

### Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

The FAA is issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements. Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

### Regulatory Findings

The FAA determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

- (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866,
- (2) Would not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and
- (3) Would not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

#### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**Textron Aviation Inc. (Type Certificate previously held by Cessna Aircraft Company):** Docket No. FAA-2022-0014; Project Identifier AD-2021-00114-A.

##### (a) Comments Due Date

The FAA must receive comments on this airworthiness directive (AD) by March 14, 2022.

##### (b) Affected ADs

None.

##### (c) Applicability

This AD applies to Textron Aviation Inc. (Type Certificate previously held by Cessna Aircraft Company) Model 120 and 140 airplanes, serial numbers (S/Ns) 10070 through 15075, and Model 140A airplanes, all serial numbers, certificated in any category.

##### (d) Subject

Joint Aircraft System Component (JASC) Code 2510, Flight Compartment Equipment.

##### (e) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by reports of seat belt center bracket failures from overstress. The FAA is issuing this AD to prevent failure of the seat belt center brackets. The unsafe condition, if not addressed, could result in failure of the seat belt center bracket, which could lead to failure of the seat belt restraint system and injury to occupants.

##### (f) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

##### (g) Required Actions

(1) Within 12 months after the effective date of this AD, determine if the seatbelt center bracket located between the two seats is made of steel by placing a magnet on the center of the bracket. This action may be performed by the owner/operator (pilot) holding at least a private pilot certificate and must be entered into the aircraft records showing compliance with this AD in accordance with 14 CFR 43.9(a)(1) through (4) and 14 CFR 91.417(a)(2)(v). The record must be maintained as required by 14 CFR 91.417. This authority is not applicable to aircraft being operated under 14 CFR part 119.

(i) If the seat belt center bracket is made of steel, no additional action is required.

(ii) If the seat belt center bracket is not made of steel, within 12 months after the effective date of this AD, replace with a steel part number (P/N) 0425132 seat belt center bracket.

(2) As of the effective date of this AD, do not install a seat belt center bracket P/N 0425132 that is not made of steel on any airplane.

##### (h) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(1) The Manager, Wichita ACO Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs

for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the certification office, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (i) of this AD.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office.

##### (i) Related Information

For more information about this AD, contact Bobbie Kroetch, Aviation Safety Engineer, Wichita ACO Branch, FAA, 1801 Airport Road, Wichita, KS 67209; phone: (316) 946-4155; email: [bobbie.kroetch@faa.gov](mailto:bobbie.kroetch@faa.gov) or [Wichita-COS@faa.gov](mailto:Wichita-COS@faa.gov).

Issued on January 20, 2022.

#### Ross Landes,

*Deputy Director for Regulatory Operations, Compliance & Airworthiness Division, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2022-01541 Filed 1-26-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2022-0016; Project Identifier MCAI-2021-00945-T]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier, Inc., Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Bombardier, Inc., Model BD-100-1A10 airplanes. This proposed AD was prompted by a report that the nose wheel steering selector valve (SSV) can be slow to deactivate under low temperature conditions. This proposed AD would require replacing the affected nose wheel SSV with a redesigned nose wheel SSV, and performing an operational test of the nose wheel SSV and nose wheel steering control system. This proposed AD would also prohibit the installation of a certain nose wheel SSV. The FAA is proposing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

**DATES:** The FAA must receive comments on this proposed AD by March 14, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR

11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal*: Go to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Fax*: 202-493-2251.

- *Mail*: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.

- *Hand Delivery*: Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this NPRM, contact Bombardier Business Aircraft Customer Response Center, 400 Côte-Vertu Road West, Dorval, Québec H4S 1Y9, Canada; telephone 514-855-2999; email [ac.yul@aero.bombardier.com](mailto:ac.yul@aero.bombardier.com); internet <http://www.bombardier.com>. You may view this service information at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206-231-3195.

#### Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket at <https://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2022-0016; or in person at Docket Operations between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this NPRM, any comments received, and other information. The street address for Docket Operations is listed above.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Chirayu Gupta, Aerospace Engineer, Mechanical Systems and Administrative Services Section, FAA, New York ACO Branch, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, NY 11590; telephone 516-228-7300; fax 516-794-5531; email [9-avs-nyaco-cos@faa.gov](mailto:9-avs-nyaco-cos@faa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Comments Invited

The FAA invites you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposal. Send your comments to an address listed under **ADDRESSES**. Include “Docket No. FAA-2022-0016; Project Identifier MCAI-2021-00945-T” at the beginning of your comments. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the proposal, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. The FAA will consider all comments received by the closing

date and may amend the proposal because of those comments.

Except for Confidential Business Information (CBI) as described in the following paragraph, and other information as described in 14 CFR 11.35, the FAA will post all comments received, without change, to <https://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information you provide. The agency will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact received about this NPRM.

#### Confidential Business Information

CBI is commercial or financial information that is both customarily and actually treated as private by its owner. Under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. 552), CBI is exempt from public disclosure. If your comments responsive to this NPRM contain commercial or financial information that is customarily treated as private, that you actually treat as private, and that is relevant or responsive to this NPRM, it is important that you clearly designate the submitted comments as CBI. Please mark each page of your submission containing CBI as “PROPIN.” The FAA will treat such marked submissions as confidential under the FOIA, and they will not be placed in the public docket of this NPRM. Submissions containing CBI should be sent to Chirayu Gupta, Aerospace Engineer, Mechanical Systems and Administrative Services Section, FAA, New York ACO Branch, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, NY 11590; telephone 516-228-7300; fax 516-794-5531; email [9-avs-nyaco-cos@faa.gov](mailto:9-avs-nyaco-cos@faa.gov). Any commentary that the FAA receives which is not specifically designated as CBI will be placed in the public docket for this rulemaking.

#### Background

Transport Canada Civil Aviation (TCCA), which is the aviation authority for Canada, has issued TCCA AD CF-2021-29, dated August 18, 2021 (TCCA AD CF-2021-29) (also referred to after this as the Mandatory Continuing Airworthiness Information, or the MCAI), to correct an unsafe condition for certain Bombardier, Inc., Model BD-100-1A10 airplanes. You may examine the MCAI in the AD docket at <https://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2022-0016.

This proposed AD was prompted by a report that the nose wheel SSV can be

slow to deactivate under low temperature conditions. The FAA is proposing this AD to address a slow nose wheel SSV deactivation, which, in combination with an un-commanded steering input, could lead to a delayed transition to free castor mode and result in an aircraft runway excursion. See the MCAI for additional background information.

#### Related Service Information Under 1 CFR Part 51

Bombardier has issued Service Bulletin 100-32-35, dated March 30, 2021, and Service Bulletin 350-32-011, dated March 30, 2021. This service information describes procedures for replacing the existing nose wheel SSV (part number 41130-107) with a redesigned nose wheel SSV (part number 41130-111), and performing an operational test of the nose wheel SSV and nose wheel steering control system. These documents are distinct since they apply to different airplane configurations. This service information is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the **ADDRESSES** section.

#### FAA's Determination

This product has been approved by the aviation authority of another country, and is approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to the FAA's bilateral agreement with the State of Design Authority, the FAA has been notified of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI and service information referenced above. The FAA is proposing this AD because the FAA evaluated all the relevant information and determined the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop on other products of the same type design.

#### Proposed AD Requirements in This NPRM

This proposed AD would require accomplishing the actions specified in the service information already described.

#### Costs of Compliance

The FAA estimates that this AD, if adopted as proposed, would affect 660 airplanes of U.S. registry. The FAA estimates the following costs to comply with this proposed AD:

ESTIMATED COSTS FOR REQUIRED ACTIONS

Labor cost	Parts cost	Cost per product	Cost on U.S. operators
4 work-hours × \$85 per hour = \$340 .....	\$5,793	\$6,133	\$4,047,780

**Authority for This Rulemaking**

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

The FAA is issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements. Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

**Regulatory Findings**

The FAA determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

- (1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866,
- (2) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and
- (3) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39**

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

**The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

**PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

**§ 39.13 [Amended]**

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**Bombardier, Inc.:** Docket No. FAA–2022–0016; Project Identifier MCAI–2021–00945–T.

**(a) Comments Due Date**

The FAA must receive comments on this airworthiness directive (AD) by March 14, 2022.

**(b) Affected ADs**

None.

**(c) Applicability**

This AD applies to Bombardier, Inc., Model BD–100–1A10 airplanes, certificated in any category, serial numbers 20003 through 20892 inclusive.

**(d) Subject**

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 32, Landing gear.

**(e) Unsafe Condition**

This AD was prompted by a report that the nose wheel steering selector valve (SSV) can be slow to deactivate under low temperature conditions. The FAA is issuing this AD to address a slow nose wheel SSV deactivation, which, in combination with an un-commanded steering input, could lead to a delayed transition to free castor mode and result in an aircraft runway excursion.

**(f) Compliance**

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

**(g) Replacement of Nose Wheel SSV**

Within 36 months after the effective date of this AD: Replace the nose wheel SSV part number 41130–107 with the redesigned nose wheel SSV part number 41130–111; and before further flight, perform an operational test of the nose wheel SSV and nose wheel steering control system; in accordance with paragraphs 2.B. and 2.C. of the Accomplishment Instructions of the applicable service information specified in paragraphs (g)(1) and (2) of this AD. If any test fails, do applicable corrective actions and repeat the test until the part passes the test.

- (1) Bombardier Service Bulletin 100–32–35, dated March 30, 2021.
- (2) Bombardier Service Bulletin 350–32–011, dated March 30, 2021.

**(h) Parts Installation Prohibition**

Do not install nose wheel SSV, part number 41130–107 on any airplane as of the applicable compliance time specified in paragraph (h)(1) or (h)(2) of this AD.

(1) For airplanes that have nose wheel SSV, part number 41130–107 installed as of the effective date of this AD: After replacement of nose wheel SSV as required by paragraph (g) of this AD.

(2) For airplanes that, as of the effective date of this AD, do not have nose wheel SSV, part number 41130–107 installed: As of the effective date of this AD.

**(i) No Reporting Requirement**

Although the service information specified in paragraphs (g)(1) and (2) of this AD specifies to submit certain information to the manufacturer, this AD does not include that requirement.

**(j) Other FAA AD Provisions**

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) *Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs):* The Manager, New York ACO Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or responsible Flight Standards Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the certification office, send it to ATTN: Program Manager, Continuing Operational Safety, FAA, New York ACO Branch, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, NY 11590; telephone 516–228–7300; fax 516–794–5531. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the responsible Flight Standards Office.

(2) *Contacting the Manufacturer:* For any requirement in this AD to obtain instructions from a manufacturer, the instructions must be accomplished using a method approved by the Manager, New York ACO Branch, FAA; or Transport Canada Civil Aviation (TCCA); or Bombardier, Inc.’s TCCA Design Approval Organization (DAO). If approved by the DAO, the approval must include the DAO-authorized signature.

**(k) Related Information**

(1) Refer to Mandatory Continuing Airworthiness Information (MCAI) TCCA AD CF–2021–29, dated August 18, 2021, for related information. This MCAI may be found in the AD docket on the internet at <https://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2022–0016.

(2) For more information about this AD, contact Chirayu Gupta, Aerospace Engineer, Mechanical Systems and Administrative Services Section, FAA, New York ACO Branch, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410,

Westbury, NY 11590; telephone 516-228-7300; fax 516-794-5531; email [9-avs-nyacos@faa.gov](mailto:9-avs-nyacos@faa.gov).

(3) For service information identified in this AD, contact Bombardier Business Aircraft Customer Response Center, 400 Côte-Vertu Road West, Dorval, Québec H4S 1Y9, Canada; telephone 514-855-2999; email [ac.yul@aero.bombardier.com](mailto:ac.yul@aero.bombardier.com); internet <http://www.bombardier.com>. You may view this service information at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206-231-3195.

Issued on January 20, 2022.

**Lance T. Gant,**

Director, Compliance & Airworthiness Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2022-01477 Filed 1-26-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

#### 18 CFR Part 40

[Docket No. RM22-3-000]

#### Internal Network Security Monitoring for High and Medium Impact Bulk Electric System Cyber Systems

**AGENCY:** Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) proposes to direct the North American Electric Reliability Corporation to develop and submit for Commission approval new or modified Reliability Standards that require internal network security monitoring within a trusted Critical Infrastructure Protection networked environment for high and medium impact Bulk Electric System Cyber Systems.

**DATES:** Comments are due March 28, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments, identified by docket number, may be filed in the following ways. Electronic filing through <https://www.ferc.gov>, is preferred.

- **Electronic Filing:** Documents must be filed in acceptable native applications and print-to-PDF, but not in scanned or picture format.

- For those unable to file electronically, comments may be filed by U.S. Postal Service mail or by hand (including courier) delivery.

- **Mail via U.S. Postal Service only:** Addressed to: Federal Energy

Regulatory Commission, Office of the Secretary, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426.

- **For delivery via any other carrier (including courier):** Deliver to: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Office of the Secretary, 12225 Wilkins Avenue, Rockville, MD 20852.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cesar Tapia (Technical Information), Office of Electric Reliability, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502-6559, [cesar.tapia@ferc.gov](mailto:cesar.tapia@ferc.gov)

Kevin Ryan (Legal Information), Office of the General Counsel, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502-6840, [kevin.ryan@ferc.gov](mailto:kevin.ryan@ferc.gov)

Milena Yordanova (Legal Information), Office of the General Counsel, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502-6194, [milena.yordanova@ferc.gov](mailto:milena.yordanova@ferc.gov)

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Pursuant to section 215(d)(5) of the Federal Power Act (FPA),<sup>1</sup> the Commission proposes to direct the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC), the Commission-certified Electric Reliability Organization (ERO), to develop new or modified Reliability Standards that require network security monitoring internal to a Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP) networked environment (internal network security monitoring or INSM) for high and medium impact Bulk Electric System (BES) Cyber Systems.<sup>2</sup> INSM is a subset of network security monitoring that is applied within a “trust zone,”<sup>3</sup> such as an

<sup>1</sup> 16 U.S.C. 824o(d)(5).

<sup>2</sup> Reliability Standard CIP-002-5.1a (BES Cyber System Categorization) sets forth criteria that registered entities apply to categorize BES Cyber Systems as high, medium, or low depending on the adverse impact that loss, compromise, or misuse of those BES Cyber Systems could have on the reliable operation of the BES. The impact level (*i.e.*, high, medium, or low) of BES Cyber Systems, in turn, determines the applicability of security controls for BES Cyber Systems that are contained in the remaining CIP Reliability Standards (*i.e.*, Reliability Standards CIP-003-8 to CIP-013-1).

<sup>3</sup> A trust zone is defined as a “discrete computing environment designated for information processing, storage, and/or transmission that share the rigor or robustness of the applicable security capabilities necessary to protect the traffic transiting in and out of a zone and/or the information within the zone.” U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA), *Trusted Internet Connections 3.0: Reference Architecture*, at 2 (July 2020), [https://www.cisa.gov/sites/default/files/publications/CISA\\_TIC%203.0%20Vol.%202%20Reference%20Architecture.pdf](https://www.cisa.gov/sites/default/files/publications/CISA_TIC%203.0%20Vol.%202%20Reference%20Architecture.pdf).

Electronic Security Perimeter (ESP),<sup>4</sup> and is designed to address situations where vendors or individuals with authorized access are considered secure and trustworthy but could still introduce a cybersecurity risk to a high or medium impact BES Cyber System.

2. Although the currently effective CIP Reliability Standards offer a broad set of cybersecurity protections, they do not address INSM. This omission constitutes a gap in the CIP Reliability Standards. Including INSM requirements in the CIP Reliability Standards would ensure that responsible entities maintain visibility over communications between networked devices within a trust zone (*i.e.*, within an ESP), not simply monitor communications at the network perimeter access point(s), *i.e.*, at the boundary of an ESP as required by the current CIP requirements. In the event of a compromised ESP, improving visibility within a network would increase the probability of early detection of malicious activities and would allow for quicker mitigation and recovery from an attack. In addition to improved incident response capabilities and situational awareness, INSM also contributes to better vulnerability assessments within an ESP, all of which support an entity’s cybersecurity defenses and could reduce the impact of cyberattacks.

3. While the currently effective CIP Reliability Standards do not require INSM, NERC has recognized the proliferation and usefulness of network monitoring technology on the BES. For example, on January 4, 2021, NERC issued a Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program (CMEP) Practice Guide addressing Network Monitoring Sensors, Centralized Collectors, and Information Sharing.<sup>5</sup> NERC explained that the CMEP Practice Guide was developed in response to a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) initiative “to advance technologies and systems that will provide cyber visibility, detection, and response capabilities for [industrial control systems] of electric utilities.”<sup>6</sup> As discussed below, in view

<sup>4</sup> The NERC Glossary defines an ESP as “the logical border surrounding a network to which BES Cyber Systems are connected using a routable protocol.” NERC, *Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards* (June 28, 2021), [https://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Glossary%20of%20Terms/Glossary\\_of\\_Terms.pdf](https://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Glossary%20of%20Terms/Glossary_of_Terms.pdf).

<sup>5</sup> NERC, *ERO Enterprise CMEP Practice Guide: Network Monitoring Sensors, Centralized Collectors, and Information Sharing* (June 4, 2021), <https://www.nerc.com/pa/comp/guidance/CMEPPracticeGuidesDL/CMEP%20Practice%20Guide%20-%20Network%20Monitoring%20Sensors.pdf> (CMEP Practice Guide).

<sup>6</sup> *Id.* at 1.