Rule 330.61(j), “General geology and soils statement.” These regulations discuss specific details an owner or operator requesting a permit for a landfill must include in their application in order to identify potential land use, geology, and soils impacts, as well as how the landfill may impact surrounding cities, communities, groups, and individuals. Provided the landfill permit is approved in accordance with these regulations and the landfill remains in compliance with the operational regulations in TAC Title 30 Chapter 30 Subchapter D, “Operational Standards for Municipal Solid Waste Landfill Facilities,” the NRC staff does not expect the proposed action to significantly impact land use, geology, or soils.

#### *Transportation*

Offsite transportation impacts from the shipment of VLLW to Texas Class 1 or Class 2 industrial landfills may vary due to distances and routes travelled. Transportation of VLLW would be in accordance with Department of Transportation’s regulations. Any onsite transportation of VLLW at the landfill is expected to be in accordance with Texas regulations. Considering the number of shipments (*i.e.*, 5–12 per year), the proposed action would have no significant transportation impacts.

#### *Water Resources*

Regulatory requirements related to potential impacts to water resources, including surface water and

groundwater at industrial landfills are overseen by TCEQ in accordance with TAC Title 30 Chapter 330. These include the regulation of drainage options, liner system design and operation, groundwater sampling and monitoring, as well as closure and post-closure requirements. Therefore, provided that the landfill remains in compliance with Texas regulations, the NRC staff does not expect the proposed action to significantly impact water resources on and around the site.

#### *Ecological Resources*

Potential impacts to ecological resources from the proposed action at Texas Class 1 or Class 2 industrial landfills and associated lands are site-specific as disposal site locations range from urban to rural landscapes. Texas permitting requirements, including TAC Title 30 Rule 330.157, “Endangered Species Protection”; TAC Title 30 Rule 330.61(n), “Endangered or Threatened Species”; TAC Title 30 Rule 330.23, “Relationships with other Governmental Entities,” (h), “Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD)”; and TAC Title 30 Rule 330.61(m), “Floodplains and wetlands statement,” are considered by Texas when approving the use of land for a landfill. Therefore, provided that the landfill remains in compliance with Texas regulations, the NRC staff does not expect the proposed action to significantly impact the ecological resources on and around the site. The proposed action does not involve the development or disturbance of additional land. Hence, the NRC staff has determined that the proposed action will not affect listed endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat.

#### *Air Quality*

Regulatory requirements and oversight of potential impacts from the proposed action at the landfill are overseen by Texas in accordance with multiple rules identified in TAC Title 30 Chapter 330. Considering the number of shipments and small volumes associated with the proposed action and provided that the landfill remains in compliance with Texas regulations, the NRC staff does not expect the proposed action to significantly impact the air quality on and around the site.

#### *Socioeconomics*

The regulations discussed in TAC Title 30 Rule 330.57(d), “Required Information,” ensure that the operation of disposal sites permitted by Texas pose no reasonable probability of adversely affecting the health, welfare, environment, or physical property of

nearby residents and property owners. In addition, Texas regulations in TAC Title 30 Rule 330.61 require that applicants requesting a permit for a municipal solid waste landfill include documentation of surrounding historical structures and sites that may be impacted by the existence of the landfill or disposal operations that would occur on the site. Considering the number of shipments and small volume of VLLW, the proposed action would have no significant socioeconomic impact.

#### *Waste Management*

Waste management activities at Texas Class 1 or Class 2 industrial landfills are conducted in compliance with TAC Title 30 Chapter 330. Therefore, considering the number of shipments and small volume of VLLW, the proposed action would not significantly impact waste management activities at the landfills.

#### *Public and Occupational Human Health*

The NRC staff does not expect the proposed action to significantly impact public and occupational health on or near landfills. Texas landfill regulatory requirements were established to minimize exposures to workers and members of the public. Doses calculated using the proposed STP Administrative Concentration Limits provided by the licensee confirmed that doses associated with the transport and disposal would be less than 2 mrem per year. Therefore, the proposed action would not significantly impact public and occupational health.

#### *Environmental Justice*

Existing Texas Class 1 and Class 2 industrial landfills are located in a variety of environmental settings, including urban, suburban, and rural locations. As previously noted, Texas permitting regulations, TAC Title 30 Rule 330.61(h) require information regarding how a landfill may impact surrounding cities, communities, groups, and individuals. In accordance with this regulation, the NRC staff does not expect the proposed action to have a noticeable effect on populations near Texas Class 1 or Class 2 industrial landfills. Thus, because the Texas regulations aim to minimize impacts to human health and environment and considering the number of shipments (*i.e.*, 5–12 per year), the proposed action is not expected to result in disproportionately high and adverse human health and environmental effects on minority or low-income populations near these landfills.

### *Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered the no-action alternative in which the NRC would deny the alternate disposal request. The portion of the proposed action performed at STP is part of the current waste management operational activities and thus, would not be impacted by denying the alternate disposal request. As previously noted, since STP does not maintain the ability to store this material onsite for a long period of time and Texas does not have the authority to approve the disposal of material outside of their state, denial of the request would require the licensee to transport the material to a 10 CFR part 61 LLW disposal site (e.g., Waste Control Specialists LLC).

Multiple Class 1 and Class 2 industrial landfills are located in the counties surrounding the STP site while the nearest 10 CFR part 61 LLW disposal site is located more than 500 miles from the site. Thus, pursuing this alternative would change the location in which the material is disposed, while other factors related to the disposal of the material would be expected to be similar to the proposed action.

### *Cumulative Impacts*

Section 4.13.11 of the GEIS evaluated the cumulative impacts from STP waste

management operational activities and found the impacts to be minimal. Regarding disposal at the landfills, given the occasional nature of these activities, the small amounts of waste to be disposed, and the expected limited number of workers needed to perform the disposal actions, the NRC staff considers the cumulative impacts of landfill activities, when added to existing activities, to be minimal.

### *Agencies and Persons Consulted*

On November 17, 2022, the NRC staff consulted with the TCEQ by providing a draft of the EA for review and comment. By email dated November 28, 2022, TCEQ provided comments regarding the use of VLLW versus waste that has been exempt by rule when defining the waste being considered as well as the NRC's performance of dose calculations when assessing impacts related to the transportation and disposal of the waste being considered in the requested action. NRC staff acknowledge the difference between the two terms and modified the section in the "Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action" to clarify the type of material being discussed. Regarding the comments related to dose calculations, although an evaluation of doses to members of the public is not required by TAC regulations for exempted waste it is the NRC's policy to consider doses

associated with these exposure scenarios when evaluating alternate disposal requests.

As previously noted, the NRC has determined that the proposed action will not affect listed endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat. Therefore, no further consultation is required under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. Likewise, the NRC staff has determined that the proposed action does not have the potential to adversely affect cultural resources because no ground disturbing activities are associated with the proposed action. Therefore, no consultation is required under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

### **III. Finding of No Significant Impact**

Based on the findings in this EA, the NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action would have no significant environmental impacts and that this request does not require the preparation of an EIS. Accordingly, the NRC staff has determined that a FONSI is appropriate.

### **IV. Availability of Documents**

The documents identified in the following table are available to interested persons through one or more of the following methods, as indicated.

Document description	ADAMS accession No./website
STP Nuclear Operating Company, "Response to End of Enforcement Discretion and Request for Approval of Alternate Disposal Procedures for Very Low-Level Radioactive Material," dated November 4, 2021.	ML21308A603.
STP Nuclear Operating Company, "Revised Response to End of Enforcement Discretion and Request for Approval of Alternate Disposal Procedures for Very Low-Level Radioactive Material (EPID: L-2021-LLL-0022)," dated December 3, 2021.	ML21337A126.
STP Nuclear Operating Company, "STPNOC Response to Request for Additional Information Regarding Request for Approval of Alternate Disposal Procedures for Very Low-Level Radioactive Material (EPID: L 2021-LLL-0022)," dated August 19, 2022.	ML22231A469.
STP Nuclear Operating Company, "Clarification on STPNOC Response to Request for Additional Information Regarding Request for Approval of Alternate Disposal Procedures for Very Low-Level Radioactive Material (EPID: L 2021-LLL-0022)," dated November 22, 2022.	ML22326A296.
STP Nuclear Operating Company, "Updated Final Safety Analysis Report, Revision 20," dated April 29, 2020.	ML20133J932 (Package).
STP Nuclear Operating Company, "2020 Radioactive Effluent Release Report," dated April 19, 2021 .....	ML21110A153.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "South Texas Project—Request for Additional Information—10 CFR 20.2002 Alternate Disposal Request (EPID: L-2021-LLL-0022)," email dated July 20, 2022.	ML22206A014.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2—End of Enforcement Discretion Related to Alternate Disposal Procedures for Very Low-Level Radioactive Waste," dated August 10, 2021.	ML21180A195.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, NUREG-1437, "Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants: Main Report," Volume 1, Revision 1, dated June 2013.	ML13106A241.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Supplement 48 to NUREG-1437, "Generic Environmental Impact State for License Renewal, Supplement 48: Regarding South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2," Final Report, dated November 2013.	ML13322A890.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, All Agreement States Letter, "Clarification of the Authorization for Alternate Disposal of Material Issued Under 10 CFR 20.2002 and Exemption Provisions in 10 CFR (FSME 12-025)," dated March 13, 2012.	ML12065A038.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Regulatory Information Summary 2016-11, "Requests to Dispose of Very Low-Level Radioactive Waste Pursuant to 10 CFR 20.2002," dated November 13, 2016.	ML16007A488.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, NUREG-1748, "Environmental Review Guidance for Licensing Actions Associated with NMSS Programs," Final Report, dated August 2003.	ML032450279.

Document description	ADAMS accession No./website
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Office Instruction LIC-203, Revision 4 "Procedural Guidance for Categorical Exclusions, Environmental Assessments, and Considering Environmental Issues," dated July 7, 2020.	ML20016A379.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, NUREG-0170, "Final Environmental Statement on the Transportation of Radioactive Materials by Air and Other Modes," Volume 1, dated December 1977.	ML022590355 (Package).
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Annual Summaries, FY2020 "Municipal Solid Waste in Texas: A Year in Review, 2020 Data Summary and Analysis," dated September 2021.	<a href="https://www.tceq.texas.gov/permitting/waste_permits/waste_planning/wp_swasteplan.html">https://www.tceq.texas.gov/permitting/waste_permits/waste_planning/wp_swasteplan.html</a> . Retrieved September 30, 2022.
U.S. Department of Energy, "U.S. Department of Energy W.A. Parish Post-Combustion CO <sub>2</sub> Capture and Sequestration Project Final Environmental Impact Statement." Dated February 2013.	<a href="https://www.energy.gov/nepa/downloads/eis-0473-final-environmental-impact-statement">https://www.energy.gov/nepa/downloads/eis-0473-final-environmental-impact-statement</a> . Retrieved September 30, 2022.

Dated: November 30, 2022.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Dennis J. Galvin,**

*Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch IV,  
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing,  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 2022-26387 Filed 12-2-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

### President's Commission on White House Fellowships Advisory Committee: Closed Meeting

**AGENCY:** President's Commission on White House Fellowships, Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The President's Commission on White House Fellowships (PCWHF) was established by an Executive Order in 1964. The PCWHF is an advisory committee composed of Special Government Employees appointed by the President.

*Name of Committee:* President's Commission on White House Fellowships Mid-Year Meeting.

*Date:* January 20, 2023.

*Time:* 8 a.m.-5:30 p.m.

*Place:* Eisenhower Executive Office Building, 1650 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20500.

*Agenda:* The Commission holds a mid-year meeting to talk with current Fellows on how their placements are going and discuss preparation for future events.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rosemarie Vela, 712 Jackson Place NW, Washington, DC 20503, Phone: 202-395-4522.

President's Commission on White House Fellowships.

**Stephen Hickman,**

*Federal Register Liaison.*

[FR Doc. 2022-26338 Filed 12-2-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6325-69-P**

## OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

### Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee; Virtual Public Meeting

**AGENCY:** Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** According to the provisions of section 10 of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that a virtual meeting of the Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee will be held on Thursday, December 15, 2022. There will be no in-person gathering for this meeting.

**DATES:** The virtual meeting will be held on December 15, 2022, beginning at 10:00 a.m. (ET).

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will convene virtually.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ana Paunoiu, 202-606-2858, or email [pay-leave-policy@opm.gov](mailto:pay-leave-policy@opm.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee is composed of a Chair, five representatives from labor unions holding exclusive bargaining rights for Federal prevailing rate employees, and five representatives from Federal agencies. Entitlement to membership on the Committee is provided for in 5 U.S.C. 5347.

The Committee's primary responsibility is to review the Prevailing Rate System and other matters pertinent to establishing prevailing rates under subchapter IV, chapter 53, 5 U.S.C., as amended, and from time to time advise the Office of Personnel Management.

Annually, the Chair compiles a report of pay issues discussed and concluded recommendations. These reports are available to the public. Reports for calendar years 2008 to 2020 are posted at <http://www.opm.gov/fprac>. Previous reports are also available, upon written request to the Committee.

The public is invited to submit material in writing to the Chair on Federal Wage System pay matters felt to be deserving of the Committee's attention. Additional information on these meetings may be obtained by contacting the Committee at Office of Personnel Management, Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee, Room 7H31, 1900 E Street NW, Washington, DC 20415, (202) 606-2858.

This meeting is open to the public, with an audio option for listening. This notice sets forth the agenda for the meeting and the participation guidelines.

*Meeting Agenda.* The tentative agenda for this meeting includes the following Federal Wage System items:

- The definition of Monroe County, PA
- The definition of San Joaquin County, CA
- The definition of the Salinas-Monterey, CA, wage area
- The definition of the Puerto Rico wage area

*Public Participation:* The December 15, 2022, meeting of the Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee is open to the public through advance registration. Public participation is available for the meeting. All individuals who plan to attend the virtual public meeting to listen must register by sending an email to [pay-leave-policy@opm.gov](mailto:pay-leave-policy@opm.gov) with the subject line "December 15 FPRAC Meeting" no later than Tuesday, December 13, 2022.

The following information must be provided when registering:

- Name.
- Agency and duty station.
- Email address.
- Your topic of interest.

Members of the press, in addition to registering for this event, must also RSVP to [media@opm.gov](mailto:media@opm.gov) by December 13, 2022.

A confirmation email will be sent upon receipt of the registration. Audio teleconference information for participation will be sent to registrants the morning of the virtual meeting.