

Governments” (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000) do not apply to this action. In addition, this action does not impose any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described under Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) (2 U.S.C. 1501 *et seq.*).

This action does not involve any technical standards that would require Agency consideration of voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note).

**VII. Congressional Review Act**

Pursuant to the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*), EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180**

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides, and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: November 15, 2022.

**Daniel Rosenblatt,**

*Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

Therefore, for the reasons stated in the preamble, EPA is amending 40 CFR chapter 1 as follows:

**PART 180—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICAL RESIDUES IN FOOD**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 321(q), 346a and 371.

■ 2. In § 180.694, amend the table in paragraph (a) by:

■ a. Adding a heading;

■ b. Adding in alphabetical order the entries “Artichoke, globe”, “Pepper/eggplant subgroup 8–10B”, “Sunflower subgroup 20B”, and “Tomato subgroup 8–10A”; and

■ c. Removing the entry for “Vegetable, fruiting, group 8–10”.

The additions read as follows:

**§ 180.694 Cyclaniliprole; tolerances for residues.**

\* \* \* \* \*

TABLE 1 TO PARAGRAPH (a)

Commodity	Parts per million
* * * * *	*
Artichoke, globe .....	1.5
* * * * *	*
Pepper/eggplant subgroup 8–10B .....	1.5
* * * * *	*
Sunflower subgroup 20B .....	0.4
* * * * *	*
Tomato subgroup 8–10A .....	0.7
* * * * *	*

[FR Doc. 2022–25185 Filed 11–17–22; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Land Management**

**43 CFR Part 8360**

[LLORN03000.L63000000.HD0000.22X.241A.HAG 22–0018]

**Final Supplementary Rule for Public Lands in the Lower Lake Creek Falls Special Recreation Management Area, Lane County, OR**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Final supplementary rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Oregon/Washington State Director is finalizing a supplementary rule prohibiting the use and possession of alcoholic beverages in the Lower Lake Creek Falls Special Recreation Management Area (SRMA).

**DATES:** This final supplementary rule is effective on December 19, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Inquiries may be directed to the BLM Northwest Oregon, Siuslaw Field Office at (541) 683–6600 or 3106 Pierce Pkwy., E Springfield, OR 97477. The final supplementary rule and accompanying environmental documents are available for inspection at the BLM Northwest Oregon, Siuslaw Field Office and on the ePlanning website at: <https://eplanning.blm.gov/eplanning-ui/project/67998/510>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Morgan Schneider, Team Lead, Telephone: (541) 683–6407, email: [BLM\\_OR\\_NO\\_SIU\\_NEPA@blm.gov](mailto:BLM_OR_NO_SIU_NEPA@blm.gov). Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have

a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

The BLM Northwest Oregon District, Siuslaw Field Office manages the Lower Lake Creek SRMA. This popular recreation site contains unique waterfalls and natural water slide features that draw visitors from throughout the region. Visitors hike along a short trail to Lake Creek and enjoy swimming in natural pools and other in-water recreational activities. The consumption of alcoholic beverages in the SRMA has resulted in increased occurrences of unsafe behavior by visitors, such as wading in fast-moving and shallow sheet water flowing over natural rock formations. This final supplementary rule will ban the possession and consumption of alcoholic beverages in the area and, as a result, return the area to a safer and more family-friendly outdoor recreation opportunity for all members of the public to enjoy.

BLM law enforcement, recreation personnel, local law enforcement, and local search and rescue professionals agree that some visitors’ consumption of alcohol has been a major factor in contributing to increased public safety risks at the recreation site. Such public safety issues include an increase in fatal traffic accidents involving travelers driving to and from the recreation area, as well as increased problems associated with litter, sanitation, and noise. This final supplementary rule is needed to ensure a safe recreational setting for all visitors and the local communities of Triangle Lake and Blachly, Oregon.

This final supplementary rule is established under the authority of 43 CFR 8365.1–6, which allows BLM State Directors to establish supplementary rules for “the protection of persons, property, and public lands and resources.” This final supplementary rule prohibits visitors of all ages from consuming, possessing, or furnishing any beverage defined as an alcoholic beverage by Oregon State Law within the boundaries of the Lower Lake Creek SRMA, including, but not limited to, the parking lot, day-use area and surrounding hillside, Lower Lake Creek Falls swimming area, and pathways leading to the swimming area and waterfalls site. Prohibited acts under this provision include the consumption,

possession, and furnishing of any alcoholic beverage within motor vehicles, tents, or other structures in the area described herein.

## II. Discussion of Public Comments

On August 9, 2012, the BLM published a proposed supplementary rule (77 FR 47662) to replace an existing supplementary rule on alcohol use within the Lower Lake Creek SRMA. The existing supplementary rule, adopted in 1997, prohibits “consumption, possession, or furnishing of any alcoholic beverage in violation of Oregon State law.” Because of the way it is written, the existing supplementary rule does not actually ban the consumption, possession, or furnishing of alcohol in the SRMA.

The substance of the “Prohibited Act” in this final supplementary rule, which is the same as the substance of the proposed supplementary rule (*i.e.*, alcoholic beverages), would prohibit consumption, possession, or furnishing of “any beverage defined as an alcoholic beverage by Oregon State law while on public lands” within the boundaries of the Lower Lake Creek SRMA. In the proposed supplementary rule, the BLM explained that the existing supplementary rule is insufficient to control an increasing population of visitors who consume, possess, or furnish alcohol, and the proposed supplementary rule would put in place an enforceable ban on alcoholic beverages for all visitors, regardless of age.

The BLM received no comments on the proposed supplementary rule. The BLM signed a decision record on the *Proposed Rules for public land within the Lower Lake Creek SRMA Environmental Assessment* (DOI-BLM-ORWA-E050-2012-0002-EA), which was posted on the BLM’s ePlanning website on October 11, 2016. The BLM received two comments during the environmental assessment’s (EA) 30-day comment period. These commenters thanked the BLM for moving forward with a ban on alcoholic beverages at the Lower Lake Creek Falls SRMA.

## III. Procedural Matters

### *Executive Order (E.O.) 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review*

This supplementary rule is not a significant regulatory action and is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under E.O. 12866. This supplementary rule would not have an annual effect of \$100 million or more on the economy. It is not intended to affect commercial activity but imposes a rule of conduct

on recreational visitors for public safety in a limited area of public lands. This supplementary rule would not adversely affect, in a material way, the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or Tribal Governments or communities. This supplementary rule would not create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency. This supplementary rule does not materially alter the budgetary effects of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the right or obligations of their recipients, nor does it raise novel legal or policy issues; it merely strives to protect public safety.

### *National Environmental Policy Act*

A ban on alcoholic beverages was analyzed in the EA titled “Proposed Rules for Public Land Within the Lower Lake Creek SRMA” (DOI-BLM-ORWA-E050-2012-0002-EA). This document was subject to a 30-day public comment period. On July 23, 2012, BLM determined that this supplementary rule did not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment under section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, 42 U.S.C. On October 11, 2016, BLM signed a decision record based on the EA, which analyzed a permanent restriction on consuming alcohol. This supplementary rule merely regulates conduct on the BLM lands administered by the Siuslaw Field Office within the boundaries of the Lower Lake Creek Falls SRMA in order to protect public safety. A detailed environmental impact statement under NEPA is not required.

The BLM reviewed and signed a Determination of NEPA Adequacy (DNA) in 2018 (DOI-BLM-ORWA-N030-2017-0005-DNA) after confirming that the EA’s analysis is still valid. Circumstances in the SRMA have not changed since then.

The BLM has placed the EA, the decision record, the finding of no significant impact, and the DNA on file in the BLM administrative record at the address specified in the **ADDRESSES** section.

### *Regulatory Flexibility Act*

This final supplementary rule will not have a significant economic effect on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.* Congress enacted the RFA to ensure that Government regulations do not unnecessarily or disproportionately burden small entities. The RFA requires a regulatory flexibility analysis if a rule

would have a significant economic impact, either detrimental or beneficial, on a substantial number of small entities. The supplementary rule does not pertain specifically to commercial, not-for-profit, or governmental entities of any size, but to public consumption of alcoholic beverages on specific public lands.

### *Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act*

This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804(2), the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. This rule:

(a) Does not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more;

(b) Will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers; individual industries; Federal, State, or local Government agencies; or geographic regions; and

(c) Does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S.-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises.

### *Unfunded Mandates Reform Act*

This supplementary rule does not impose an unfunded mandate on State, local, or Tribal Governments of more than \$100 million per year; nor does it have a significant or unique effect on State, local, or Tribal Governments or the private sector. This supplementary rule does not impose requirements on State, local, or Tribal Governments. A statement containing the information required by the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act, 2 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*, is not required.

### *Paperwork Reduction Act*

This supplementary rule does not contain information collection requirements that the Office of Management and Budget must approve under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*

### *Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference With Constitutionally Protected Property Rights (Takings)*

This rule does not affect a taking of private property or otherwise have takings implications under E.O. 12630. This supplementary rule is not a Government action capable of interfering with constitutionally protected property rights. This supplementary rule does not address property rights in any form and does not cause the impairment of any private property rights. A takings implication assessment is not required.

*Executive Order 13132, Federalism*

Under the criteria in section 1 of E.O. 13132, this rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a federalism summary impact statement. This supplementary rule will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the Federal Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of Government. A federalism summary impact statement is not required.

*Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform*

This rule complies with the requirements of E.O. 12988.

Specifically, the following rule:

(a) Meets the criteria of section 3(a) requiring that all regulations be reviewed to eliminate errors and ambiguity and be written to minimize litigation; and

(b) Meets the criteria of section 3(b)(2) requiring that all regulations be written in clear language and contain clear legal standards.

*Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments*

The Department of the Interior strives to strengthen its Government-to-Government relationship with Indian Tribes through a commitment to consultation with Indian Tribes and recognition of their right to self-governance and Tribal sovereignty. We have evaluated this rule under the Department's consultation policy and under the criteria in E.O. 13175 and have determined that it has no substantial direct effects on federally recognized Indian Tribes and that consultation under the Department's Tribal consultation policy is not required.

*Effects on the Energy Supply (E.O. 13211)*

This rule is not a significant energy action under the definition in E.O. 13211. A Statement of Energy Effects is not required.

**IV. Final Supplementary Rule***Author*

The principal author of this supplementary rule is Cheryl Adcock, Field Manager for the Siuslaw Field Office, Oregon/Washington.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, and under authority for supplementary rules at 43 U.S.C. 1740 and 43 CFR 8365.1–6, the BLM Oregon/Washington State Director establishes a

supplementary rule for public lands administered by the BLM in Oregon/Washington, to read as follows:

**Final Supplementary Rule for Public Lands in the Lower Lake Creek Falls Special Recreation Management Area, Lane County, OR****Definitions**

*Alcoholic beverage* uses the definition set forth in 2017 ORS 471.001(1).

**Prohibited Acts**

No person may consume, possess, or furnish alcoholic beverages within the boundaries of the Lower Lake Creek Falls SRMA, including, but not limited to, the parking lot, day-use area and surrounding hillside, Lower Lake Creek Falls swimming area, and pathways leading to the swimming area and falls site. Prohibited acts under this provision also include the consumption, possession, and furnishing of any alcoholic beverage within motor vehicles, tents, or other structures.

**Exemptions**

No persons, agencies, municipalities, or companies are exempt from the supplementary rule unless specifically authorized in writing by the BLM.

**Penalties**

Any person who violates this supplementary rule may be tried before a United States magistrate and fined in accordance with 18 U.S.C. 3571, imprisoned no more than 12 months under 43 U.S.C. 1733(a) and 43 CFR 8360.0–7, or both. In accordance with 43 CFR 8365.1–7, State or local officials also impose penalties for violations of Oregon law.

**Barry R. Bushue,**

*Bureau of Land Management, State Director, Oregon/Washington.*

[FR Doc. 2022–25015 Filed 11–17–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–33–P**

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION****47 CFR Part 64**

[CG Docket No. 17–59, WC Docket 17–97, FCC 22–37; FR ID 113860]

**Advanced Methods To Target and Eliminate Unlawful Robocalls; Call Authentication Trust Anchor**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule; announcement of compliance date.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Federal Communications Commission

(Commission or FCC) announces that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved the public information collection associated with a rule that requires gateway providers to block calls based on a “reasonable Do Not Originate (DNO) list,” and that compliance with the rule will be required. This document is consistent with the *Sixth Report and Order* in CG Docket No. 17–59, *Fifth Report and Order* in WC Docket No. 17–97, and *Gateway Provider Report and Order*, FCC 22–37 adopted on May 19, 2022 and released on May 20, 2022, which states the Commission will publish a document in the **Federal Register** announcing a compliance date for the rule section and revise the rules accordingly.

**DATES:**

*Effective date:* The amendment is effective December 19, 2022.

*Compliance date:* Compliance with 47 CFR 64.1200(o), published at 87 FR 42916, July 18, 2022, is required on December 19, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Jerusha Burnett, Consumer Policy Division, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, at (202) 418–0526, or email: [Jerusha.Burnett@fcc.gov](mailto:Jerusha.Burnett@fcc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document announces that OMB approved the information collection requirement in § 64.1200(o) on November 3, 2022.

The Commission publishes this document as an announcement of the compliance date of the rule.

If you have any comments on the burden estimates listed below, or how the Commission can improve the collections and reduce any burdens caused thereby, please contact Cathy Williams, Federal Communications Commission, Room 3.317, 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554. Please include the OMB Control Number, 3060–1303, in your correspondence. The Commission will also accept your comments via email at [PRA@fcc.gov](mailto:PRA@fcc.gov).

To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to [fcc504@fcc.gov](mailto:fcc504@fcc.gov) or call the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418–0530 (voice), (202) 418–0432 (TTY).

This document also removes § 64.1200(p) of the Commission's rules, which advised that compliance was not required until OMB approval was obtained.

To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files,