

that all inmate calling services providers to make the same required disclosures of information available to all consumers, regardless of whether they receive an actual bill from a provider. The Commission invites comment on whether to allow inmate calling services providers to supplement traditional per-minute pricing and develop optional pilot programs that offer consumers the ability to purchase inmate calling services under alternative pricing structures. The Commission invites comment on whether it should authorize such programs subject to certain specified conditions, including conditions protecting against unreasonably high charges for interstate and international calling services. The Commission seeks comment on whether it should expand its definitions of “Jail” and “Prison” to ensure that they capture any confinement facilities with residents who may access interstate and international communications services, and on how its proposals may promote or inhibit digital equity and inclusion.

Steps Taken To Minimize the Significant Economic Impact on Small Entities and Significant Alternatives Considered

95. The RFA requires an agency to describe any significant alternatives that it has considered in reaching its proposed approach, which may include the following four alternatives (among others): (1) the establishment of differing compliance or reporting requirements or timetables that take into account the resources available to small entities; (2) the clarification, consolidation, or simplification of compliance and reporting requirements under the rules for such small entities; (3) the use of performance rather than design standards; and (4) an exemption from coverage of the rule, or any part thereof, for such small entities. The Commission will consider all of these factors when it receives substantive comment from the public and potentially affected small entities. In particular, the Commission will consider the economic impact on small entities, as identified in comments filed in response to Document FCC 22–76 and the IRFA, in reaching its final conclusions and promulgating rules in this proceeding.

96. The Commission seeks comment on allowing enterprise registration for IP CTS so that incarcerated people with communication disabilities can access TRS. If adopted, this alternative form of registration could reduce the burden on IP CTS providers by allowing providers to register the relay service at a facility that maintains a list of users. The

Commission also seeks further comment on requiring inmate calling services providers to provide access to all forms of TRS in a jurisdiction with an average daily population of fewer than 50 incarcerated people. The request for comment includes asking for cost data to assist the Commission with its analysis of the issue. The cost data will help the Commission ensure it is achieving its statutory obligation of ensuring TRS are available to extent possible, while appropriately considering the burden on affected entities.

97. The comments that stakeholders submit in response to the Commission’s requests for comment on refining its rules on the treatment of funds in inactive inmate calling services accounts, the appropriate permanent interstate and international rate and ancillary services fee caps, and other potential amendments to its ancillary services rules, will supplement comments previously filed in this proceeding. Collectively, these comments will help the Commission meet its statutory obligation to ensure that providers’ rates, terms, and practices for interstate and international inmate calling services are reasonable. Small entities can provide input in these areas addressing whether, among other considerations, the Commission should adjust its rules to address any particular financial or implementation challenges faced by small entities.

98. Similarly, the Commission’s requests for comment regarding possible amendments to its consumer disclosure rules, regarding potential pilot programs for inmate calling services that use pricing structures other than per-minute rates, regarding possible amendments to its definitions of “Jail” and “Prison,” and regarding digital equity and inclusion will provide an opportunity for small entities, as well as other stakeholders, to voice any concerns they may have. The Commission will consider any comments small entities file regarding these matters as part of its efforts to ensure that consumers of calling services for incarcerated people have the information they need to make informed purchasing decisions. In particular, it will consider whether any concerns small entities raise regarding possible changes to the consumer disclose rules and the potential pilot programs as part of its overall evaluation of these areas.

99. The Commission will consider the economic impact on small entities, as identified in comments filed in response to document FCC 22–76 and the IRFA, in reaching its final conclusions and promulgating rules in this proceeding.

Federal Rules That May Duplicate, Overlap, or Conflict With the Proposed Rules

100. None.

101. *Initial Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 Analysis*. The Sixth Notice of Proposed Rulemaking may contain modified information collection(s) subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA). If the Commission adopts any modified information collection requirements, the Commission will publish another document in the **Federal Register** inviting the public to comment on the requirements, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. Public Law 104–13; 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520. In addition, pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, the Commission seeks specific comment on how it might further reduce the information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene Dortch,

Secretary, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022–24597 Filed 11–14–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MB Docket No. 22–376; RM–11934; DA 22–1132; FR ID 112240]

Television Broadcasting Services Norwell, Massachusetts

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission has before it a petition for rulemaking filed by RNN Boston License Co., LLC (Petitioner), the licensee of WWDP, channel 10, Norwell, Massachusetts. The Petitioner requests the substitution of channel 36 for channel 10 at Norwell in the Table of Allotments.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before December 15, 2022 and reply comments on or before December 30, 2022.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Office of the Secretary, 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve counsel for the Petitioner as follows: Christine A. Burrow, Esq. Cooley, LLP, 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, DC 20004–2400.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joyce Bernstein, Media Bureau, at (202)

418–1647; or Joyce Bernstein, Media Bureau, at Joyce.Bernstein@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In support of its channel substitution request, the Petitioner states that the Commission has recognized that VHF channels have certain characteristics that pose challenges for their use in providing digital television service. According to the Petitioner, it has received many complaints from viewers unable to receive a reliable signal on channel 10 and that its channel sharing partner, WMFP(TV), Foxborough, Massachusetts, which is also owned by RNN, has similarly received complaints and would benefit from the proposed channel change. While an analysis using the Commission’s TVStudy software indicates that WWDP’s proposed channel substitution is predicted to create areas where viewers may lose service, all viewers in the loss area will continue to be served by at least five other full power television stations and no viewers will lose service from one of the four major television networks.

This is a synopsis of the Commission’s *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, MB Docket No. 22–376; RM–11934; DA 22–1132, adopted October 27, 2022, and released October 27, 2022. The full text of this document is available for download at <https://www.fcc.gov/edocs/>. To request materials in accessible formats (braille, large print, computer diskettes, or audio recordings), please send an email to FCC504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer & Government Affairs Bureau at (202) 418–0530 (VOICE), (202) 418–0432 (TTY).

This document does not contain information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. In addition, therefore, it does not contain any proposed information collection burden “for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees,” pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107–198, *see* 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4). Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited from the time a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking is issued to the time the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, *see* 47 CFR 1.1208. There are, however, exceptions to this prohibition, which can be found in Section 1.1204(a) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR 1.1204(a).

See Sections 1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission’s rules for information

regarding the proper filing procedures for comments, 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television.

Federal Communications Commission.

Thomas Horan,

Chief of Staff, Media Bureau.

Proposed Rule

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

PART 73—Radio Broadcast Service

■ 1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 155, 301, 303, 307, 309, 310, 334, 336, 339.

§ 73.622 Table of allotments.

■ 2. In § 73.622 in paragraph (j), amend the Table of Allotments under Massachusetts by revising the entry for Norwell to read as follows:

* * * * *				
(j) Table of TV Allotments.				
Community				Channel No.
*	*	*	*	*
MASSACHUSETTS				
*	*	*	*	*
Norwell				36
*	*	*	*	*
* * * * *				

[FR Doc. 2022–24753 Filed 11–14–22; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

49 CFR Part 350

[Docket No. FMCSA–2022–0079]

State Inspection Programs for Passenger-Carrier Vehicles

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Confirmation of withdrawn advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: FMCSA confirms its May 1, 2017, decision to withdraw its April 27, 2016, advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM) concerning the establishment of requirements for States

to implement annual inspection programs for commercial motor vehicles (CMVs) designed or used to transport passengers (passenger-carrying CMVs). On November 15, 2021, the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) was enacted, directing FMCSA to solicit additional comment on the 2016 ANPRM. The Agency solicited additional comments for 30 days, ending June 9, 2022. After reviewing the additional public comments received, FMCSA has determined there is not enough data and information available to support moving forward with a rulemaking action.

DATES: FMCSA confirms the withdrawal of the ANPRM as of November 15, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Sarah Stella, Chief, Regulatory Development Division, Office of Policy, FMCSA, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590–0001, (202) 493–0192, Sarah.Stella@dot.gov. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Dockets Operations at (202) 366–9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 27, 2016, in accordance with section 32710 of the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (Pub. L. 112–141, 126 Stat. 405, 815), FMCSA published in the **Federal Register**, an ANPRM titled “State Inspection Programs for Passenger-Carrier Vehicles” (Docket No. FMCSA–2014–0470, 81 FR 24769). The ANPRM announced that FMCSA was considering a requirement that States establish a program for annual inspections of passenger-carrying CMVs. FMCSA requested information from all interested parties that would enable the Agency to assess the risks associated with improperly maintained or improperly inspected passenger-carrying CMVs. The ANPRM also sought public comments concerning the effectiveness of the current FMCSA annual inspection standards, and data on the potential costs and benefits of a Federal requirement for each State to implement a mandatory inspection program. FMCSA inquired about how the Agency might incentivize States to adopt such programs.

The comment period closed on June 27, 2016, and 22 comments were received, with a plurality (10 of 22) of commenters expressing general opposition to the mandatory State inspection requirement discussed in the ANPRM. After reviewing all the public comments, FMCSA determined there was not enough data and information available to support moving forward