website: https://www.doi.gov/ethics/oge-form-450. Additionally, after appointment, members appointed as SGEs will be required to meet applicable financial disclosure and ethics training requirements. Please contact (202) 202–208–7960 or DOI_Ethics@sol.doi.gov with any questions about the ethics requirements for members appointed as SGEs.

Membership Selection: Individuals shall qualify to serve on the Board because of their education, training, or experience that enables them to give informed and objective advice regarding the interest they represent. They should demonstrate experience or knowledge of the area of their expertise and a commitment to collaborate in seeking solutions to resource management issues. The Board is structured to provide fair membership and balance, both geographic and interest specific, in terms of the functions to be performed and points of view to be represented. Members are selected with the objective of providing representative counsel and advice about public land and resource planning. No person is to be denied an opportunity to serve because of race, age, sex, sexual orientation, religion, or national origin.

Pursuant to Section 7 of the Wild Free-Roaming Horses and Burros Act, members of the Board cannot be employed by the State or Federal Government.

(Authority: 43 CFR 1784.4–1)

David Jenkins,

Assistant Director, Resources and Planning. [FR Doc. 2022–17383 Filed 8–11–22; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–84–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1191]

Certain Audio Players and Controllers, Components Thereof, and Products Containing the Same; Notice of a Commission Determination To Institute a Modification Proceeding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to institute a modification proceeding in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan M. Valentine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW,

Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 11, 2020, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Sonos, Inc. ("Sonos") of Santa Barbara, California. 85 FR 7783 (Feb. 11, 2020). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337) ("section 337"), based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain audio players and controllers, components thereof, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,195,258 ("the '258 patent"); 10,209,953 ("the '953 patent"); 8,588,949 ("the '949 patent"); 9,219,959 ("the '959 patent"); and 10,439,896 ("the '896 patent"). Id. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. Id. The notice of investigation named as respondents Google LLC ("Google") and Alphabet Inc. ("Alphabet"), both of Mountain View, California. Id. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations ("OUII") was also named as a party. Id.

On September 21, 2020, the Commission terminated the investigation as to Alphabet based on withdrawal of the allegations in the complaint directed to Alphabet. Order No. 18 (Sept. 1, 2020), unreviewed by Comm'n Notice (Sept. 21, 2020). On November 24, 2020, the Commission determined that the importation requirement has been satisfied. Order No. 27 (Oct. 27, 2020), unreviewed by Comm'n Notice (Nov. 24, 2020). On February 2, 2021, the Commission determined that the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement has been satisfied as to the '949 patent. Order No. 32 (Jan. 4, 2021), unreviewed by Comm'n Notice (Feb. 2, 2021). On February 16, 2021, the Commission determined that the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement has been satisfied as to all asserted patents. Order No. 35 (Jan. 14, 2021), reviewed

and aff'd by Comm'n Notice (Feb. 16, 2021). On March 12, 2021, the Commission partially terminated the investigation based on withdrawal of the allegations in the complaint as to certain asserted claims. Order No. 58 (Feb. 23, 2021), unreviewed by Comm'n Notice (Mar. 12, 2021).

On August 13, 2021, the presiding Chief Administrative Law Judge ("CALJ") issued an initial determination ("ID") finding a violation of section 337 with respect to the following claims of the asserted patents: claims 17, 21, 24, and 26 of the '258 patent; claims 7, 14, and 22–24 of the '953 patent; claim 10 of the '959 patent; claims 1, 2, and 5 of the '949 patent; and claims 1, 5, 6, and 12 of the '896 patent.

On November 19, 2021, the Commission determined to review the ID in part with respect to the ID's analysis of whether the products accused of infringing the '258 and '953 patents are articles that infringe at the time of importation. 86 FR 67492 (Nov. 26, 2021). The Commission also determined to correct two typographical errors on pages 24 and 84 of the ID. *Id.* The Commission did not request briefing on any issue under review. *Id.* The Commission's notice also requested written submissions on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. *Id.*

On January 6, 2022, the Commission terminated the investigation with a finding of a violation of section 337 with respect to claims 17, 21, 24, and 26 of the '258 patent; claims 7, 14, and 22–24 of the '953 patent; claim 10 of the '959 patent; claims 1, 2, and 5 of the '949 patent; and claims 1, 5, 6, and 12 of the '896 patent. 87 FR 1784–85 (Jan. 12, 2022). The Commission issued a limited exclusion order ("CEO") and a cease and desist order ("CDO") against

Google. Id.

On January 27, 2022, Sonos filed a petition with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit seeking review of the Commission's finding that one or more redesign products that Google submitted for adjudication with respect to each asserted patent are non-infringing. Sonos, Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n, No. 22–1421. On March 22, 2022, Google filed a petition for review of the Commission finding of violation. Google LLC v. Int'l Trade Comm'n, No. 22–1573. These appeals have been consolidated.

On July 14, 2022, Google filed a petition for modification of the LEO and CDO, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.76(a), 19 CFR 210.76(a). Google alleges that its Pixel smartphones, tablets, laptops, and other "controllers" installed with an allegedly new Device Utility app do not infringe any of claims

1, 5, 6, and 12 of the '896 patent. On July 25, 2022, Sonos filed an opposition to Google's modification petition.

The Commission has determined that Google's petition complies with the requirements for institution of a modification proceeding pursuant to Commission Rule 210.76. Accordingly, the Commission has determined to institute a modification proceeding and has delegated the proceeding to the CALJ. The presiding CALJ shall submit a recommended determination within six (6) months after publication of notice of this Order in the Federal Register. Sonos, Google, and OUII are named as parties to the modification proceeding.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on August 8,

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part

By order of the Commission. Issued: August 9, 2022.

Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2022-17398 Filed 8-11-22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-825-826 (Fourth Review)]

Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From South Korea and Taiwan

Determinations

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on certain polyester staple fiber from South Korea and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on January 3, 2022 (87 FR 119) and determined on April 8, 2022, that it would conduct expedited reviews (87 FR 38780, June 29, 2022).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on August 8, 2022. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5341 (August 2022), entitled Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from South Korea and Taiwan: Investigation Nos. 731-TA-825-826 (Fourth Review).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 8, 2022.

Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2022-17313 Filed 8-11-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-540-543 and 731-TA-1283-1287 and 1290 (Review)]

Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products From Brazil, China, India, Japan, South Korea, and the United Kingdom

Determinations

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that revocation of the countervailing duty orders on coldrolled steel flat products ("cold-rolled steel") from China, India, and South Korea and the antidumping duty orders on cold-rolled steel from China, India, Japan, South Korea, and the United Kingdom would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission further determines that revocation of the countervailing and antidumping duty orders on cold-rolled steel from Brazil would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.2

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on June 1, 2021 (86 FR 29286) and determined on September 7, 2021 that it would conduct full reviews (86 FR 52180, September 20, 2021). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on December 13, 2021 (86 FR 70864). The Commission conducted its hearing on May 24, 2022. All persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to participate.

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on August 9, 2022. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5339 (August 2022), entitled Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Brazil, China, India, Japan, South Korea, and the United Kingdom: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-540-543 and 731-TA-1283-1287 and 1290 (Review).

By order of the Commission. Issued: August 9, 2022.

Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2022-17399 Filed 8-11-22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE **COMMISSION**

Notice of Receipt of Complaint; **Solicitation of Comments Relating to** the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled Certain Pillows and Seat Cushions, Components Thereof, and Packaging Thereof, DN 3633; the Commission is soliciting comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or complainant's filing pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Katherine M. Hiner, Acting Secretary to the Commission, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. The public version of the

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

²Commissioners Rhonda K. Schmidtlein and Randolph J. Stayin determine that revocation of the countervailing duty orders on CRS from Brazil, China, India, and South Korea and the antidumping duty orders on CRS from Brazil, China, India, Japan, South Korea, and the United Kingdom would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.