

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁸ A list of topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the *Order* would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping and that the magnitude of the margin of dumping likely to prevail would be at a rate up to 69.89 percent.

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice serves as the only reminder to interested parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR

351.305. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

Commerce is issuing and publishing these final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

Dated: September 28, 2022.

Abdelali Elouaradia,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. History of the *Order*
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 - 1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
 - 2. Magnitude of the Margin of Dumping Likely to Prevail
- VII. Final Results of Sunset Review
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022–21522 Filed 10–3–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Advance Notification of Sunset Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Every five years, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department of Commerce (Commerce) and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct reviews to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation suspended under section 704 or 734 of the Act would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.

Upcoming Sunset Reviews for November 2022

Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, the following Sunset Reviews are scheduled for initiation in November 2022 and will appear in that month’s *Notice of Initiation of Five-Year Sunset Reviews* (Sunset Review).

	Department contact
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
High Pressure Steel Cylinders from China A–570–977 (2nd Review)	Thomas Martin, (202) 482–3936.
Stainless Steel Butt-weld Pipe Fittings from Italy A–475–828 (4th Review)	Jacky Arrowsmith, (202) 482–5255.
Stainless Steel Butt-weld Pipe Fittings from Malaysia A–557–809 (4th Review)	Jacky Arrowsmith, (202) 482–5255.
Stainless Steel Butt-weld Pipe Fittings from Philippines A–565–801 (4th Review)	Jacky Arrowsmith, (202) 482–5255.
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
High Pressure Steel Cylinders from China C–570–978 (2nd Review)	Mary Kolberg, (202) 482–1785.

Suspended Investigations

No Sunset Review of suspended investigations is scheduled for initiation in November 2022.

Commerce’s procedures for the conduct of Sunset Review are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. The *Notice of Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Review* provides further information regarding what is required of all parties to participate in Sunset Review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), Commerce will maintain and make

available a service list for these proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact Commerce in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Please note that if Commerce receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the review will continue.

Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must provide substantive comments in response to the notice of initiation no later than 30 days after the date of initiation. Note that Commerce has modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.¹

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

⁸ See Memorandum “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on

Gray Portland Cement and Clinker from Japan,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

¹ See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID–19; Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

Dated: September 15, 2022.

James Maeder,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2022-21434 Filed 10-3-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-891]

Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020-2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that POSCO (the single entity comprised of POSCO and POSCO International Corporation (PIC)), a producer and exporter of carbon and alloy steel wire rod (wire rod) from the Republic of Korea (Korea), did not sell subject merchandise below normal value during the period of review (POR), May 1, 2020, through April 30, 2021.

DATES: Applicable October 4, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lingjun Wang, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2316.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 2, 2022, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).¹ POSCO, the sole producer and exporter subject to the review, filed a hearing request on July 5, 2022, and withdrew its request on September 22, 2022.² In addition, POSCO filed a case brief, related to a single issue (*i.e.*, whether to grant POSCO a constructed export price offset), on July 8, 2022.³ No

¹ See *Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020-2021*, 87 FR 33468 (June 2, 2022) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See POSCO's Letters, "Request for Public Hearing," dated July 5, 2022; and "Withdrawal of Request for Public Hearing," dated September 22, 2022.

³ See POSCO's Letter, "POSCO's Case Brief," dated July 8, 2022.

other interested parties commented on the *Preliminary Results*. Because POSCO's final dumping margin is zero, the issue raised in POSCO's case brief is moot and we have not addressed it for purposes of these final results. Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act.

Scope of the Order⁴

The scope of the *Order* includes certain hot-rolled products of carbon steel and alloy steel, in coils, of approximately round cross section, less than 19.00 mm in actual solid cross-sectional diameter. On April 8, 2019, Commerce excluded from the scope of the *Order* grade 1078 and higher tire cord quality wire rod used in the production of tire cord wire.⁵ On June 13, 2019, Commerce excluded from the scope of the *Order* valve spring quality steel products defined as wire rod.⁶ For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Final Determination of the Single Entity

In the *Preliminary Results*, we found that POSCO and PIC are affiliated and should be treated as a single entity, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.401(f).⁷ No interested party commented on this preliminary finding. As the record contains no other information or evidence that calls into question our preliminary finding, we adopt the reasoning and findings of fact outlined in the *Preliminary Results* with respect to this issue. Therefore, we continue to find that POSCO and PIC should be treated as a single entity.

Final Results of the Review

We determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period May 1, 2020, through April 30, 2021:

⁴ See *Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Italy, the Republic of Korea, Spain, the Republic of Turkey, and the United Kingdom: Antidumping Duty Orders and Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determinations for Spain and the Republic of Turkey*, 83 FR 23417 (May 21, 2018) (*Order*).

⁵ See *Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from the Republic of Korea and the United Kingdom: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 84 FR 13888 (April 8, 2019).

⁶ See *Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 84 FR 27582 (June 13, 2019).

⁷ See *Preliminary Results* Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 7-9.

Producer and/or exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
POSCO/POSCO International Corporation	0.00

Disclosure

Because we have not modified our analysis, there are no new calculations to disclose in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(b) for the final results. We are adopting the *Preliminary Results* as the final results.

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.⁸ Because POSCO's weighted-average dumping margin is zero percent, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

In accordance with Commerce's practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by POSCO for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the all-others rate if there is no company-specific rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.⁹

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided

⁸ See *Antidumping Proceeding: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

⁹ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).