

Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3179. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 11, 2020, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Sonos, Inc. (“Sonos”) of Santa Barbara, California. 85 FR 7783 (Feb. 11, 2020). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337) (“section 337”), based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain audio players and controllers, components thereof, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,195,258; 10,209,953; 8,588,949; 9,219,959; and 10,439,896. *Id.* The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The notice of investigation named as respondents Google and Alphabet Inc. (“Alphabet”), both of Mountain View, California. *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also named as a party. *Id.*

On January 6, 2022, the Commission issued its final determination based on the record of the investigation (1) affirming with modified reasoning the ID’s findings of violation of section 337 with respect to the asserted patents, (2) determining that the appropriate remedy is a LEO and CDO against Google, (3) finding that the public interest does not preclude this remedy, and (4) setting bond during the period of Presidential review at 100 percent of the entered value of the subject articles. See 87 FR 1784–85 (Jan. 12, 2022).

On July 14, 2022, Google filed a petition for a modification of the LEO and CDO pursuant to Commission Rule 210.76(a)(1) (19 CFR 210.76(a)(1)). On August 12, 2022, the Commission instituted a modification proceeding based on Google’s petition. 87 FR 49885–86 (Aug. 12, 2022).

On October 11, 2022, Google filed an unopposed motion to withdraw its petition and terminate the modification

proceeding without prejudice. On October 14, 2022, OUII filed a response in support of the motion. Sonos did not file a response to the motion.

On October 17, 2022, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting the motion. The ID finds that the motion complies with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(a)(1)) and that “no extraordinary circumstances exist that would prevent the requested termination of the modification proceeding.” ID at 2–3. No petitions for review of the subject ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The modification proceeding is hereby terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on October 27, 2022.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 27, 2022.

Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2022–23799 Filed 11–1–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–1332]

Certain Semiconductors and Devices and Products Containing the Same, Including Printed Circuit Boards, Automotive Parts, and Automobiles; Corrected Notice of Institution; Institution of Investigation Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on August 23, 2022, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Daedalus Prime LLC of Bronxville, New York. A supplement to the complaint was filed on September 12, 2022. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain semiconductors and devices and products containing the same, including printed circuit boards, automotive parts,

and automobiles by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,775,833 (“the ‘833 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 8,898,494 (“the ‘494 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 9,575,895 (“the ‘895 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 10,049,080 (“the ‘080 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 10,394,300 (“the ‘300 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 10,705,588 (“the ‘588 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2022).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on October 27, 2022, *ordered that—*

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–18 of the ‘833 patent; claims 1–18 of the ‘494 patent; claims 1–17 of the ‘895 patent; claims 1–24 of the ‘080 patent;

claims 1–19 of the '300 patent; and claims 1–20 of the '588 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is "semiconductor chips and printed circuit boards for use in automobile infotainment systems and instrument clusters, and automobile infotainment systems, instrument clusters, and automobiles containing the same, and components thereof";

(3) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties or other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(4) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is:

Daedalus Prime LLC, 51 Pondfield Road, Suite 3, Bronxville, NY 10708

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Avnet, Inc., 2211 South 47th Street, Phoenix, AZ 85034

Digi-Key Electronics, 701 Brooks Avenue South, Thief River Falls, MN 56701

Mercedes-Benz Group AG, 70546

Stuttgart, Germany

Mercedes-Benz AG, Epplestraße 225,

70567 Stuttgart-Möhringen, Germany

Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC, 1 Mercedes-

Benz Drive, Sandy Springs, GA 30328

Mouser Electronics, Inc., 1000 North

Main Street, Mansfield, TX 76063

Newark, 300 S. Riverside Plaza, Suite

2200, Chicago, IL 60606

NXP Semiconductors N.V., High Tech

Campus 60, 5656 AG Eindhoven,

Netherlands

NXP USA, Inc., 6501 W. William

Cannon Dr., Austin, TX 78735

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(5) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge,

U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainant of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 27, 2022.

Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2022–23798 Filed 11–1–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Comment Period Extension on Proposed Settlement Agreement Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act Regarding Claims in Connection With the Findett/Hayford Bridge Road Groundwater Superfund Site

On September 28, 2022 the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri in the lawsuit entitled *United States and the State of Missouri v. Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri*, Civil Action No. 22–cv–1038. On October 4, 2022, notice of the proposed settlement agreement and the start of the comment period was

published in the **Federal Register**. The United States is extending the comment period for this Proposed Consent Decree by thirty (30) days, to December 5, 2022.

The proposed Consent Decree would resolve claims the United States and State of Missouri have brought pursuant to sections 106, 107(a), and 113(g) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9606, 9607(a), and 9613(g), as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 ("CERCLA"), and section 260.530 of the Missouri Hazardous Waste Management Law, Mo. Rev. Stat. 260.530, regarding the Findett/Hayford Bridge Road Groundwater Superfund Site Operable Unit 4 ("OU4").

Under the Settlement Agreement, Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren ("Ameren") will perform response actions at the Site pursuant to the June 30, 2021 Record of Decision, and pay U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and Missouri Department of Natural Resources oversight costs. In exchange, the United States and the State will provide covenants not to sue or to take administrative action against Ameren pursuant to sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607(a), and Mo. Rev. Stat. 260.510 and 260.530, with regard to the Work performed.

EPA is planning to hold a public availability session to provide the public with information regarding response actions at OU4. Information on the time, location, and details regarding the meeting will be posted here: <https://cumulis.epa.gov/supercpad/cursites/csinfo.cfm?id=0700845>.

Any comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States and the State of Missouri v. Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri*, 22–cv–1038, D.J. Ref. No. 90–11–2–417/6. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the Settlement Agreement may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>.