

Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's website (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3011.301.¹

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3030, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3035, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s)*: MC2022-94 and CP2022-98; *Filing Title*: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Contract 754 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: August 5, 2022; *Filing Authority*: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 through 3040.135, and 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative*: Kenneth R. Moeller; *Comments Due*: August 15, 2022.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Jennie L. Jbara,

Alternate Certifying Officer.

[FR Doc. 2022-17270 Filed 8-10-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-95433; File No. SR-MEMX-2022-22]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; MEMX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Exchange's Fee Schedule

August 5, 2022.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on July 29, 2022, MEMX LLC ("MEMX" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing with the Commission a proposed rule change to amend the Exchange's fee schedule applicable to Members³ (the "Fee Schedule") pursuant to Exchange Rules 15.1(a) and (c). The Exchange proposes to implement the changes to the Fee Schedule pursuant to this proposal on August 1, 2022. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend the Fee Schedule to:

(i) modify the required criteria under the Step-Up Additive Rebate; (ii) modify the required criteria under the Liquidity Removal Tier 1; and (iii) increase the rebate for executions of all orders in securities priced below \$1.00 per share that add displayed liquidity to the Exchange ("Added Displayed Sub-Dollar Volume").

The Exchange first notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient. More specifically, the Exchange is only one of 16 registered equities exchanges, as well as a number of alternative trading systems and other off-exchange venues, to which market participants may direct their order flow. Based on publicly available information, no single registered equities exchange currently has more than approximately 15.5% of the total market share of executed volume of equities trading.⁴ Thus, in such a low-concentrated and highly competitive market, no single equities exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of order flow, and the Exchange currently represents approximately 3.5% of the overall market share.⁵ The Exchange in particular operates a "Maker-Taker" model whereby it provides rebates to Members that add liquidity to the Exchange and charges fees to Members that remove liquidity from the Exchange. The Fee Schedule sets forth the standard rebates and fees applied per share for orders that add and remove liquidity, respectively. Additionally, in response to the competitive environment, the Exchange also offers tiered pricing, which provides Members with opportunities to qualify for higher rebates or lower fees where certain volume criteria and thresholds are met. Tiered pricing provides an incremental incentive for Members to strive for higher tier levels, which provides increasingly higher benefits or discounts for satisfying increasingly more stringent criteria.

Step-Up Additive Rebate

The Exchange currently offers the Step-Up Additive Rebate under which the Exchange provides an additive rebate of \$0.0002 per share that is in addition to the otherwise applicable rebate for a qualifying Member's executions of certain orders in securities

¹ See Docket No. RM2018-3, Order Adopting Final Rules Relating to Non-Public Information, June 27, 2018, Attachment A at 19-22 (Order No. 4679).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Exchange Rule 1.5(p).

⁴ Market share percentage calculated as of July 28, 2022. The Exchange receives and processes data made available through consolidated data feeds (*i.e.*, CTS and UTDF).

⁵ *Id.*

priced at or above \$1.00 per share that add displayed liquidity to the Exchange (“Added Displayed Volume”).⁶ Currently, a Member qualifies for the Step-Up Additive Rebate by achieving one of the following two alternative criteria: (1) a Step-Up ADAV⁷ (excluding Retail Orders) from April 2022 that is equal to or greater than 0.07% of the TCV;⁸ or (2) an ADAV that is equal to or greater than 0.70% of the TCV. Now, the Exchange proposes to modify the required criteria such that a Member would now qualify for the Step-Up Additive Rebate by achieving one of the following two alternative criteria: (1) a Step-Up ADAV (excluding Retail Orders) from April 2022 that is equal to or greater than 0.07% of the TCV; or (2) a Step-Up ADAV from July 2022 that is equal to or greater than 0.05% of the TCV and an ADAV that is equal to or greater than 0.30% of the TCV.

Thus, the proposed change would keep one of the two alternative criteria (*i.e.*, the April 2022 Step-Up ADAV threshold) intact and replace the other of such alternative criteria (*i.e.*, the overall ADAV threshold) with a new alternative criteria that includes an overall ADAV threshold that is lower than the existing overall ADAV threshold being replaced, as well as a July 2022 Step-Up ADAV threshold. The proposed new alternative criteria is intended to encourage additional Members to strive to qualify for the Step-Up Additive Rebate by providing a new alternative criteria that includes a lower overall ADAV threshold than before, which is easier to achieve, as well as a reasonable July 2022 Step-Up ADAV threshold, each of which is designed to encourage the submission of additional liquidity-adding orders to the Exchange. While the Exchange has no

way of predicting with certainty how the proposed new criteria will impact Member activity, the Exchange expects that more Members will strive to qualify for such tier than currently do, resulting in the submission of additional order flow to the Exchange. The Exchange is not proposing to change the rebate provided under the Step-Up Additive Rebate.

Liquidity Removal Tier 1

The Exchange currently charges a standard fee of \$0.0030 per share for executions of orders in securities priced at or above \$1.00 per share that remove liquidity from the Exchange (“Removed Volume”). The Exchange also currently offers Liquidity Removal Tier 1 under which qualifying Members are charged a discounted fee of \$0.0029 per share for executions of Removed Volume by achieving one of the following two alternative criteria: (1) a Remove ADV⁹ that is equal to or greater than 0.30% of the TCV and a Step-Up ADAV from April 2022 that is equal to or greater than 0.10% of the TCV; or (2) an ADV that is equal to or greater than 1.00% of the TCV. Now, the Exchange proposes to modify the required criteria such that a Member would now qualify for Liquidity Removal Tier 1 by achieving one of the following two alternative criteria: (1) an ADV that is equal to or greater than 0.45% of the TCV and an ADAV that is equal to or greater than 0.20% of the TCV; or (2) an ADV that is equal to or greater than 1.00% of the TCV.

Thus, the proposed change would keep one of the two alternative criteria (*i.e.*, the overall ADV threshold) intact and replace the other of such alternative criteria (*i.e.*, the Remove ADV and April 2022 Step-Up ADAV thresholds) with a new alternative criteria that includes an overall ADV threshold that is lower than the overall ADV threshold in the other remaining alternative criteria, as well as an overall ADAV threshold. As the proposed new alternative criteria is based on overall ADV and ADAV thresholds, it is intended to encourage Members to maintain or increase their order flow, including liquidity-adding orders, to the Exchange, thereby contributing to a deeper and more liquid market to the benefit of all Members. The Exchange is not proposing to change the fee charged under Liquidity Removal Tier 1.

⁹ As set forth on the Fee Schedule, “ADV” means average daily volume calculated as the number of shares added or removed, combined, per day, which is calculated on a monthly basis, and “Remove ADV” means ADV with respect to orders that remove liquidity.

Added Displayed Sub-Dollar Volume

The Exchange currently provides a rebate of 0.05% of the total dollar value of the transaction for all executions of Added Displayed Sub-Dollar Volume. This rebate applies to all Members, including those that qualify for any of the Exchange’s pricing tiers. Now, the Exchange proposes to increase the rebate for all executions of Added Displayed Sub-Dollar Volume to 0.10% of the total dollar value of the transaction, which would similarly apply to all Members as the current rebate for such executions does today.

The purpose of increasing the rebate for executions of Added Displayed Sub-Dollar Volume is to incentivize Members to submit additional orders of Added Displayed Sub-Dollar Volume to the Exchange. The Exchange notes that overall volumes in sub-dollar securities in the U.S. equities market have had significant increases at certain times, however, the Exchange’s volumes in these securities have been disproportionately lower than certain other venues, relative to the overall market share of the Exchange and such other venues, during these times. Thus, the Exchange’s proposal to increase the rebate for executions of Added Displayed Sub-Dollar Volume is designed to encourage the submission of additional orders in sub-dollar securities to the Exchange in order to bring the Exchange’s volumes in such securities in line with its overall market share in a manner that deepens liquidity and promotes price discovery in such securities to the benefit of all Members.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 6 of the Act,¹⁰ in general, and with Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,¹¹ in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among its Members and other persons using its facilities and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

As discussed above, the Exchange operates in a highly fragmented and competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient, and the Exchange represents only a small percentage of the overall market. The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed

⁶ The Step-Up Additive Rebate applies to all executions of Added Displayed Volume, except: (i) orders that establish the national best bid or offer (“NBBO”) if such Member qualifies for the Exchange’s NBBO Setter Tier; or (ii) Retail Orders. “Retail Order” means an agency or riskless principal order that meets the criteria of FINRA Rule 5320.03 that originates from a natural person and is submitted to the Exchange by a Retail Member Organization, provided that no change is made to the terms of the order with respect to price or side of market and the order does not originate from a trading algorithm or any other computerized methodology. See Exchange Rule 11.21(a).

⁷ As set forth on the Fee Schedule, “ADAV” means the average daily added volume calculated as the number of shares added per day, which is calculated on a monthly basis, and “Step-Up ADAV” means ADAV in the relevant baseline month subtracted from current ADAV.

⁸ As set forth on the Fee Schedule, “TCV” means total consolidated volume calculated as the volume reported by all exchanges and trade reporting facilities to a consolidated transaction reporting plan for the month for which the fees apply.

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

their preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and also recognized that current regulation of the market system “has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies.”¹²

The Exchange believes that the ever-shifting market share among the exchanges from month to month demonstrates that market participants can shift order flow or discontinue to reduce use of certain categories of products, in response to new or different pricing structures being introduced into the market.

Accordingly, competitive forces constrain the Exchange’s transaction fees and rebates, and market participants can readily trade on competing venues if they deem pricing levels at those other venues to be more favorable. The Exchange believes the proposal reflects a reasonable and competitive pricing structure designed to incentivize market participants to direct additional order flow, including Added Displayed Sub-Dollar Volume and other liquidity-adding orders, to the Exchange, which the Exchange believes would promote price discovery and enhance liquidity and market quality on the Exchange to the benefit of all Members.

The Exchange notes that volume-based incentives and discounts have been widely adopted by exchanges, including the Exchange, and are reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because they are open to all members on an equal basis and provide additional benefits or discounts that are reasonably related to the value to an exchange’s market quality associated with higher levels of market activity, such as higher levels of liquidity provision and/or growth patterns, and the introduction of higher volumes of orders into the price and volume discovery process. The Exchange believes that the Step-Up Additive Rebate and Liquidity Removal Tier 1, as modified by the proposed changes to the required criteria under such tiers, are reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory for these same reasons, as such tiers would continue to provide Members with incremental incentives to achieve certain volume thresholds on the

Exchange, are available to all Members on an equal basis, and, as described above, are designed to encourage Members to maintain or increase their order flow, including liquidity-adding orders, to the Exchange in order to qualify for an additive rebate for executions of Added Displayed Volume or a discounted fee for executions of Removed Volume, respectively, thereby contributing to a deeper and more liquid market to the benefit of all Members. The Exchange also believes that the proposed changes to the required criteria under such tiers reflect a reasonable and equitable allocation of fees and rebates because the Exchange believes that the additive rebate for executions of Added Displayed Volume under the Step-Up Additive Rebate and the fee for executions of Removed Volume under Liquidity Removal Tier 1 each remain commensurate with the corresponding required criteria under the applicable tier, and are reasonably related to the market quality benefits that the applicable tier is designed to achieve.

The Exchange believes that the proposed increased rebate for executions of Added Displayed Sub-Dollar Volume is reasonable, equitable, and non-discriminatory because it would further incentivize Members to submit displayed liquidity-adding orders in sub-dollar securities to the Exchange, which would deepen liquidity and promote price discovery in such securities to the benefit of all Members, and such rebate would continue to apply equally to all executions of Added Displayed Sub-Dollar Volume for all Members. The Exchange further believes that the proposed increased rebate is reasonable because at least one other exchange provides rebates for executions of liquidity-adding orders in sub-dollar securities that are lower than, equal to, and higher than the proposed rebate.¹³

For the reasons discussed above, the Exchange submits that the proposal satisfies the requirements of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act¹⁴ in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among its Members and other persons using its facilities and is not

designed to unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. As described more fully below in the Exchange’s statement regarding the burden on competition, the Exchange believes that its transaction pricing is subject to significant competitive forces, and that the proposed fees and rebates described herein are appropriate to address such forces.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposal will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Instead, as discussed above, the proposal is intended to incentivize market participants to direct additional order flow, including Added Displayed Sub-Dollar Volume and other liquidity-adding orders, to the Exchange, thereby promoting price discovery and enhancing liquidity and market quality on the Exchange to the benefit of all Members. As a result, the Exchange believes the proposal would enhance its competitiveness as a market that attracts actionable orders, thereby making it a more desirable destination venue for its customers. For these reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposal furthers the Commission’s goal in adopting Regulation NMS of fostering competition among orders, which promotes “more efficient pricing of individual stocks for all types of orders, large and small.”¹⁵

Intramarket Competition

As discussed above, the Exchange believes that the proposal would incentivize Members to submit additional order flow, including Added Displayed Sub-Dollar Volume and other liquidity-adding orders, to the Exchange, thereby promoting price discovery and enhancing liquidity and market quality on the Exchange to the benefit of all Members, as well as enhancing the attractiveness of the Exchange as a trading venue, which the Exchange believes, in turn, would continue to encourage market participants to direct additional order flow to the Exchange. Greater liquidity benefits all Members by providing more trading opportunities and encourages Members to send additional orders to the Exchange, thereby contributing to robust levels of liquidity, which benefits all market participants. The opportunity to qualify for the proposed new alternative criteria under the Step-Up

¹² Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005).

¹³ See the NYSE Arca, Inc. equities trading fee schedule on its public website (available at https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/nyse-arca/NYSE_Arca_Marketplace_Fees.pdf), which reflects a standard rebate of 0.0% of the total dollar value of the transaction for liquidity-adding transactions in securities priced below \$1.00 per share and also reflects tiered rebates for such transactions ranging from 0.05% to 0.15% of the total dollar value of the transaction based on a participant achieving certain volume thresholds.

¹⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

¹⁵ See supra note 12.

Additive Rebate and Liquidity Removal Tier 1, and thus receive the corresponding additive rebate for executions of Added Displayed Volume or pay the discounted fee for Removed Volume, respectively, would continue to be available to all Members that meet the associated volume requirements in any month. As described above, the Exchange believes that the proposed new required criteria under each such tier are commensurate with the corresponding fee or rebate under such tier and are reasonably related to the enhanced liquidity and market quality that such tier is designed to promote. For the foregoing reasons, the Exchange believes the proposed changes would not impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

Intermarket Competition

As noted above, the Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient. Members have numerous alternative venues that they may participate on and direct their order flow to, including 15 other equities exchanges and numerous alternative trading systems and other off-exchange venues. As noted above, no single registered equities exchange currently has more than approximately 15.5% of the total market share of executed volume of equities trading. Thus, in such a low-concentrated and highly competitive market, no single equities exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of order flow. Moreover, the Exchange believes that the ever-shifting market share among the exchanges from month to month demonstrates that market participants can shift order flow or discontinue to reduce use of certain categories of products, in response to new or different pricing structures being introduced into the market.

Accordingly, competitive forces constrain the Exchange's transaction fees and rebates, including with respect to executions of Added Displayed Volume, Removed Volume, and Added Displayed Sub-Dollar Volume, and market participants can readily choose to send their orders to other exchange and off-exchange venues if they deem fee levels at those other venues to be more favorable. As described above, the proposed changes represent a competitive proposal through which the Exchange is seeking to encourage additional order flow to the Exchange

through an increased rebate and volume-based tiers, which have been widely adopted by exchanges, including the Exchange. Accordingly, the Exchange believes the proposal would not burden, but rather promote, intermarket competition by enabling it to better compete with other exchanges that offer similar pricing incentives to market participants.

Additionally, the Commission has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. Specifically, in Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system "has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies."¹⁶ The fact that this market is competitive has also long been recognized by the courts. In *NetCoalition v. SEC*, the D.C. Circuit stated as follows: "[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is 'fierce.' . . . As the SEC explained, '[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution'; [and] 'no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted' because 'no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers'. . . ."¹⁷ Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe its proposed pricing changes impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section

19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act¹⁸ and Rule 19b-4(f)(2)¹⁹ thereunder.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-MEMX-2022-22 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.
- All submissions should refer to File Number SR-MEMX-2022-22. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the

¹⁶ See *supra* note 12.

¹⁷ *NetCoalition v. SEC*, 615 F.3d 525, 539 (D.C. Cir. 2010) (quoting Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770, 74782-83 (December 9, 2008) (SR-NYSE-2006-21)).

¹⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

¹⁹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–MEMX–2022–22 and should be submitted on or before September 1, 2022.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²⁰

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022–17220 Filed 8–10–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–95436; File No. SR–NASDAQ–2022–044]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Extend the Expiration Date of the Temporary Amendments Concerning Video Conference Hearings

August 5, 2022.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on July 25, 2022, The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC (“Nasdaq” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated the proposed rule change as constituting a “non-controversial” rule change under paragraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b–4 under the Act,³ which renders the proposal effective upon receipt of this filing by the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to extend the expiration date of the temporary

amendments in SR–NASDAQ–2020–076 from July 31, 2022, to October 31, 2022.⁴ The proposed rule change would not make any changes to the text of the Exchange rules.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at <https://listingcenter.nasdaq.com/rulebook/nasdaq/rules>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to continue to harmonize Exchange Rules 1015, 9261, 9524 and 9830 with recent changes by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (“FINRA”) to its Rules 1015, 9261, 9524 and 9830 in response to the COVID–19 global health crisis and the corresponding need to restrict in-person activities. The Exchange originally filed proposed rule change SR–NASDAQ–2020–076, which allows the Exchange’s Office of Hearing Officers (“OHO”) and the Exchange Review Council (“ERC”) to conduct hearings, on a temporary basis, by video conference, if warranted by the current COVID–19-related public health risks posed by an in-person hearing. In March 2022, the Exchange filed a proposed rule change, SR–NASDAQ–2022–028, to extend the expiration date of the temporary amendments in SR–NASDAQ–2020–076 from March 31, 2022, to July 31, 2022.⁵

⁴ If the Exchange seeks to provide additional temporary relief from the rule requirements identified in this proposed rule change beyond October 31, 2022, the Exchange will submit a separate rule filing to further extend the temporary extension of time. The amended Exchange rules will revert to their original form at the conclusion of the temporary relief period and any extension thereof.

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 94610 (April 5, 2022), 87 FR 21225 (April 11, 2022)

Even though it has been more than two years since the World Health Organization declared COVID–19 a pandemic, uncertainty still remains around this disease. The continued presence of COVID–19 variants including the quickly emerging Omicron BA.4 and BA.5 subvariants, dissimilar vaccination rates throughout the United States, and the current medium to high COVID–19 community levels in many states indicate that COVID–19 remains an active and real public health concern.⁶ Due to the uncertainty and the lack of a clear timeframe for a sustained and widespread abatement of COVID–19-related health concerns and corresponding restrictions,⁷ the Exchange believes that there is a continued need for temporary relief beyond July 31, 2022. Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to extend the expiration date of the temporary rule amendments in SR–NASDAQ–2020–076 from July 31, 2022, to October 31, 2022.

On November 5, 2020, the Exchange filed, and subsequently extended to July 31, 2022, SR–NASDAQ–2020–076, to temporarily amend Exchange Rules 1015, 9261, 9524 and 9830 to grant OHO and the ERC authority⁸ to conduct hearings in connection with appeals of Membership Application Program decisions, disciplinary actions, eligibility proceedings and temporary and permanent cease and desist orders

(Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of File No. SR–NASDAQ–2022–028).

⁶ For example, there has been a notable upward trend in the number of daily COVID–19 cases in the United States since April 1, 2022. See https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#trends_dailycases. In addition, on June 9, 2022, the Biden Administration announced its operational plan for COVID–19 vaccinations for children under the age of five. See <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2022/06/09/fact-sheet-biden-administration-announces-operational-plan-for-covid-19-vaccinations-for-children-under-5/>.

⁷ For instance, the Centers for Disease Control (“CDC”) recommends that people wear a mask in public indoor settings in areas with a high COVID–19 community level regardless of vaccination status or individual risk. See <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/about-face-coverings.html>. The CDC also recommends that people wear a mask in indoor areas of public transportation and transportation hubs to protect themselves and those around them and help keep travel and public transportation safer for everyone. See <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/travelers/masks-public-transportation.html>. Furthermore, numerous states currently have mask mandates in certain settings, such as healthcare and correctional facilities.

⁸ For OHO hearings under Exchange Rules 9261 and 9830, the proposed rule change temporarily grants authority to the Chief or Deputy Chief Hearing Officer to order that a hearing be conducted by video conference. For ERC hearings under Exchange Rules 1015 and 9524, this temporary authority is granted to the ERC or relevant Subcommittee.

²⁰ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6).