

subgroup 1B. The EPA determined a higher tolerance was required by calculating the recommended tolerance for carrot and radish data separately and using the highest recommended tolerance rather than calculating the recommended tolerance using the combined carrot and radish data as the registrant did. The Agency also revised the commodity definition to use standard terminology for the subgroup.

Additionally, the petition requested that ginseng be excluded from the tolerance for subgroup 1B. However, rather than exclude ginseng from this tolerance to avoid duplicative tolerances, EPA is removing the established tolerance of 0.3 ppm for residues of benzovindiflupyr in or on ginseng because it is included in the crop subgroup covered by this tolerance.

**V. Conclusion**

Therefore, a tolerance is established for residues of benzovindiflupyr, in or on vegetable, root, except sugar beet, subgroup 1B at 0.6 ppm. In addition, EPA is removing the established tolerance for residues of benzovindiflupyr in or on ginseng at 0.3 ppm.

**VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews**

This action establishes tolerances under FFDCA section 408(d) in response to a petition submitted to the Agency. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted these types of actions from review under Executive Order 12866, entitled “Regulatory Planning and Review” (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993). Because this action has been exempted from review under Executive Order 12866, this action is not subject to Executive Order 13211, entitled “Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use” (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001) or Executive Order 13045, entitled “Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks” (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997). This action does not contain any information collections subject to OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), nor does it require any special considerations under Executive Order 12898, entitled “Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations” (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

Since tolerances and exemptions that are established on the basis of a petition under FFDCA section 408(d), such as the tolerance in this final rule, do not

require the issuance of a proposed rule, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), do not apply.

This action directly regulates growers, food processors, food handlers, and food retailers, not States or Tribes, nor does this action alter the relationships or distribution of power and responsibilities established by Congress in the preemption provisions of FFDCA section 408(n)(4). As such, the Agency has determined that this action will not have a substantial direct effect on States or Tribal Governments, on the relationship between the National Government and the States or Tribal Governments, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government or between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes. Thus, the Agency has determined that Executive Order 13132, entitled “Federalism” (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999) and Executive Order 13175, entitled “Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments” (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000) do not apply to this action. In addition, this action does not impose any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described under Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) (2 U.S.C. 1501 *et seq.*).

This action does not involve any technical standards that would require Agency consideration of voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note).

**VII. Congressional Review Act**

Pursuant to the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*), EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180**

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: September 22, 2022.

**Marietta Echeverria,**  
*Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

Therefore, for the reasons stated in the preamble, EPA is amending 40 CFR chapter I as follows:

**PART 180—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICAL RESIDUES IN FOOD**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 321(q), 346a and 371.

- 2. In § 180.686, amend the table 1 to paragraph (a) by:
  - a. Removing the entry for “Ginseng”; and
  - b. Adding in alphabetical order an entry “Vegetable, root, except sugar beet, subgroup 1B”.

The addition reads as follows:

**§ 180.686 Benzovindiflupyr; tolerances for residues**

\* \* \* \* \*

TABLE 1 TO PARAGRAPH (a)

Commodity	Parts per million
* * * * *	
Vegetable, root, except sugar beet, subgroup 1B .....	0.6
* * * * *	

[FR Doc. 2022–21159 Filed 9–28–22; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services**

**42 CFR Part 495**

**Standards for the Electronic Health Record Technology Incentive Program**

*CFR Correction*

This rule is being published by the Office of the Federal Register to correct an editorial or technical error that appeared in the most recent annual revision of the Code of Federal Regulations.

■ In Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 482 to End, revised as of October 1, 2021, revise § 495.22(e)(8)(i)(A)(2)(ii) to read as follows:

**§ 495.22 Meaningful use objectives and measures for EPs, eligible hospitals, and CAHs for 2015 through 2018.**

\* \* \* \* \*

- (e) \* \* \*
- (8) \* \* \*
- (i) \* \* \*
- (A) \* \* \*
- (2) \* \* \*

(ii) In 2017 and 2018, more than 5 percent of unique patients seen by the EP during the EHR reporting period (or their authorized representatives) views, downloads or transmits their health information to a third party during the EHR reporting period.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2022-21193 Filed 9-28-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 0099-10-D

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**Defense Acquisition Regulations System**

**48 CFR Parts 203 and 252**

[Docket DARS-2022-0001]

**Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Technical Amendments**

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Final rule; technical amendment.

**SUMMARY:** DoD is amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) in order to make needed editorial changes.

**DATES:** Effective September 29, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Jennifer D. Johnson, Defense Acquisition Regulations System, telephone 703-717-8226.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This final rule amends the DFARS to make needed editorial changes to 48 CFR parts 203 and 252.

**List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 203 and 252**

Government procurement.

**Jennifer D. Johnson,**  
*Editor/Publisher, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.*

Therefore, 48 CFR parts 203 and 252 are amended as follows:

**PART 203—IMPROPER BUSINESS PRACTICES AND PERSONAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

■ 1. The authority citation for 48 CFR part 203 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 41 U.S.C. 1303 and 48 CFR chapter 1.

**203.171-1 [Amended]**

■ 2. Amend section 203.171-1 by removing “Section” and “Public Law” and adding “section” and “Pub. L.” in their places, respectively.

**203.171-3 [Amended]**

■ 3. Amend section 203.171-3 in paragraph (a) by removing “Section” and adding “section” in its place.

**PART 252—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES**

■ 4. The authority citation for 48 CFR part 252 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 41 U.S.C. 1303 and 48 CFR chapter 1.

■ 5. Amend section 252.239-7010 by:

■ a. Revising the section heading and the clause date; and

■ b. Removing from paragraph (b)(2) “*http://iase.disa.mil/cloud\_security/Pages/index.aspx unless notified by the Contracting Officer that this requirement has been waived by the DoD Chief Information Officer.*” and adding “*https://public.cyber.mil/dccs/dccs-documents/ unless notified by the Contracting Officer that this requirement has been waived by the DoD Chief Information Officer.*” in its place.

The revisions read as follows:

**252.239-7010 Cloud Computing Services.**

\* \* \* \* \*

**Cloud Computing Services (Sep 2022)**

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2022-20966 Filed 9-28-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**Defense Acquisition Regulations System**

**48 CFR Part 252**

[Docket DARS-2022-0022]

RIN 0750-AL52

**Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement: Representation Relating to Compensation of Former DoD Officials (DFARS Case 2021-D030)**

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** DoD is issuing a final rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to clarify the requirement for offerors to represent whether former DoD officials employed by the offeror are in compliance with post-employment restrictions.

**DATES:** Effective September 29, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Monica Wideman, telephone 703-717-3446.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

DoD is issuing a final rule to implement a recommendation of the Government Accountability Office (GAO). Section 851 of the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2007 (Pub. L. 109-364) required GAO to report on recent employment of former DoD officials by major defense contractors. In May 2008, GAO issued a report titled “Defense Contracting: Post-Government Employment of Former DoD Officials Needs Greater Transparency (GAO-08-485).” GAO concluded that greater transparency was needed by DoD with respect to former senior and acquisition executives (i.e., DoD “covered officials”) to ensure compliance with applicable post-employment restrictions.

Subsequently, DoD issued a final rule in the **Federal Register** at 76 FR 71826, effective November 18, 2011, which implemented the GAO recommendation by adding a new representation for offerors to complete and provide as part of each proposal, including proposals for commercial items. The representation is required only one time rather than continuously throughout contract performance. The solicitation provision at DFARS 252.203-7005, Representation Relating to Compensation of Former DoD Officials, is a representation that all of the offeror’s employees who are former DoD officials are in compliance with all post-employment restrictions at 18 U.S.C. 207, 41 U.S.C. 2101-2107, and 5 CFR parts 2637 and 2641, as well as Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 3.104-2.

A more recent GAO Report titled “GAO-21-104311, Post-Government Employment Restrictions-DoD Could Further Enhance Its Compliance Efforts Related to Former Employees Working for Defense Contractors,” dated September 9, 2021, states that in 2011 DoD modified its acquisition regulations to require that contractors, when submitting proposals in response to DoD solicitations, represent their employees’ compliance with several post-Government employment restrictions. Although GAO recognized that DoD has provided guidance on section 1045 of the NDAA for FY 2018 (Pub. L. 115-91), to include DoD Instruction 1000.32, “Prohibition of Lobbying Activity by Former DoD Senior Officials,” the GAO report pointed out that DoD has not added section 1045 of the NDAA for FY 2018 to the list of post-Government