Grade, "High Moisture." Contrasting Chickpeas over 2.0 percent will no longer be considered U.S. Substandard Chickpea/Garbanzo Beans, but instead would grade no higher than U.S. No. 3 Chickpea/Garbanzo Beans. AMS would revise the Bean Inspection Handbook to reflect these changes.

AMS is accepting comments on this proposed action for 60 days. All comments received within the comment period will be made part of the public record maintained by AMS, will be available to the public for review, and will be considered by AMS before a final action is taken on this proposal.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1621–1627.

Melissa Bailey,

Associate Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service. [FR Doc. 2022–22109 Filed 10–11–22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[Doc. No. AMS-FGIS-21-0017]

United States Standards for Wheat

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The United States Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) is making no changes regarding the U.S. Standards for Wheat under the U.S. Grain Standards Act, as amended (USGSA).

DATES: Applicable: October 12, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barry Gomoll, USDA AMS; Telephone: (202) 720–8286; Email: *Barry.L.Gomoll@ usda.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4 of the USGSA (7 U.S.C. 76(a)) grants the Secretary of Agriculture the authority to establish standards for grain regarding kind, class, quality, and condition. AMS published a request for information on April 20, 2021, in the **Federal Register** (86 FR 20480), inviting interested parties to comment on whether the current wheat standards and grading practices need to be changed. Current U.S. Standards for Wheat can be found at 7 CFR 810.2201–5.

AMS received a total of five comments on the U.S. Standards for Wheat during the comment period.

Four commenters, representing grain merchandisers, exporters, and traders, responded that they are satisfied with the standards as currently written, stating that changes may create confusion and uncertainty for the market and should only be made if they significantly improve the marketing of U.S. wheat. Three of these commenters further stated a desire for further research into the marketing of Hard White Wheat (HDWH), either by differentiating between winter and spring varieties, merging HDWH with the class Hard Red Winter Wheat (HRW) to create a Hard Winter Wheat class, or increasing the allowable amount of HDWH in HRW.

One commenter, representing a wheat growing group, suggested changing the standards, either by merging HDWH and HRW to create a Hard Winter Wheat class or by increasing the allowable Wheat of Other Classes in HRW to 25%. The commenter mentioned that such a standards change could help remove barriers to growers hoping to market HDWH for export markets.

Based on the balance of comments received in response to the request, AMS has decided to make no changes to the wheat standards at this time. However, AMS will collaborate with the wheat industry to consider any data and research from interested stakeholders regarding the possibilities, impacts, and potential market acceptance of either merging HDWH and HRW to create a Hard Winter Wheat class, or increasing the allowable Wheat of Other Classes in HRW to 25%.

Final Action

Based on the comments received, AMS-FGIS is making no changes to the U.S Standards for Wheat at this time.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 71–87k.

Melissa Bailey,

Associate Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 2022–22113 Filed 10–11–22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food and Nutrition Service

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Understanding the Relationship Between Poverty, Well-Being and Food Security

AGENCY: Food and Nutrition Service (FNS), USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice invites the general public and other public agencies to comment on this proposed information collection. This new collection will provide the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service with new information about food security and individual and family circumstances and environmental factors related to poverty in six persistently poor counties.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before December 12, 2022.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Michael Burke, Senior Social Science Research Analyst, Food and Nutrition Service, Braddock Metro Center II, 1320 Braddock Place, Alexandria, VA 22314. Comments may also be submitted via email to *michael.burke@usda.gov*. Comments will also be accepted through the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Go to *http://www.regulations.gov* and follow the online instructions for submitting comments electronically.

All written comments will be open for public inspection at the office of the Food and Nutrition Service during regular business hours (8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.), Monday through Friday at Braddock Metro Center II, 1320 Braddock Place, Alexandria, VA 22314.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval. All comments will be a matter of public record.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of this information collected should be directed to Michael Burke by email at *michael.burke@usda.gov* or by phone at (703) 305–4369.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Comments are invited on the following topics: (1) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden on the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions that were used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Title: Understanding the Relationship Between Poverty, Well-Being, and Food Security.

Form Number: Not applicable. *OMB Number:* 0584–NEW. *Expiration Date:* Not yet determined. *Type of Request:* New collection.

Abstract: This is a new information collection request. The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) is the nation's largest federal program aimed at reducing food insecurity and increasing access to healthy food. SNAP is administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA), Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) and provides nutrition assistance benefits to program participants, the majority of whom are children, the elderly, or people with disabilities. Through this data collection effort, FNS seeks to understand the interrelated factors that lead to household food insecurity. Data will be collected in six counties experiencing persistent intergenerational poverty through a study titled Understanding the Relationship Between Poverty, Well-Being, and Food Security.

Understanding the Relationship Between Poverty, Well-Being, and Food Security will allow FNS to gain a deeper understanding of the interrelated factors that affect the food security status of SNAP beneficiaries and SNAP-eligible nonparticipants, information which has not previously collected in persistently poor counties. The USDA's Economic Research Service (ERS) defines counties as being persistently poor if 20 percent or more of county residents were poor at each of several points in time over a 30-year period, measured by the 1980, 1990, and 2000 censuses and the 2007-2011 American Community Survey. Examining food insecurity and poverty in these populations will help FNS better understand the association between SNAP, other USDAadministered programs, and community-based assistance with wellbeing and the food environment. Study objectives include:

• Objective 1: Produce descriptive statistics on key sociodemographic and economic variables, including household food security in a representative sample of all residents in each of six persistent-poverty counties.

• Objective 2: Produce descriptive statistics on key sociodemographic and

economic variables, including household food insecurity in two representative stratified subsamples of low and very low food-secure residents, in each county of six persistent-poverty counties.

• Objective 3: Produce descriptive statistics for each subgroup in each county on key social, geospatial, and other policy-actionable elements of well-being and material deprivation associated with both household food security and SNAP participation.

• Objective 4: Characterize the social context and the life course of individuals, within a multigenerational family unit, as they define their experiences with food insecurity through In-Depth Interviews (IDIs).

To ensure a representative probability sample of households in each of the six persistent poverty counties (each located within six different states) we propose a two-stage address-based sampling (ABS) approach in which the primary sampling units (PSUs) will be small geographic clusters consisting of census-defined blocks or groups of blocks within the country, and the secondary sampling units will be residential addresses within the selected PSUs. We will use American Community Survey (ACS) and SNAP administrative data to obtain an estimate of SNAP-eligibility by PSU. The study includes several data collection activities: (1) SNAP administration data; (2) a household survey; (3) in-depth interviews with household survey respondents; and (4) focus groups with community stakeholders.

Affected Public: Respondent groups identified include: (a) Individual/ Households (county residents in the six selected counties); (b) Business—Profit, Non-Profit, or Farm (community stakeholder focus group participants); (c) State, Local, or Tribal Government (State/County SNAP agencies).

Estimated Number of Respondents: 15,997. The total estimated number of individuals/households (I/H) initially

contacted is 15.840. Out of the initial number of I/H contacted 6,600 respondents will be surveyed, and a subsample of 156 respondents will participate in an in-depth interview. A total of 48 community stakeholders will participate in focus groups-36 Business (Profit, Non-Profit, or Farm) and 12 State, Local, or Tribal Government. 38 police stations (State, Local, or Tribal Government) will receive notifications that field staff are working in the area. In addition, 6 State SNAP agencies and 1 County SNAP agency (State, Local, or Tribal Government) will be asked to provide SNAP administrative data once to support development of the survey sampling frame. All 7 are expected to respond.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: All respondents will be asked to respond to each specific data collection activity only once. County residents will be asked to participate in one survey; a subset of interview respondents will be asked to participate in one in-depth interview. Community stakeholders will be asked to participate in one focus group, and SNAP agency will be asked to complete one data request. The estimated number of responses is 5.8 responses per respondent, including all contact materials.

Estimated Total Annual Responses: The estimate total annual responses is 115,347 (86,894 respondents and 28,453 non-respondents).

Estimated Time per Response: The estimated time of response varies from 1 minute (0.0167 hours) to 8 hours, depending on respondent group, as shown in the table below, with an average estimated time of 0.0673 hours for all participants.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 465,761 minutes (7,763 hours). See the table below (Table 1) for estimated total annual burden for each type of respondent. BILLING CODE 3410-30-P

					Responsive				Non-Responsive									
Respondent Category	Type of respondents	Instruments	Appendix	Sample Size	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Total Annual responses	Hours per response	Annual burden {hours}	Number of Non- respondents	Frequency of response	Total Annual responses	Hours per response	Annual burden (hours)	Grand Total Annual Burden Estimate (hours)	Hourly rate	c	ost
Individual/ Household	Survey participants	Survey Invitation Letter	81/82	19,800	15,840	1	15,840	0.0334	529	3,960	1	3,960	0.0334	132.264	661	\$ 7.25	\$	4,795
		Study Brochure	с	19,800	15,840	1	15,840	0.0334	529	3,960	1	3,960	0.0334	132.264	661	\$ 7.25	\$	4,795
		Endorsement Letter	01/02	19,800	15,840	1	15,840	0.0834	529	3,960	1	3,960	0.0334	132.264	661	\$ 7.25	\$	4,795
		First Survey Reminder Letter	E1/E2	17,325	10,395	1	10,395	0.0334	347	6,930	1	6,930	0.0334	231.462	579	\$ 7.25	\$	4,195
		Second Survey Reminder Letter	F1/F2	13,860	5,544	1	5,544	0.0334	185	8,316	1	8,316	0.0334	277.754	463	\$ 7.25	\$	3,356
		Survey Door Hanger	G	10,395	9,875	1	9,875	0.0167	165	520	1	520	0.0167	8,680	174	\$ 7.25	\$	1,259
		Survey Refusal Letter	11/12	3,960	3,168	1	3,168	0.0334	106	792	1	792	0.0334	26.453	132	\$ 7.25	\$	959
		Thank You Letter	J1/J2	2,178	2,178	1	2,178	0.0167	36	0	0	*	0.0167	~	36	\$ 7.25	\$	264
		Household Survey	R1	6,600	6,600	1	6,600	0.5845	3,858	0	0	-	0.5845	-	3,858	\$ 7.25	\$	27,968
		IDI Invitation Call Script	K1/K2	624	562	1	562	0.1002	56	62	0	-	0.1002	~	56	\$ 7.25	\$	408
		IDI Confirmation Letter/Email	L1/L2	624	156	1	156	0.0167	3	468	0	-	0.0167		3	\$ 7.25	\$	19
		IDI Reminder Call Script	M1/M2	624	156	1	156	0.0334	5	468	0	-	0.0334	u .	5	\$ 7.25	Ş	38
		IDI Consent Form	N 1/N2	624	156	1	156	0.0334	5	468	0	×	0.0334	×	5	\$ 7.25	\$	38
		IDI Interview Guide	01/02	624	156	1	156	2.0000	312	468	0	-	2.0000		312	\$ 7.25	\$	2,262
individual/ Household Sub-Total				19,800	15,840	5.46	86,466	0.0771	6,666	3,960	7.18	28,438	0.0331	941.141	7,606.770		\$ 55	,149.09
Business (Profit, Non-Profit, or Farm)	Focus groups	Focus Group Consent Form	P1	96	36	1	48	0.0334	2	60	0	-	0.0334		2	\$ 25.94	Ş	42
		Focus Group Discussion Guide	P2	96	36	1	48	1.5000	72	60	0	-	1.5000	•	72	\$ 25.94	\$	1,868
		Focus Group Invitation	P3	96	96	1	96	0.0167	2	0	0	-	0.0167	~	2	\$ 25.94	\$	42
		Study Description	Q	96	96	1	96	0.0334	3	0	0	-	0.0334	-	3	\$ 25.94	\$	83
Business (Profit, Non-Profit, or Farm) Sub-Total				96	96	3.000	288	0.272	78	60	-	-		-	78		\$ 2	,034.03
State, Local, or Tribal Government	State or county staff	SNAP Agency Data Request	A	7	7	1	7	8.0000	56.0000	0	0	-	8.0000	-	56	\$78.88	\$	4,417
	State or local police station staff	Police Station Letter	н	38	30	1	30	0.0167	1	7.6	1	8	0.0167	0.127	1	\$78.88	Ş	50
		Study Description	Q	38	30	1	30	0.0334	1	7.6	1	8	0.0334	0.254	1.3	\$78.88	\$	100
	Focus groups	Focus Group Consent Form	P1	24	12	1	12	0.0334	0	12	0	-	0.0334	•	0	\$78.88	\$	32
		Focus Group Discussion Guide	P2	24	12	1	12	1.5000	18	12	0	-	1.5000	-	18	\$78.88	\$	1,420
		Focus Group Invitation	P 3	24	24	1	24	0.0167	0	0	0	-	0.0167		0	\$78.88	\$	32
		Study Description	Q	24	24	1	24	0.0334	1	0	0	-	0.0167	•	1	\$78.88	\$	63
State, Local, or Tribal Government Sub-Total				69	61	2.28	139.8	0.552	77.126	20	0.776	15.2	0.025	0.381	77.507		\$6	,113.93
COMBINED TOTAL				19,965	15,997		86,894		6,821	4,040	8	28,453		942	7,762.69		\$ 63	,297.04

Table 1: Respondent Burden Estimate Table

61563

Tameka Owens,

Assistant Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.

[FR Doc. 2022–22149 Filed 10–11–22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–30–C

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

El Dorado County Resource Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, Agriculture (USDA).

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The El Dorado County Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) will hold a virtual meeting by phone and/or video conference. The committee is authorized under the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act (the Act) and operates in compliance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA). The purpose of the committee is to improve collaborative relationships and to provide advice and recommendations to the Forest Service concerning projects and funding consistent with title II of the Act, as well as make recommendations on recreation fee proposals for sites on the Eldorado National Forest and Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit within El Dorado county, consistent with the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act. General information and meeting details can be found at the following website: www.fs.usda.gov/main/eldorado/ workingtogether/advisorycommittees. DATES: The meeting will be held on November 2, 2022, from 3:30 p.m.-5:30 p.m., Pacific daylight time.

All RAC meetings are subject to cancellation. For the status of the meeting prior to attendance, please contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

ADDRESSES: The meeting is open to the public and will be held virtually via telephone and/or video conference. Virtual meeting participation details can be found on the website listed under SUMMARY or by contacting the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Written comments may be submitted as described under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**. All comments, including names and addresses when provided, are placed in the record and are available for public inspection and copying. The public may inspect comments received upon request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Bacon, Acting Designated Federal Officer (DFO), by phone at 530–303– 2412 or email at *james.bacon@usda.gov* or Jennifer Chapman, RAC Coordinator at 530–957–9660 or email at *jennifer.chapman@usda.gov*.

Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf and hard of hearing (TDD) may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1–800– 877–8339, 24 hours a day, every day of the year, including holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The

purpose of the meeting is to: 1. Discuss RAC projects and program updates;

2. Discuss the recent county allocations process for the Secure Rural Schools program;

3. Schedule the next meeting. The meeting is open to the public. The agenda will include time for people to make oral statements of three minutes or less. Individuals wishing to make an oral statement should make a request in writing at least three days prior to the meeting date to be scheduled on the agenda. Anyone who would like to bring related matters to the attention of the committee may file written statements with the committee staff before or after the meeting. Written comments and requests for time to make oral comments must be sent to Jennifer Chapman, 100 Forni Road, Placerville, CA 95667; or by email to jennifer.chapman@usda.gov.

USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity (including gender expression), sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, political beliefs, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity, in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA (not all bases apply to all programs). Remedies and complaint filing deadlines vary by program or incident.

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication for program information (*e.g.*, Braille, large print, audiotape, American Sign Language, etc.) should contact the responsible Agency or USDA's TARGET Center at 1–202–720–2600 (voice and TTY) or contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at 1–800–877– 8339. Additionally, program information may be made available in languages other than English.

Equal opportunity practices in accordance with USDA's policies will be followed in all appointments to the Committee. To ensure that the recommendations of the Committee have taken in account the needs of the diverse groups served by USDA, membership shall include to the extent possible, individuals with demonstrated ability to represent minorities, women, and person with disabilities. USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.

Dated: October 5, 2022.

Cikena Reid,

USDA Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 2022–22111 Filed 10–11–22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3411–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Sensors and Instrumentation Technical Advisory Committee; Notice of Partially Closed Meeting

The Sensors and Instrumentation Technical Advisory Committee (SITAC) will meet on Tuesday, October 25, 2022, 1:00 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, in the Herbert C. Hoover Building, Room 48019, 14th Street between Constitution and Pennsylvania Avenues NW, Washington, DC The Committee advises the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration on technical questions that affect the level of export controls applicable to sensors and instrumentation equipment and technology.

Agenda

Open Session

- 1. Welcome and Introductions.
- 2. Remarks from the Bureau of

Industry and Security Management. 3. Industry Presentations.

4. New Business.

Closed Session

5. Discussion of matters determined to be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app. 2 10(a)(1) and 10(a)(3).

The open session will be accessible via teleconference. To join the conference, submit inquiries to Ms. Yvette Springer at *Yvette.Springer@ bis.doc.gov* no later than October 18, 2022.

A limited number of seats will be available during the public session of the meeting. Reservations are not accepted. To the extent that time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee. The public may submit written statements at any time before or after the meeting. However, to facilitate distribution of public presentation materials to the Committee members, the Committee suggests that the