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AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Notice of Advisory Committee Public Meeting

AGENCY: Agency for International Development (USAID).

ACTION: Notice of Advisory Committee public meeting and request for public comment.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), notice is hereby given of Advisory Committee on Voluntary Foreign Aid (ACVFA) public meeting on Friday, December 2, 2022.

ADDRESSES: To view additional information related to ACVFA please visit http://www.usaid.gov/who-we-are/organization/advisory-committee.

You may submit comments regarding the work of ACVFA to acvfa@usaid.gov or the committee’s public comment form at: https://www.usaid.gov/who-we-are/organization/advisory-committee/acvfa-contact-us. Include “Public Comment, ACVFA Meeting, December 2” in the subject line. All public comments and questions will be included in the official record of the meeting and posted publicly on the USAID website.

If you require a reasonable accommodation, please email reasonableaccommodations@usaid.gov. Include “Request for Reasonable Accommodation, ACVFA Meeting, December 2” in the subject line.

Due to technical reasons, AID is providing notice announcing this meeting with less than a 15-day notice.

Sophia Lajaunie,
ACVFA Designated Federal Officer.
acvfa-contact-us
slajaunie@usaid.gov or 917–804–3674.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Sophia Lajaunie, Designated Federal Officer for ACVFA, at slajaunie@usaid.gov or 917–804–3674.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: ACVFA is USAID’s external advisory committee, bringing together representatives from private voluntary organizations, nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), academia, advocacy, and the private sector. Its membership of internationally recognized leaders represent a broad range of sectors who support the Agency’s mission and goals by advising on key development challenges and priorities.

ACVFA was re-established earlier this year and pursuant to its charter, is holding an annual public meeting on December 2, 2022, from 10:15 a.m.–11:45 a.m. ET. This meeting is free and open to the public. The Committee welcomes public participation and comment before, during, and after the meeting via the web and/or email addresses provided above.

American Sign Language interpretation will be provided during the public meeting. If you require a reasonable accommodation, please email reasonableaccommodations@usaid.gov. Include “Request for Reasonable Accommodation, ACVFA Meeting, December 2” in the subject line.

Due to technical reasons, AID is providing notice announcing this meeting with less than a 15-day notice.

Sophia Lajaunie,
ACVFA Designated Federal Officer.
[FR Doc. 2022–25326 Filed 11–21–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6116–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Agriculture will submit the following information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–19, 44 Stat. 31, as amended (5 U.S.C. 601–607) to provide the respondents the type of service they request, and to administer the California almond marketing order program. The board has developed forms as a means for persons to file required information with the board relating to the treatment of almonds to reduce the potential for Salmonella bacteria prior to shipment.

Need and Use of the Information: The information collected is used only by authorized representatives of USDA, including AMS, Specialty Crops Program’s regional and headquarters’ staff, and authorized employees and agents of the Board. Authorized Board employees, agents, and the industry are the primary users of the information, and AMS is the secondary user.

Description of Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 175.
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DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Food Safety and Inspection Service
[Docket No. FSIS–2022–0019]

Use of a Non-Destructive Surface Sampling Device To Sample Domestic Beef Manufacturing Trimmings and Bench Trim

AGENCY: Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS), U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA).

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: On February 1, 2023, FSIS intends to stop using the N60 excision sampling method to sample domestic beef manufacturing trimmings and bench trim for adulterant Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (E. coli) (STEC) and Salmonella. FSIS intends to replace the N60 excision sampling method with a non-destructive surface sampling method that uses a cloth manual sampling device. FSIS has found that the cloth sampling method is as effective as the N60 excision sampling method at recovering organisms in beef manufacturing trimmings. Additionally, the cloth sampling method is faster and safer for FSIS inspection program personnel (IPP) to use because it does not require IPP to use hooks or knives to collect samples. Moreover, the cloth sampling method allows FSIS to sample without destroying product, which reduces food waste.

DATES: FSIS will implement the cloth sampling on February 1, 2023, unless the Agency receives substantive comments that warrant further review. Submit comments on or before January 23, 2023.

ADDRESSES: FSIS invites interested persons to submit comments on this notice. Comments may be submitted by one of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: This website provides commenters the ability to type short comments directly into the comment field on the web page or to attach a file for longer comments. Go to https://www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions at that site for submitting comments.

Instructions: All items submitted by mail or electronic mail must include the Agency name and docket number FSIS–2022–0019. Comments received in response to this docket will be made available for public inspection and posted without change, including any personal information, to https://www.regulations.gov.

Docket: For access to background documents or comments received, call (202) 205–0495 to schedule a time to visit the FSIS Docket Room at 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20250–3700.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rachel Edelstein, Assistant Administrator, Office of Policy and Program Development by telephone at (202) 205–0495.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under the Federal Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), FSIS carries out an inspection program to ensure that carcasses, parts, and products of amenable species of livestock are wholesome, not adulterated, and properly marked, labeled, and packaged. FSIS conducts microbiological sampling to verify that establishments maintain control of their production processes and meet regulatory requirements, including requirements under the hazard analysis and critical control point (HACCP) regulations. Ongoing FSIS sampling and testing at official establishments allows FSIS to verify that establishments effectively address pathogens reasonably likely to occur in their products. The HACCP regulations (9 CFR part 417) require that establishments conduct a hazard analysis to determine the food safety hazards reasonably likely to occur in the production process and to identify the preventive measures an establishment can apply to control those hazards in the production of particular products.

Currently, FSIS samples and tests for E. coli O157:H7, non-O157 STEC (O26, O45, O103, O111, O121, or O145), and Salmonella in raw beef manufacturing trimmings and E. coli O157:H7 and Salmonella in bench trim verification samples using the N60 excision sampling method, as described in FSIS Directive 10.010.1, Sampling Verification Activities for Shiga Toxin-Producing Escherichia coli (STEC) in Raw Beef Products. The N60 excision sampling method is a destructive sampling method that requires inspection personnel to use knives or hooks to cut and collect at least 60 thin slices (approximately 3 inches long by 1 inch wide and ⅛ inch thick) from the external surface of beef tissues in a product lot. The 60 samples are combined into one or more 325-gram units for analytical testing.

In recent years, FSIS and other agencies have been researching different methods for collecting samples from beef manufacturing trimmings that are less destructive and safer for inspectors to collect, yet still produce comparable results to the N60 excision sampling method. Findings from these studies provide strong scientific support for the use of cloth-based sampling for verification testing. Below is a discussion of the findings from different studies.

Agricultural Research Service (ARS) Sampling Studies

In 2018, USDA’s ARS performed studies comparing the N60 excision sampling method and the N60 Plus to the cloth sampling method using a continuous sampling device and a manual sampling device. The continuous sampling device used a cloth held by a cassette attached to a bracket at the end of a conveyor line to collect samples as the meat rubbed across the cloth and fell into the combo bins. The manual sampling device used the same type of cloth as the continuous sampling device, and it was used to manually rub all trim across the entire top surface of the combo bin to collect a sample. The manual sampling device is too expensive for commercial implementation.


2 Establishments determine their lot size. A lot is usually made up of no more than five, 2,000-pound combo bins of beef trimmings or less than 10,000 pounds if the establishment is using boxes.


4 The N60 Plus is similar to the N60 excision sampling method, but it uses a stainless-steel sampling device on a drill to collect surface tissue.


6 ARS initially used the continuous sampling device with a cellulose sponge. However, ARS quickly determined that the cellulose sponge was too expensive for commercial implementation.