Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: August 19, 2022.

#### Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2022–18804 Filed 8–30–22; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-554 and 731-TA-1309 (Review)]

## Biaxial Integral Geogrid Products From China; Scheduling of Expedited Five-Year Reviews

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") to determine whether revocation of the countervailing and antidumping duty orders on biaxial integral geogrid products from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: May 9, 2022.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Caitlyn Hendricks-Costello (202–205– 2058), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (https:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On May 9, 2022, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (87 FR 5508, February 1, 2022) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant

conducting full reviews. Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Please note the Secretary's Office will accept only electronic filings at this time. Filings must be made through the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS, https://edis.usitc.gov). No in-person paper-based filings or paper copies of any electronic filings will be accepted until further notice.

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews has been placed in the nonpublic record, and will be made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews on August 25, 2022. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,2 and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determinations the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before September 1, 2022 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by September 1, 2022. However, should the Department of Commerce ("Commerce") extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments

contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the Commission's website at <a href="https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook\_on\_filing\_procedures.pdf">https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook\_on\_filing\_procedures.pdf</a>, elaborates upon the Commission's procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: August 26, 2022.

### Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2022–18799 Filed 8–30–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-929 (Rescission)]

Certain Beverage Brewing Capsules, Components Thereof, and Products Containing the Same; Notice of Commission Determination To Institute a Rescission Proceeding; Rescission of a Limited Exclusion Order and Three Cease and Desist Orders; Termination of the Rescission Proceeding

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to institute a rescission proceeding and to rescind a limited exclusion order ("LEO") three cease and desist orders ("CDOs") issued in the underlying investigation. The rescission proceeding is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Needham, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A record of the Commissioners' votes is available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's website.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Commission has found the response to its notice of institution filed on behalf of Tensar Corporation, a domestic producer of biaxial and triaxial integrated geogrid products, to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (*see* 19 CFR 207.62(dl/2)).

Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 9, 2014, the Commission instituted an investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337") based a complaint filed by complainants Adrian Rivera and Adrian Rivera Maynez Enterprises, Inc. (together, "ARM") alleging a violation of section 337 by reason of infringement of claims 5-8 and 18-20 of U.S. Patent No. 8,720,320 ("the '320 patent"). 79 FR 53445-46 (Sept. 9, 2014). The notice of institution of the investigation named the following entities as respondents: Solofill, Inc. ("Solofill"); DongGuan Hai Rui Precision Mould Co., Inc. ("DongGuan"); Eko Brands, Inc. ("Eko Brands"); Evermuch Technology Co., Ltd. ("Evermuch Technology"); Ever Much Company Ltd. ("Evermuch Company"); Melitta USA, Inc. ("Melitta"); Spark Innovators Corp. ("Spark"); LBP Manufacturing Inc. and LBP Packaging (Shenzhen) Co. Ltd. (together, "LBP"); B. Marlboros International Ltd. (HK) ("B. Marlboros"); and Amazon.com, Inc. ("Amazon"). 79 FR 53445. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was also named as a party to the investigation. *Id.* 

The Commission terminated the investigation with respect to Melitta, Spark, LBP, and B. Marlboros based on the entry of consent orders and terminated the investigation with respect to Amazon based on a settlement agreement. Order No. 10 (Nov. 19, 2014), unreviewed by Notice (Dec. 18, 2014); Order No. 12 (Dec. 16, 2014), unreviewed by Notice (Jan. 13, 2015); Order No. 14 (Feb. 26, 2015), unreviewed by Notice (Mar. 27, 2015); Order No. 16 (Mar. 18, 2015), unreviewed by Notice (Apr. 13, 2015). The Commission also found Eko Brands, Evermuch Technology, and Evermuch Company in default for failing to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation. Order No. 19 (Apr. 22, 2015), unreviewed by Notice (May 18,

2015). ARM later withdrew its allegations with respect to claims 8 and 19 of the '320 patent. See Order No. 18 (Mar. 24, 2015), unreviewed by Notice (Apr. 21, 2015). Accordingly, the only allegations remaining against active respondents were that Solofill and DongGuan violated section 337 with respect to claims 5–7, 18, and 20 of the '320 patent.

On March 17, 2016, the Commission issued a final determination of no violation by Solofill and DongGuan based on its finding that claims 5-7, 18, and 20 of the '320 patent are invalid. 81 FR 15742-43 (Mar. 24, 2016). The Commission, however, found that ARM satisfied the requirements of section 337(g)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1)) with respect to Eko Brands, Evermuch Technology, and Evermuch Company regarding claims 8 and 19 of the '320 patent, and issued an LEO and three CDOs against those entities based on those patent claims. *Id.* Espresso Supply, Inc. purchased Eko Brands in November of 2015 and became subject to the orders against Eko Brands.

On June 14, 2018, in litigation between Eko Brands and ARM, the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington entered an order finding that claims 5, 8, and 18-19 of the '320 patent are invalid as obvious. Eko Brands, LLC v. Adrian Rivera Maynez Enterprises, Inc., Case No. 2:15-cv-00522-JPD, 2018 WL 2984691 (W.D. Was. Jun. 14, 2018). On July 30, 2018, the Commission temporarily rescinded the LEO and CDOs regarding claims 8 and 19 pending the resolution of any appeal of the district court decision. 83 FR 38178-79 (Aug. 3, 2018). The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit affirmed the district court findings of invalidity of claims 5, 8, and 18–19 of the '320 patent on January 13, 2020, and issued its mandate on February 19, 2020. Eko Brands, LLC v. Adrian Rivera Maynez Enterprises, Inc., 946 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2020).

On July 26, 2022, Eko Brands and Espresso Supply, Inc. filed an unopposed petition pursuant to Commission Rule 210.76(a) (19 CFR 210.76(a)) to permanently rescind the LEO and CDO issued against them. They state that, as claims 8 and 19 of the '320 patent have been found invalid by the Federal Circuit and the time for further appeal has passed, the Commission should permanently rescind the LEO and CDO. No party responded to the petition.

Having reviewed the petition seeking to rescind the LEO and CDO based on a subsequent finding that claims 8 and 19 of the '320 patent are invalid, the Commission finds that the conditions

which led to the issuance of the LEO and CDO no longer exist, and therefore, granting the petition to rescind is warranted under section 337(k) (19 U.S.C. 1337(k)) and the requirements of Commission Rule 210.76(a) are satisfied. The Commission issued the orders under the presumption that those claims were valid (35 U.S.C. 282), which is a condition that no longer exists in light of the district court and Federal Circuit rulings. That changed condition also applies with respect to Evermuch Technology and Evermuch Company. Accordingly, the Commission has determined to institute a rescission proceeding, and to rescind the LEO and three CDOs issued against Eko Brands, Evermuch Technology, and Evermuch Company. The rescission proceeding is terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on August 25, 2022.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission. Issued: August 25, 2022.

#### Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2022–18752 Filed 8–30–22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

[OMB Number 1140-0011]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection of eComments Requested; Application To Make and Register a Firearm—ATF Form 1 (5320.1)

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 30-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), Department of Justice (DOJ) will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection (IC) OMB 1140–0011 (Application to Make and Register a Firearm—ATF Form 1 (5320.1)) is being revised to