Legal Authority: Export Control Reform Act (ECRA) of 2018.

IV. Request for Comments

We are soliciting public comments to permit the Department/Bureau to: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) Evaluate the accuracy of our estimate of the time and cost burden for this proposed collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) Evaluate ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) Minimize the reporting burden on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this ICR. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you may ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Sheleen Dumas,
Department PRA Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Commerce Department.

[FR Doc. 2022–24608 Filed 11–9–22; 8:45 am]
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[A–475–818]

Certain Pasta From Italy: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that sales of certain pasta (pasta) from Italy have been made at less than normal value by Pastificio Di Martino Gaetano e Fili S.p.A. and Pastificio dei Campi S.p.A. (Di Martino/Dei Campi) during the period of review (POR) from July 1, 2020, through June 30, 2021.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 2, 2022, Commerce published the Preliminary Results and invited interested parties to comment. This review covers only one respondent, Di Martino/Dei Campi. No interested party submitted comments on the Preliminary Results or requested a hearing in this administrative review. Accordingly, the final results remain unchanged from the Preliminary Results. Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

Imports covered by the Order are shipments of certain non-egg dry pasta in packages of five pounds four ounces or less, whether or not enriched or fortified or containing milk or other optional ingredients such as chopped vegetables, vegetable purees, milk, gluten, diastasis, vitamins, coloring and flavorings, and up to two percent egg white. The pasta covered by the scope of the Order is typically sold in the retail market, in fiberboard or cardboard cartons, or polyethylene or polypropylene bags of varying dimensions.

Excluded from the scope of the Order are refrigerated, frozen, or canned pastas, as well as all forms of egg pasta, with the exception of non-egg dry pasta containing up to two percent egg white. Multicolored pasta, imported in kitchen display bottles of decorative glass that are sealed with cork or paraffin and bound with raffia, is excluded from the scope of the Order. Pursuant to Commerce’s August 14, 2009, changed circumstances review, effective July 1, 2008, gluten-free pasta is also excluded from the scope of the Order. Effective January 1, 2012, ravioli and tortellini filled with cheese and/or vegetables are also excluded from the scope of the Order.

Also excluded are imports of organic pasta from Italy that are certified by an EU authorized body in accordance with the United States Department of Agriculture’s National Organic Program for organic products. The organic pasta certification must be retained by exporters and importers and made available to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) or the Department of Commerce upon request.

The merchandise subject to this Order is currently classifiable under subheadings 1901.90.0905 and 1902.19.20 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the Order is dispositive.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the respondent for the POR, July 1, 2020, through June 30, 2021:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter or producer</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Disclosure and Public Comment

Because Commerce received no comments on its Preliminary Results, we have not modified our analysis, and no decision memorandum accompanies this Federal Register notice. Consequently, there are no new calculations to disclose in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b) for these final results.

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the

1 See Certain Pasta from Italy: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Recision of Review; 2020–2021, 87 FR 47815 (August 2, 2022) (Preliminary Results), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.
2 See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order and Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Pasta from Italy, 61 FR 38547 (July 24, 1996) (Order); see also Certain Pasta from Italy: Final Results of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Changed Circumstances Reviews, 82 FR 4291 (January 13, 2017).
3 See Memorandum to Richard Moreland, dated August 25, 1997, which is on file in the Central Records Unit.
final results of this review. We will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer’s examined sales and the total entered value of the importer’s sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is either zero or de minimis within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c), or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Commerce’s “reseller policy” will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by companies included in these final results of review for which the reviewed company did not know that the merchandise they sold to the intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.6

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the Federal Register. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements for estimated antidumping duties will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for Di Martino/Dei Campi will be equal to its weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review (except if that rate is de minimis, in which situation the cash deposit rate will be zero); (2) for merchandise exported by a company not covered in this review but covered in a prior completed segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the completed segment for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the producer has been covered in a prior complete segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established in the completed segment for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers and exporters will continue to be 15.45 percent, the all-others rate established in the section 129 determination.7

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

6 For a full discussion of this practice, see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).


DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–602–807]

Certain Uncoated Paper From Australia: Negative Final Determination of Circumvention of the Antidumping Duty Order for Certain Uncoated Paper Rolls

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that imports of certain uncoated paper rolls from Australia were not completed by conversion into subject sheets of paper in the United States and, therefore, such imports are not circumventing the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain uncoated paper from Australia, within the meaning of section 781(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 27, 2021, Commerce published in the Federal Register its preliminary negative circumvention determination finding that imports of certain uncoated paper rolls from Australia were not completed by conversion into sheets subject to the Order during the inquiry period. During August and September 2022, Commerce verified the questionnaire responses of the sole producer/exporter of certain uncoated paper rolls, Paper Australia Pty. Ltd., and its U.S. affiliate, Australia: Negative Preliminary Determination of Circumvention of the Antidumping Duty Order for Uncoated Paper Rolls, 86 FR 7256 (January 27, 2021), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

See Certain Uncoated Paper from Australia: Brazil, Indonesia, the People’s Republic of China, and Portugal: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determinations for Brazil and Indonesia and Antidumping Duty Orders, 81 FR 11174 (March 3, 2016) (Order).