

renewal alternative on land use; transportation; geology and soils; water resources; ecological resources; air quality; noise; historical and cultural resources; visual and scenic resources; socioeconomics; environmental justice, public and occupational health, and waste management. Additionally, the final EIS analyzes and compares the benefits and costs of the proposed action and the alternatives. In preparing this final EIS, the NRC staff also considered, evaluated, and addressed the public comments received on the draft EIS. Appendix D of the final EIS summarizes the public comments received and the NRC's responses.

After comparing the impacts of the proposed action to those of the No-Action alternative and the 20-year license renewal alternative, the NRC staff, in accordance with the requirements in 10 CFR part 51, recommends the proposed action. This recommendation is based on (i) review of the license renewal application request, which includes the environmental report, supplemental documents, and the licensee's responses to the NRC staff's requests for additional information; (ii) consultation with Federal, State, and Tribal agencies and input from other stakeholders; (iii) the NRC staff's independent review; and (iv) the NRC staff's assessments in the final EIS.

Dated: July 29, 2022.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Christopher M. Regan,

*Director, Division of Rulemaking,
Environmental and Financial Support, Office
of Nuclear Material Safety, and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 2022-16627 Filed 8-4-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-95398; File No. SR-CBOE-2022-040]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Rule 4.5

August 1, 2022.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the

“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on July 29, 2022, Cboe Exchange, Inc. (“Exchange” or “Cboe Options”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange filed the proposal as a “non-controversial” proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.⁴ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “Cboe Options”) proposes to amend Rule 4.5. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange's website (<http://www.cboe.com/AboutCBOE/CBOELegalRegulatoryHome.aspx>), at the Exchange's Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The proposed rule change amends Rule 4.5(d). Specifically, the Exchange

proposes to amend Rule 4.5(d)(6) to account for conflicts between different provisions within the Short Term Option Series Rules and make other clarifying changes.

In 2021, the Exchange amended Rule 4.5 to limit the intervals between strikes in equity options listed as part of the Short Term Option Series Program, excluding Exchange-Traded Fund Shares and ETNs, that have an expiration date more than twenty-one days from the listing date (“Strike Interval Proposal”).⁵ The Strike Interval Proposal adopted new subparagraph (d)(6), which included a table that intended to specify the applicable strike intervals that would supersede subparagraph (d)(5)⁶ for Short Term Option Series in equity options, excluding options on exchange-traded fund shares and on exchange-traded notes, which have an expiration more than 21 days from the listing date. The Strike Interval Proposal was designed to reduce the density of strike intervals that would be listed in later weeks, within the Short Term Option Series Program, by utilizing limitations for intervals between strikes that have an expiration date more than 21 days from the listing date.

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 4.5(d)(6) to clarify the current rule text and amend the application of the table to account for potential conflicts within the Short Term Option Series Rules. Currently, Rule 4.5(d)(6) provides that notwithstanding subparagraph (d)(5), when Short Term Option Series in equity options (excluding options on ETFs and ETNs) have an expiration more than 21 days from the listing date, the strike interval for each option class will be based on the following table:

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 91456 (April 1, 2021), 86 FR 18090 (April 7, 2021) (SR-CBOE-2021-019).

⁶ Rule 4.5(d)(5) states the interval between strike prices on Short Term Option Series may be: (a) \$0.50 or greater where the strike is less than \$100 and \$1 or greater where the strike price is between \$100 and \$150 for all classes that participate in the Short Term Option Series Program; (b) \$0.50 or greater for classes that trade in one dollar increments in non-Short Term Options and that participate in the Short Term Option Series Program; or (c) \$2.50 or greater where the strike price is above \$150. A non-Short Term Option that is on a class that has been selected to participate in the Short Term Option Series Program is referred to as a “Related non-Short Term Option.”

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

Tier	Average daily volume	Share price ⁷				
		Less than \$25	\$25 to less than \$75	\$75 to less than \$150	\$150 to less than \$500	\$500 or greater
1	Greater than 5,000	\$0.50	\$1.00	\$1.00	\$5.00	\$5.00
2	Greater than 1,000 to 5,000	1.00	1.00	1.00	5.00	10.00
3	0 to 1,000	2.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	10.00

First, the Exchange proposes to add the phrase “which specifies the applicable interval for listing” to the end of the first sentence of subparagraph (d)(6). The table within that subparagraph provides for the listing of intervals based on certain parameters (average daily volume and share price). The Exchange proposes to add the phrase “which specifies the applicable interval for listing” to clarify that the only permitted intervals are as specified in the table within subparagraph (d)(6), as proposed to be amended.

Second, the Exchange proposes to amend the table in subparagraph (d)(6) to address situations in which there is a conflict between applying the intervals in subparagraph (d)(5) and the table in subparagraph (d)(6). Today, there are instances where a conflict is presented as between the application of the table within subparagraph (d)(6) and the rule text within subparagraph (d)(5) with respect to the correct interval. To address these potential conflicts, the Exchange proposes that to the extent there is a conflict between applying the

current table within subparagraph (d)(6) and the rule text within subparagraph (d)(5), the greater interval would apply. To reflect this within the Rules, the Exchange proposes to amend the table in subparagraph (d)(6) to specify what the greater interval would be, and thus the interval the Exchange would apply, in the event of any possible conflict between the two rule provisions. Specifically, the proposed rule change amends the table as follows:

Tier	Average daily volume	Share price				
		Less than \$25	\$25 to less than \$75	\$75 to less than \$150	\$150 to less than \$500	\$500 or greater
1	Greater than 5,000.	\$0.50 for strikes less than \$100 in Short Term Option Series Program classes and classes that trade in \$1 increments in non-Short Term Options. \$1.00 for strikes between \$100 and \$150 for classes that do not otherwise trade in \$1.00 increments in non-Short Term Options. \$2.50 for strikes greater than \$150	\$1.00 for strikes less than \$150 \$2.50 for strikes greater than \$150	\$1.00 for strikes less than \$150 \$2.50 for strikes greater than \$150	\$5.00	\$5.00
2	Greater than 1,000 to 5,000.	\$1.00 for strikes less than \$150	\$1.00 for strikes less than \$150 \$2.50 for strikes greater than \$150	\$1.00 for strikes less than \$150 \$2.50 for strikes greater than \$150	\$5.00	\$10.00
3	0 to 1,000	\$2.50	\$5.00	\$5.00	\$5.00	\$10.00

Below are some examples to demonstrate the application of the proposed table:

Example 1: Assume a Tier 1 stock that closed on the last day of Q1 with a quarterly share price higher than \$75 but less than \$150. Therefore, utilizing the current table within subparagraph (d)(6), the interval would be \$1.00 for strikes added during Q2 even for strikes above \$150. However, subparagraph (d)(5) provides that the Exchange may list a Short Term Option Series at \$2.50 intervals where the strike price is above \$150. In other words, there is a potential conflict between the permitted strike intervals above \$150 during Q2. In this

example, current subparagraph (d)(6) would specify a \$1.00 interval whereas current subparagraph (d)(5) would specify a \$2.50 interval. Consistent with selecting the greater interval (from current subparagraph (d)(5)), the permissible strike interval in this scenario would be \$2.50 as set forth in the proposed table. Therefore, during Q2, the following strikes would be eligible to list: \$152.50 and \$157.50. For strikes less than \$150, the following strikes would be eligible to list during Q2: \$149 and \$148 because Short Term Option Series with expiration dates more than 21 days from the listing date as well as Short Term Option Series

with expiration dates less than 21 days from the listing date would both be eligible to list \$1 intervals pursuant to both subparagraphs (d)(5) and (d)(6).

Example 2: Assume a Tier 2 stock that closed on the last day of Q1 with a quarterly share price less than \$25. Therefore, utilizing the current table within subparagraph (d)(6), the interval would be \$1.00 for strikes added during Q2 even for strikes above \$25. However, subparagraph (d)(5) provides that the Exchange may list a Short Term Option Series at \$0.50 intervals where the strike is less than \$100, at \$1.00 intervals where the strike price is between \$100 and \$150, and at \$2.50 intervals where

⁷ Share Price is the closing price on the primary market on the last day of the calendar quarter. In the event of a corporate action, the Share Price of the surviving company is utilized. The Average Daily Volume is the total number of option contracts traded in a given security for the

applicable calendar quarter divided by the number of trading days in the applicable calendar quarter. Beginning on the second trading day in the first month of each calendar quarter, the Average Daily Volume is calculated by utilizing data from the prior calendar quarter based on Customer-cleared

volume at OCC. For options listed on the first trading day of a given calendar quarter, the Average Daily Volume is calculated using the quarter prior to the last trading calendar quarter. See Rule 4.5(d)(6)(A) and (B).

the strike price is above \$150. In other words, there is a potential conflict between the permitted strike intervals below \$100 and above \$150 during Q2. In this example, current subparagraph (d)(6) would specify a \$1.00 interval for strikes below \$100 whereas current subparagraph (d)(5) would specify a \$0.50 interval. Consistent with selecting the greater interval (from current subparagraph (d)(6)), the permissible strike interval in this scenario for strikes below \$100 would be \$1.00 as set forth in the proposed table. For strikes between \$100 and \$150, there is no conflict, as both provisions would provide \$1.00 intervals for those strikes. For strikes above \$150, current subparagraph (d)(6) would specify a \$1.00 interval for strikes above \$150 whereas current subparagraph (d)(5) would specify a \$2.50 interval. Consistent with selecting the greater interval (from current subparagraph (d)(5)), the permissible strike interval in this scenario for strikes above \$150 would be \$2.50 as set forth in the proposed table.

Example 3: Assume a Tier 3 stock that closed on the last day of Q1 with a quarterly share price less than \$25. Therefore, utilizing the current table within subparagraph (d)(6), the interval would be \$2.50 for all strikes added during Q2. However, subparagraph (d)(5) provides that the Exchange may list a Short Term Option Series at \$0.50 intervals where the strike price is less than \$100, \$1.00 intervals where the strike price is between \$100 and \$150, and \$2.50 intervals where the strike price is above \$150. In other words, there is a potential conflict between the permitted strike intervals below \$150 during Q2 (there is no conflict for strikes above \$150, as both provisions provide for a \$2.50 strike interval). Consistent with selecting the greater interval (from current subparagraph (d)(6)), the permissible strike interval in this scenario for strikes below \$150 would be \$2.50 as set forth in the proposed table.⁸

Third, the Exchange proposes to delete the last sentence of the introductory paragraph of subparagraph (d)(6), which states “[t]he below table indicates the applicable strike intervals and supersedes subparagraph (d)(4) above, which permits additional series to be opened for trading on the Exchange when the Exchange deems it necessary to maintain an orderly

market, to meet customer demand or when the market price of the underlying security moves substantially from the exercise price or prices of the series already opened.” The table within subparagraph (d)(6) supersedes other rules pertaining to strike intervals, but the table does not supersede rules governing the addition of options series. Therefore, the table within subparagraph (d)(6) and the rule text of subparagraph (d)(4) do not conflict with each other. Deleting the reference to subparagraph (d)(4) will avoid potential confusion.

Fourth, the Exchange proposes to delete subparagraph (d)(6)(D), which states “[n]otwithstanding the limitations imposed by this subparagraph (d)(6), this subparagraph (d)(6) does not amend the range of strikes for Short Term Option Series may be listed pursuant to subparagraph (d)(5) above.” While the range limitations continue to be applicable within subparagraph (d)(6), the strike ranges do not conflict with the strike intervals and therefore the sentence is not necessary. Removing this provision will avoid potential confusion.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.⁹ Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)¹⁰ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)¹¹ requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. The Exchange believes the Strike Interval Proposal continues to limit the intervals between strikes listed in the Short Term Option Series Program that have an

expiration date more than twenty-one days.

In particular, the Exchange’s proposed addition to the first sentence of Rule 4.5(d)(6) is consistent with the Act because it clarifies that the only permitted intervals are as specified in the table within that subparagraph, as amended. The Exchange believes this proposed rule change will bring greater transparency to the rule. The proposed rule change to amend the table within Rule 4.5(d)(6) to address potential conflicts between that subparagraph and subparagraph (d)(5) with respect to the correct strike interval is consistent with the Act because it protects investors and the public interest by adding transparency to the manner in which the Exchange implements its listing rules and removes potential uncertainty. The proposed rule text specifies the applicable intervals when there is a conflict between the rule text within subparagraphs (d)(5) and (d)(6), thereby providing certainty as to the outcome. The table within subparagraph (d)(6) impacts strike intervals and supersedes other strike interval rules but does not supersede the addition of option series. Therefore, subparagraph (d)(4) regarding the addition of option series does not conflict with the table in subparagraph (d)(6). Deleting the last sentence of the introductory paragraph of Rule 4.5(d)(6) that includes the reference to subparagraph (d)(4) is therefore consistent with the Act. Similarly, deleting Rule 4.5(d)(6)(D) is consistent with the Act because while the range limitations continue to be applicable, the strike ranges do not conflict with strike intervals, rendering the sentence unnecessary. Deletion of this provision will avoid potential confusion.

The Strike Interval Proposal was designed to reduce the density of strike intervals that would be listed in later weeks, within the Short Term Option Series Program, by utilizing limitations for intervals between strikes which have an expiration date more than twenty-one days from the listing date. The Exchange’s proposal intends to continue to remove certain strike intervals where there exist clusters of strikes whose characteristics closely resemble one another and, therefore, do not serve different trading needs,¹² rendering these strikes less useful. Also, the Strike Interval Proposal continues to reduce the number of strikes listed on the Exchange, allowing Market-Makers to expend their capital in the options market in a more efficient manner,

⁸ The Exchange made similar corresponding changes to the table for tier 1 and tier 2 stocks with prices \$25 to less than \$75 and \$75 to less than \$150, with all potential conflicts between current subparagraphs (d)(5) and (d)(6) resolved to apply the greater interval.

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² For example, two strikes that are densely clustered may have the same risk properties and may also be the same percentage out-of-the-money.

thereby improving overall market quality on the Exchange.

Additionally, by applying the greater interval would control as between the rule text within current Rule 4.5(d)(5) and (d)(6), the Exchange is reducing the number of strikes listed in a manner consistent with the intent of the Strike Interval Proposal, which was to reduce strikes which were farther out in time. The result of this clarification is to select wider strike intervals for Short Term Option Series in equity options which have an expiration date more than twenty-one days from the listing date. This rule change would harmonize strike intervals as between inner weeklies (those having less than twenty-one days from the listing date) and outer weeklies (those having more than twenty-one days from the listing date) so that strike intervals are not widening as the listing date approaches.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Strike Interval Proposal continues to limit the number of Short Term Option Series Program strike intervals available for quoting and trading on the Exchange for all Trading Permit Holders.

The Exchange believes adding clarifying language to the first sentence of Rule 4.5(d)(6) regarding which parameter the table within that provision amends within the Short Term Option Series Program will bring greater transparency to the rules. Amending the table within subparagraph (d)(6) to address potential conflicts as between the rule text of Rule 4.5(d)(5) and (d)(6) will bring greater transparency to and reduce potential confusion regarding the manner in which the Exchange implements its listing rules. Deleting the last sentence of the first paragraph of the introductory paragraph of Rule 4.5(d)(6) that references subparagraph (d)(4) does not impose an undue burden on competition and will avoid potential confusion because the table within Rule 4.5(d)(6) impacts strike intervals and supersedes other rules pertaining to strike intervals, but the table does not supersede rules governing the addition of options series, such as Rule 4.5(d)(4). Deleting Rule 4.5(d)(6)(D) will also avoid any potential confusion because, while the range limitations continue to be applicable, the strike ranges do not conflict with strike intervals and are not necessary.

While this proposal continues to limit the intervals of strikes listed on the Exchange, the Exchange continues to balance the needs of market participants by continuing to offer a number of strikes to meet a market participant's investment objective. The Exchange's Strike Interval Proposal does not impose an undue burden on intermarket competition as this Strike Interval Proposal does not impact the listings available at another self-regulatory organization.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days after the date of the filing, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)¹⁴ thereunder.

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b-4(f)(6)¹⁵ normally does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of the filing. However, pursuant to Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii),¹⁶ the Commission may designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has requested that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay so that the Exchange may implement the proposed rule change on August 1, 2022—the same time other exchanges are implementing the same change.¹⁷ The Exchange states

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

¹⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹⁶ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

¹⁷ The Commission recently approved a substantially similar proposal. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 95085 (June 10, 2022), 87 FR 36353 (June 16, 2022) (SR-ISE-2022-10) (Order Approving a Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1, to Amend ISE Options 4, Section 5, Series of Options Contracts Open for Trading).

that implementing the proposal simultaneously with other option exchanges will promote the protection of investors by harmonizing the strike listing methodology across exchanges. For these reasons, the Commission believes that waiver of the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Accordingly, the Commission hereby waives the operative delay.¹⁸

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-CBOE-2022-040 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2022-040. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the

¹⁸ For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission also has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2022-040, and should be submitted on or before August 26, 2022.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁹

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022-16763 Filed 8-4-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[SEC File No. 270-217, OMB Control No. 3235-0241]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request: Extension: Rule 206(4)-2

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-2736

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") a request for extension and revision of the previously approved collection of information discussed below.

The title for the collection of information is "Rule 206(4)-2 under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940—Custody of Funds or Securities of Clients by Investment Advisers." Rule 206(4)-2 (17 CFR 275.206(4)-2) under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80b-1 *et seq.*) governs the custody of funds or securities of clients

by Commission-registered investment advisers. Rule 206(4)-2 requires each registered investment adviser that has custody of client funds or securities to maintain those client funds or securities with a broker-dealer, bank or other "qualified custodian."¹ The rule requires the adviser to promptly notify clients as to the place and manner of custody, after opening an account for the client and following any changes.² If an adviser sends account statements to its clients, it must insert a legend in the notice and in subsequent account statements sent to those clients urging them to compare the account statements from the custodian with those from the adviser.³ The adviser also must have a reasonable basis, after due inquiry, for believing that the qualified custodian maintaining client funds and securities sends account statements directly to the advisory clients at least quarterly, identifying the amount of funds and of each security in the account at the end of the period and setting forth all transactions in the account during that period.⁴ The client funds and securities of which an adviser has custody must undergo an annual surprise examination by an independent public accountant to verify client assets pursuant to a written agreement with the accountant that specifies certain duties.⁵ Unless client assets are maintained by an independent custodian (*i.e.*, a custodian that is not the adviser itself or a related person), the adviser also is required to obtain or receive a written report of the internal controls relating to the custody of those assets from an independent public accountant that is registered with and subject to regular inspection by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB").⁶

The rule exempts advisers from the rule with respect to clients that are registered investment companies. Advisers to limited partnerships, limited liability companies and other pooled investment vehicles are excepted from the account statement delivery and deemed to comply with the annual surprise examination requirement if the limited partnerships, limited liability companies or pooled investment vehicles are subject to annual audit by an independent public accountant registered with, and subject to regular inspection by the PCAOB, and the audited financial statements are

distributed to investors in the pools.⁷ The rule also provides an exception to the surprise examination requirement for advisers that have custody solely because they have authority to deduct advisory fees from client accounts,⁸ and advisers that have custody solely because a related person holds the adviser's client assets (or has any authority to obtain possession of them) and the related person is operationally independent of the adviser.⁹

Advisory clients use this information to confirm proper handling of their accounts. The Commission's staff uses the information obtained through these collections in its enforcement, regulatory and examination programs. Without the information collected under the rule, the Commission would be less efficient and effective in its programs and clients would not have information valuable for monitoring an adviser's handling of their accounts.

The respondents to this information collection are investment advisers registered with the Commission and have custody of clients' funds or securities. We estimate that 8,057 advisers would be subject to the information collection burden under rule 206(4)-2. The number of responses under rule 206(4)-2 will vary considerably depending on the number of clients for which an adviser has custody of funds or securities, and the number of investors in pooled investment vehicles that the adviser manages. It is estimated that the average number of responses annually for each respondent would be 6,830, and an average time of 0.00524 hour per response. The annual aggregate burden for all respondents to the requirements of rule 206(4)-2 is estimated to be 288,202 hours.

This collection of information is found at 17 CFR 275.206(4)-2 and is mandatory. Responses to the collection of information are not kept confidential. Commission-registered investment advisers are required to maintain and preserve certain information required under rule 206(4)-2 for five years. The long-term retention of these records is necessary for the Commission's examination program to ascertain compliance with the Investment Advisers Act.

The estimated average burden hours are made solely for the purposes of Paperwork Reduction Act and are not derived from a comprehensive or even representative survey or study of the cost of Commission rules and forms. An

¹ Rule 206(4)-2(a)(1).

² Rule 206(4)-2(a)(2).

³ Rule 206(4)-2(a)(2).

⁴ Rule 206(4)-2(a)(3).

⁵ Rule 206(4)-2(a)(4).

⁶ Rule 206(4)-2(a)(6).

⁷ Rule 206(4)-2(b)(4).

⁸ Rule 206(4)-2(b)(3).

⁹ Rule 206(4)-2(b)(6).

¹⁹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12), (59).