type the docket number excluding the last three digits of this document in the docket number field.

60. User assistance is available for eLibrary and the Commission's website during normal business hours from the Commission's Online Support at 202–502–6652 (toll free at 1–866–208–3676) or email at ferconlinesupport@ferc.gov, or the Public Reference Room at (202) 502–8371, TTY (202) 502–8659. Email the Public Reference Room at public.referenceroom@ferc.gov.

List of Subjects in 18 CFR Part 35

Electric power rates, Electric utilities, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

By direction of the Commission. Issued: July 28, 2022.

Debbie-Anne A. Reese,

Deputy Secretary.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Commission proposes to amend part 35, subpart J, title 18, Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

PART 35—FILING OF RATE SCHEDULES AND TARIFFS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 35 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 791a–825r, 2601–2645; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 42 U.S.C. 7101–7352.

■ 2. Amend § 35.47 by adding paragraph (h) to read as follows:

§ 35.47 Tariff provisions regarding credit practices in organized wholesale electric markets.

* * * * *

(h) Permit the sharing of market participant credit-related information with, and receipt of market participant credit-related information from, other organized wholesale electric markets for the purpose of credit risk management and mitigation, provided such market participant credit-related information is treated upon receipt as confidential under the terms for the confidential treatment of market participant information set forth in the tariff or other governing document of the receiving organized wholesale electric market; and permit the receiving organized wholesale electric market to use market participant credit-related information received from another organized wholesale electric market to the same extent and for the same purposes that the receiving organized wholesale electric market may use credit-related information collected from its own market participants.

[FR Doc. 2022–16609 Filed 8–5–22; 8:45~am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG-2022-0652] RIN 1625-AA00

Safety Zone; Ohio River, Louisville, KY

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is proposing to establish a temporary safety zone for all navigable waters of the Ohio River from mile marker (MM) 602.5 to MM 603.5 from 7 p.m. to 1 a.m. on October 24 and 25, 2022. This action is necessary to provide for the safety of life on these navigable waters near Louisville, KY during a film stunt. This proposed rulemaking would prohibit persons and vessels from being in the safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Ohio Valley or a designated representative. We invite your comments on this proposed rulemaking.

DATES: Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before September 7, 2022.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG—2022—0652 using the Federal Decision Making Portal at https://www.regulations.gov. See the "Public Participation and Request for Comments" portion of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section for

further instructions on submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this proposed rulemaking, call or email MST2 Christopher Roble, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 502–779–5336, email Christopher.J.Roble@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations
DHS Department of Homeland Security
FR Federal Register
MM Mile marker
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking
§ Section
U.S.C. United States Code

II. Background, Purpose, and Legal Basis

On July 22, 2022, Messiah's Star LLC notified the Coast Guard that it will be conducting a film stunt from 7 p.m. on October 24, 2022 to 1 a.m. on October 25, 2022, as part of filming for a film titled "Just One Life." The stunt is a

controlled fall and is to take place from the Big Four Pedestrian Bridge to the Ohio River below at MM 603. The event will include 3 swimmers, a deck boat, and a houseboat.

The purpose of this rulemaking is to ensure the safety of vessels, stunt crew personnel, and the navigable waters before, during, and after the scheduled event. The Coast Guard is proposing this rulemaking under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034 (previously 33 U.S.C. 1231).

III. Discussion of Proposed Rule

The COTP is proposing to establish a safety zone from 7 p.m. on October 24, 2022 to 1 a.m. on October 25, 2022. The safety zone would cover all navigable waters of the Ohio River between MM 602.5 and MM 603.5. The duration of the zone is intended to ensure the safety of vessels and these navigable waters before, during, and after the scheduled 7 p.m. to 1 a.m. film stunt. No vessel or person would be permitted to enter the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP or a designated representative. The regulatory text we are proposing appears at the end of this document.

IV. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this proposed rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. This NPRM has not been designated a "significant regulatory action," under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, the NPRM has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

This regulatory action determination is based on the size, location, duration, and time-of-day of the safety zone. This safety zone would restrict transit on a one-mile stretch of the Ohio River for 6 hours on one night. Moreover, the Coast Guard would issue a Broadcast Notice to Mariners via VHF–FM marine channel 16 about the zone.

B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The

term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the safety zone may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section IV.A above, this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see ADDRESSES) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Under section 213(a) of the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule. If the proposed rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please call or email the person listed in the FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT** section. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this proposed rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

C. Collection of Information

This proposed rule would not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132 (Federalism), if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175 (Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments) because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this proposed rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please call or email the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the potential effects of this proposed rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023-01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This proposed rule involves a safety zone lasting 6 hours that would prohibit entry .5 nautical mile of a film stunt. Normally such actions are categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(a) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1. A preliminary Record of **Environmental Consideration** supporting this determination is available in the docket. For instructions on locating the docket, see the ADDRESSES section of this preamble. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to call or email the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section to coordinate protest activities so that your

message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places, or vessels.

V. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking, and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

Submitting comments. We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal Decision Making Portal at https://www.regulations.gov. To do so, go to https://www.regulations.gov, type USCG—2022—0652 in the search box and click "Search." Next, look for this document in the Search Results column, and click on it. Then click on the Comment option. If you cannot submit your material by using https://www.regulations.gov, call or email the person in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this proposed rule for alternate instructions.

Viewing material in docket. To view documents mentioned in this proposed rule as being available in the docket, find the docket as described in the previous paragraph, and then select 'Supporting & Related Material" in the Document Type column. Public comments will also be placed in our online docket and can be viewed by following instructions on the https:// www.regulations.gov Frequently Asked Questions web page. We review all comments received, but we will only post comments that address the topic of the proposed rule. We may choose not to post off-topic, inappropriate, or duplicate comments that we receive.

Personal information. We accept anonymous comments. Comments we post to https://www.regulations.gov will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and submissions to the docket in response to this document, see DHS's eRulemaking System of Records notice (85 FR 14226, March 11, 2020).

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and record keeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard is proposing to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 46 U.S.C. 70034, 70051; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 00170.1, Revision No. 01.2.

■ 2. Add § 165.T08–0652 to read as follows:

§ 165.T08-0652 Safety Zone; Ohio River, Miles 602.5-603.5, Louisville, KY.

- (a) *Location*. The following area is a temporary safety zone: all navigable waters of the Ohio River between MM 602.5 and MM 603.5.
- (b) Definitions. As used in this section, designated representative means a Coast Guard Patrol Commander, including a Coast Guard coxswain, petty officer, or other officer operating a Coast Guard vessel and a Federal, State, and local officer designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port Ohio Valley (COTP) in the enforcement of the safety zone.
- (c) Regulations. (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23, entry of persons and vessels into the security zone described in paragraph (a) of this section is prohibited unless authorized by the COTP or a designated representative.
- (2) Persons or vessels requiring entry into or passage through the zone must request permission from the COTP or a designated representative. The COTP's representative may be contacted at 502–779–5336.
- (d) Enforcement period. This section is effective from 7 p.m. on October 24, 2022. through 1 a.m. on October 25, 2022.

Dated: August 3, 2022.

H.R. Mattern,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Ohio Valley.

[FR Doc. 2022–16945 Filed 8–5–22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

39 CFR Part 3050

[Docket No. RM2022-11; Order No. 6242]

Periodic Reporting

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Commission is acknowledging a recent filing requesting the Commission initiate a rulemaking proceeding to consider changes to analytical principles relating to periodic

reports (Proposal Five). This document informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: Comments are due: September 20, 2022.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

I. Introduction II. Proposal Five III. Notice and Comment IV. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On July 29, 2022, the Postal Service filed a petition pursuant to 39 CFR 3050.11 requesting that the Commission initiate a rulemaking proceeding to consider changes to analytical principles relating to periodic reports. The Petition identifies the proposed analytical changes filed in this docket as Proposal Five.

II. Proposal Five

Background. Contract Delivery Services (CDS) suppliers are independent contractors who provide delivery on specific routes that are not serviced by city or rural carriers. Petition, Proposal Five at 1. The Postal Service initiated research into the estimation of accrued costs and product costs of CDS in response to USPS Office of Inspector General (OIG) Report No. 20-313-R21. *Id.* That report recommended that the Postal Service: (1) reevaluate the cost proportion percentages used to estimate accrued CDS costs and assess the possibility of using actual CDS payment data to calculate product costs; and (2) conduct a study to whether the mail volumes delivered on CDS and rural routes are similar and consider an update to the

distribution keys used to attribute CDS costs. *Id.* at 1–2.

CDS costs are not separately identified in the Postal Service's general ledger (GL). Id. at 2. Instead, CDS accrued costs are included as a portion of different GL expense accounts contained in cost segment 14 (purchased transportation), components 143 (Highway) and 145 (Domestic Water) and reported in GL Account No. 53605—Intra-CSD Regular (Intra-District)—and Account No. 53601— Intra-processing & distribution center (P&DC) Regular. Id. The Postal Service states that costs in these two accounts "comprise the overwhelming majority of all CDS costs and have a distinct treatment." Id.

Currently, the Postal Service calculates the CDS volume variability by developing a cost-to-capacity variability and a capacity-to-volume variability and then multiplying these variabilities together to produce an overall volume variability for the contract costs. *Id.* at 2–3. The calculation of volume variable Intra-sectional center facility (SCF) costs relies upon two econometric analyses, approved in Docket Nos. RM2016–12 and RM2021–1, which updated the capacity-to-volume and cost-to-capacity variabilities, respectively. *Id.* at 3.

The Intra-P&DC and Intra-District account categories are made up of four transportation technologies and route types, including box, city, van, and tractor trailer. *Id.* Within each type, the costs are summed to form the account category's cost proportions. *Id.* The Postal Service individually estimates the cost-to-capacity volume variabilities for the Intra-District and the Intra-P&DC accounts as the cost-weighted averages of the variabilities of the four transportation/route types. *Id.*

The CDS costs in Intra-SCF accounts are distributed based on the Intra-SCF distribution factors estimated by the Transportation Cost System (TRACS) on a quarterly basis as a proxy because CDS routes are not sampled in TRACS. *Id.*

Proposal. The Postal Service proposes two revisions to analytical principles related to CDS costs based on its investigation into the OIG's recommendations.

First, the Postal Service proposes to update the Intra-P&DC and Intra-District cost proportions annually using Transportation Contract Support System (TCSS) data. More specifically, to update the cost proportions used for the GL accounts that it states comprise the majority of CDS costs, GL Account Nos. 53605 and 53601, using TCSS data. *Id.* at 4.

The Postal Service states that it assessed the feasibility of using CDS

¹Petition of the United States Postal Service for the Initiation of a Proceeding to Consider Proposed Changes in Analytical Principles (Proposal Five), July 29, 2022 (Petition). The Petition was accompanied by a report supporting its proposal. See Report on Contract Delivery Service Cost Attribution Accrued Cost and Distribution Key, July 29, 2022. The Postal Service also filed a notice of filing of public and non-public materials relating to Proposal Five. Notice of Filing of USPS–RM2022–11–1 and USPS–RM2022–11–NP1 and Application for Nonpublic Treatment, July 29, 2022.