effectuate this rule, under 38 CFR 3.317(a)(1)(i), VA replaced the phrase "not later than December 31, 2021" with "not later than December 31, 2026."

Under the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B) and (d)(3) the Secretary of Veterans Affairs found that there was good cause to publish this rule without prior opportunity for public comment. Had VA not extended the sunset date for the regulation, its authority to provide benefits in new claims for qualifying chronic disability in Gulf War veterans would have lapsed on December 31, 2021. A lapse of such authority would have been contrary to the public interest because it would have had a significant adverse impact on veterans disabled due to such disabilities. To avoid such impact, VA issued this rule as an interim final rule. However, VA invited interested persons to submit written comments on or before October 14, 2021, and received seven comments in response to the interim final rule. These comments are discussed below.

#### **General Comments**

Three commenters referenced their poor health concerns or the poor health concerns of a family member. While VA sympathizes with anyone suffering from a debilitating disability and/or disease, the scope of this rule only addresses the deadline for the manifestation of presumptive conditions. VA makes no changes based on these comments.

One commenter suggested the regulation should contain VA's definition of Southwest Asia. This rule merely extends the presumption period in 38 CFR 3.317, and that section already contains VA's definition of the Southwest Asia theater of operations (in 38 CFR 3.317(e)(2)). VA makes no changes based on this comment.

One commenter suggested that since no end date for the Persian Gulf War has been established by Congress, any deadline is premature. However, this rule does not impose a deadline; it extends the presumptive period during which disabilities associated with undiagnosed illnesses and medically unexplained chronic multi-symptom illnesses must become manifest in order for a veteran to be eligible for compensation based on the presumption. VA makes no changes based on this comment.

VA received two non-substantive comments. VA makes no changes based on these comments.

As VA makes no changes based on the comments received, this document adopts as a final rule the interim final rule published in the **Federal Register** on September 14, 2021.

#### Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, when regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity). Executive Order 13563 (Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review) emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, reducing costs, harmonizing rules, and promoting flexibility. The Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has determined that this rule is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866. The Regulatory Impact Analysis associated with this rulemaking can be found as a supporting document at www.regulations.gov.

## **Regulatory Flexibility Act**

The Secretary hereby certifies that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612). There are no small entities involved with the process and/or benefits associated with the rulemaking. Therefore, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), the initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis requirements of 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604 do not apply.

#### **Unfunded Mandates**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 requires, at 2 U.S.C. 1532, that agencies prepare an assessment of anticipated costs and benefits before issuing any rule that may result in the expenditure by state, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more (adjusted annually for inflation) in any one year. This final rule will have no such effect on state, local, and tribal governments, or on the private sector.

# **Paperwork Reduction Act**

This final rule contains no provisions constituting a collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3521).

#### Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assitance numbers and titles for this rule are: 64.104, Pension for Non-Service-Connected Disability for Veterans; 64.109, Veterans Compensation for Service-Connected Disability.

#### **Congressional Review Act**

Pursuant to the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*), the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs designated this rule as not a major rule, as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

#### List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 3

Administrative practice and procedure, Claims, Disability benefits, Pensions, Veterans.

#### **Signing Authority**

Denis McDonough, Secretary of Veterans Affairs, approved this document on January 19, 2022, and authorized the undersigned to sign and submit the document to the Office of the Federal Register for publication electronically as an official document of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

#### Luvenia Potts,

Regulation Development Coordinator, Office of Regulation Policy & Management, Office of General Counsel, Department of Veterans Affairs.

■ For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Department of Veterans Affairs adopts the interim rule published September 14, 2021, at 86 FR 51000, as final without change.

[FR Doc. 2022–02176 Filed 2–2–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320-01-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 180

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2021-0680; FRL-9399-01-OCSPP]

Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl)-α-hydro-ωhydroxy-, Polymer With Poly(isocyanatoalkyl) Benzene, Alkylol-Blocked; Tolerance Exemption

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This regulation establishes an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of Poly(oxy-1,2ethanediyl)-α-hydro-ω-hydroxypolymer with poly(isocyanatoalkyl) benzene, alkylol-blocked when used as an inert ingredient in a pesticide chemical formulation. BYK USA Inc. submitted a petition to EPA under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), requesting an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance. This regulation eliminates the need to establish a maximum permissible level for residues of Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl)α-hydro-ω-hydroxy-, polymer with

poly(isocyanatoalkyl) benzene, alkylolblocked on food or feed commodities.

**DATES:** This regulation is effective February 3, 2022. Objections and requests for hearings must be received on or before April 4, 2022, and must be filed in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178 (see also Unit I.C. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**).

**ADDRESSES:** The docket for this action. identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2021-0680, is available at https://www.regulations.gov or at the Office of Pesticide Programs Regulatory Public Docket (OPP Docket) in the Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the OPP Docket is (703) 305-5805.

Due to the public health concerns related to COVID-19, the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC) and Reading Room is closed to visitors with limited exceptions. The staff continues to provide remote customer service via email, phone, and webform. For the latest status information on EPA/DC services and docket access, visit https://www.epa.gov/dockets.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marietta Echeverria, Registration Division (7505P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001; main telephone number: (703) 305–7090; email address: RDFRNotices@epa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. The following list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers determine whether this document applies to them. Potentially affected entities may include:

- Crop production (NAICS code 111).
- Animal production (NAICS code 112).
- Food manufacturing (NAICS code 311).
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS code 32532).

B. How can I get electronic access to other related information?

You may access a frequently updated electronic version of 40 CFR part 180 through the Office of the Federal Register's e-CFR site at https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-40.

C. Can I file an objection or hearing request?

Under FFDCA section 408(g), 21 U.S.C. 346a, any person may file an objection to any aspect of this regulation and may also request a hearing on those objections. You must file your objection or request a hearing on this regulation in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, you must identify docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2021-0680 in the subject line on the first page of your submission. All objections and requests for a hearing must be in writing and must be received by the Hearing Clerk on or before April 4, 2022. Addresses for mail and hand delivery of objections and hearing requests are provided in 40 CFR 178.25(b).

In addition to filing an objection or hearing request with the Hearing Clerk as described in 40 CFR part 178, please submit a copy of the filing (excluding any Confidential Business Information (CBI)) for inclusion in the public docket. Information not marked confidential pursuant to 40 CFR part 2 may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice. Submit the non-CBI copy of your objection or hearing request, identified by docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2021-0680, by one of the following methods.

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: https://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.
- *Mail*: OPP Docket, Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), (28221T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001.
- Hand Delivery: To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at https://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html.

Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at https://www.epa.gov/dockets.

# II. Background and Statutory Findings

In the **Federal Register** of October 21, 2021 (86 FR 58239) (FRL–8792–04–

OCSPP), EPA issued a document pursuant to FFDCA section 408, 21 U.S.C. 346a, announcing the receipt of a pesticide petition (PP IN-11586) filed by BYK USA Inc., 524 South Cherry St., Wallingford, CT 06492. The petition requested that 40 CFR 180.960 be amended by establishing an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl)-αhydro- $\omega$ -hydroxy-, polymer with poly(isocyanatoalkyl) benzene, alkylolblocked (No CAS Reg. No Associated). That document included a summary of the petition prepared by the petitioner and solicited comments on the petitioner's request. The Agency did not receive any comments.

Section 408(c)(2)(A)(i) of FFDCA allows EPA to establish an exemption from the requirement for a tolerance (the legal limit for a pesticide chemical residue in or on a food) only if EPA determines that the exemption is "safe." Section 408(c)(2)(A)(ii) of FFDCA defines "safe" to mean that "there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue, including all anticipated dietary exposures and all other exposures for which there is reliable information." This includes exposure through drinking water and use in residential settings but does not include occupational exposure. Section 408(b)(2)(C) of FFDCA requires EPA to give special consideration to exposure of infants and children to the pesticide chemical residue in establishing an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance and to "ensure that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to infants and children from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue . . ." and specifies factors EPA is to consider in establishing an exemption.

# III. Risk Assessment and Statutory Findings

EPA establishes exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance only in those cases where it can be shown that the risks from aggregate exposure to pesticide chemical residues under reasonably foreseeable circumstances will pose no appreciable risks to human health. In order to determine the risks from aggregate exposure to pesticide inert ingredients, the Agency considers the toxicity of the inert in conjunction with possible exposure to residues of the inert ingredient through food, drinking water, and through other exposures that occur as a result of pesticide use in residential settings. If EPA is able to determine that a finite tolerance is not necessary to ensure that there is a reasonable certainty that no

harm will result from aggregate exposure to the inert ingredient, an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance may be established.

Consistent with FFDCA section 408(b)(2)(D), EPA has reviewed the available scientific data and other relevant information in support of this action and considered its validity, completeness and reliability and the relationship of this information to human risk. EPA has also considered available information concerning the variability of the sensitivities of major identifiable subgroups of consumers, including infants and children. In the case of certain chemical substances that are defined as polymers, the Agency has established a set of criteria to identify categories of polymers expected to present minimal or no risk. The definition of a polymer is given in 40 CFR 723.250(b) and the exclusion criteria for identifying these low-risk polymers are described in 40 CFR 723.250(d). Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl)- $\alpha$ hydro-ω-hydroxy-, polymer with poly(isocyanatoalkyl) benzene, alkylolblocked conforms to the definition of a polymer given in 40 CFR 723.250(b) and meets the following criteria that are used to identify low-risk polymers.

1. The polymer is not a cationic polymer nor is it reasonably anticipated to become a cationic polymer in a natural aquatic environment.

2. The polymer does contain as an integral part of its composition at least two of the atomic elements carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, oxygen, silicon, and

3. The polymer does not contain as an integral part of its composition, except as impurities, any element other than those listed in 40 CFR 723.250(d)(2)(ii).

4. The polymer is neither designed nor can it be reasonably anticipated to substantially degrade, decompose, or

depolymerize.

5. The polymer is manufactured or imported from monomers and/or reactants that are already included on the TSCA Chemical Substance Inventory or manufactured under an applicable TSCA section 5 exemption.

6. The polymer is not a water absorbing polymer with a number average molecular weight (MW) greater than or equal to 10,000 daltons.

7. The polymer does not contain certain perfluoroalkyl moieties consisting of a CF3- or longer chain length as listed in 40 CFR 723.250(d)(6).

Additionally, the polymer also meets as required the following exemption criteria specified in 40 CFR 723.250(e).

8. The polymer's number average MW of 18,721 Daltons is greater than or equal to 10,000 daltons. However, the

polymer contains less than 2% oligomeric material below MW 500 (0%) and less than 5% oligomeric material below MW 1,000 (1.1%).

Thus, Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl)- $\alpha$ hydro-ω-hydroxy-, polymer with poly(isocyanatoalkyl) benzene, alkylolblocked meets the criteria for a polymer to be considered low risk under 40 CFR 723.250. Based on its conformance to the criteria in this unit, no mammalian toxicity is anticipated from dietary, inhalation, or dermal exposure to Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl)-a-hydro-mhydroxy-, polymer with poly(isocyanatoalkyl) benzene, alkylolblocked.

#### IV. Aggregate Exposures

For the purposes of assessing potential exposure under this exemption, EPA considered that Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl)-α-hydro-ωhydroxy-, polymer with poly(isocyanatoalkyl) benzene, alkylolblocked could be present in all raw and processed agricultural commodities and drinking water, and that nonoccupational non-dietary exposure was possible. The number average MW of Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl)-α-hydro-ωhydroxy-, polymer with poly(isocyanatoalkyl) benzene, alkylolblocked is 18,721 daltons. Generally, a polymer of this size would be poorly absorbed through the intact gastrointestinal tract or through intact human skin. Since Poly(oxv-1,2ethanediyl)-α-hydro-ω-hydroxypolymer with poly(isocyanatoalkyl) benzene, alkylol-blocked conforms to the criteria that identify a low-risk polymer, there are no concerns for risks associated with any potential exposure scenarios that are reasonably foreseeable. The Agency has determined that a tolerance is not necessary to protect the public health.

#### V. Cumulative Effects From Substances With a Common Mechanism of Toxicity

Section 408(b)(2)(D)(v) of FFDCA requires that, when considering whether to establish, modify, or revoke a tolerance, the Agency consider "available information" concerning the cumulative effects of a particular pesticide's residues and "other substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity." EPA has not found Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl)-αhydro-ω-hydroxy-, polymer with poly(isocyanatoalkyl) benzene, alkylolblocked to share a common mechanism of toxicity with any other substances, and Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl)-α-hydroω-hydroxy-, polymer with poly(isocyanatoalkyl) benzene, alkylolblocked does not appear to produce a

toxic metabolite produced by other substances. For the purposes of this tolerance action, therefore, EPA has assumed Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl)-αhydro-ω-hydroxy-, polymer with poly(isocyanatoalkyl) benzene, alkylolblocked does not have a common mechanism of toxicity with other substances. For information regarding EPA's efforts to determine which chemicals have a common mechanism of toxicity and to evaluate the cumulative effects of such chemicals, see EPA's website at https:// www.epa.gov/pesticides/cumulative.

#### VI. Determination of Safety

Based on the conformance to the criteria used to identify a low-risk polymer, EPA concludes that there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to the U.S. population, including infants and children, from aggregate exposure to residues of Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl)-αhydro-ω-hydroxy-, polymer with poly(isocyanatoalkyl) benzene, alkylolblocked.

#### VII. Other Considerations

Analytical Enforcement Methodology

An analytical method is not required for enforcement purposes since the Agency is establishing an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance without any numerical limitation.

## VIII. Conclusion

Accordingly, EPA finds that exempting residues of Poly(oxy-1,2ethanediyl)-α-hydro-ω-hydroxy-, polymer with poly(isocyanatoalkyl) benzene, alkylol-blocked from the requirement of a tolerance will be safe.

#### IX. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

This action establishes a tolerance under FFDCA section 408(d) in response to a petition submitted to the Agency. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted these types of actions from review under Executive Order 12866, entitled "Regulatory Planning and Review" (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993). Because this action has been exempted from review under Executive Order 12866, this action is not subject to Executive Order 13211, entitled "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001) or Executive Order 13045, entitled "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997). This action does not contain any information collections subject to OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44

U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), nor does it require any special considerations under Executive Order 12898, entitled "Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations" (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

Since tolerances and exemptions that are established on the basis of a petition under FFDCA section 408(d), such as the tolerance in this final rule, do not require the issuance of a proposed rule, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), do not apply.

This action directly regulates growers, food processors, food handlers, and food retailers, not States or Tribes, nor does this action alter the relationships or distribution of power and responsibilities established by Congress in the preemption provisions of FFDCA section 408(n)(4). As such, the Agency has determined that this action will not have a substantial direct effect on States or Tribal Governments, on the relationship between the National Government and the States or Tribal Governments, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government or between the Federal Government and Indian

Tribes. Thus, the Agency has determined that Executive Order 13132, entitled "Federalism" (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999) and Executive Order 13175, entitled "Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments" (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000) do not apply to this action. In addition, this action does not impose any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described under Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) (2 U.S.C. 1501 et seq.).

This action does not involve any technical standards that would require Agency consideration of voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note).

#### X. Congressional Review Act

Pursuant to the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 et seq.), EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

## List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: January 20, 2022.

#### Marietta Echeverria,

 $\label{lem:condition} Acting \ Director, \ Registration \ Division, \ Office \\ of \ Pesticide \ Programs.$ 

Therefore, for the reasons stated in the preamble, EPA is amending 40 CFR chapter I as follows:

# PART 180—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICAL RESIDUES IN FOOD

■ 1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 321(q), 346a and 371.

■ 2. In § 180.960, amend table 1 by adding, in alphabetical order, the polymer "Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl)-α-hydro-ω-hydroxy-, polymer with poly(isocyanatoalkyl) benzene, alkyloblocked, number average molecular weight (Mn), 18,721" to read as follows:

§ 180.960 Polymers; exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance.

Polymer CAS No.

Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl)-α-hydroxy-, polymer with poly(isocyanatoalkyl) benzene, alkylol-blocked, (No CAS Reg. No. Associated). number average molecular weight (Mn), 18,721.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2022–02100 Filed 2–2–22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

# 41 CFR Parts 102-35 and 102-37

[FMR Case 2018–102–6; Docket No. GSA– FMR–2019–0007, Sequence No. 2]

RIN 3090-AJ98

Federal Management Regulation (FMR); Personal Property; Multiple Repeal or Replace Regulatory Actions; Multiple FMR Parts

**AGENCY:** Office of Government-wide Policy (OGP), General Services Administration (GSA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** GSA is issuing a final rule to modify provisions in the Federal

Management Regulation (FMR) to improve readability and ease of use by reorganizing certain FMR parts to reflect the asset management life-cycle and by updating the definition of a 'museum'.

DATES: Effective: March 7, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. William Garrett, Program Director, Office of Government-wide Policy, at 202–368–8163, for clarification of content. For information pertaining to status or publication schedules, contact the Regulatory Secretariat at 202–501–4755. Please cite FMR Case 2018–102–6

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

This final rule amends the FMR to improve readability and ease of use. Specifically, it reorganizes certain FMR parts to reflect the asset management life-cycle and updates the definition of a 'museum'.

GSA sought public comments on improving FMR regulations through a **Federal Register** document (MA–2017–03) published on May 30, 2017, at 82 FR 24651. Concurrently, GSA sought comments and recommendations from agencies, GSA subject matter experts, and other stakeholders and customers.

The two substantive/germane comments and recommendations elicited from the Federal Register document were reviewed by GSA and are addressed in this rule. Two other recommendations addressing (1) agency asset management systems and (2) use of voluntary consensus standards were not included in this rule as GSA does not have the legal authority to promulgate regulations addressing property in use by an agency before it is reported to GSA as excess personal property.

Provisions in this final rule make the FMR policies addressing personal