INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1262]

Certain Skin Rejuvenation Resurfacing Devices, Components Thereof, and Products Containing the Same; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainants' Unopposed Motion To Terminate the Investigation in Its Entirety Based on Settlement; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (''ID'') (Order No. 12) of the presiding administrative law judge (''ALJ'') granting complainants' unopposed motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on a settlement agreement. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov.* For help accessing EDIS, please email *EDIS3Help@usitc.gov.* General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at *https://www.usitc.gov.* Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 21, 2021, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by InMode Ltd. of Yokneam, Israel and Invasix Inc. d/b/a InMode of Lake Forest, California (collectively, ''InMode''). 86 FR 20712–13 (Apr. 21, 2021). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain skin rejuvenation resurfacing devices, components thereof, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 10,799,285. *Id.* The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The notice of investigation (''NOI'') named ILOODA Co., Ltd. (''ILOODA'') of Suwon, Republic of Korea and Cutera, Inc. (''Cutera'') of Brisbane, California (collectively, ''Respondents'') as respondents. *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in the investigation. *Id.*

On September 22, 2021, the Commission determined to review an ID (Order No. 8) of the ALJ granting InMode's motion to amend the complaint and NOI in the above-captioned investigation to add a claim asserting a violation of 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(1)(A) against Cutera. On review, the Commission determined to vacate the ID and to remand the issue to the ALJ for further proceedings. On remand, the ALJ denied InMode's motion on September 23, 2021.

On November 22, 2021, InMode filed an unopposed motion to terminate the investigation as to Respondents based on a settlement agreement between InMode and Respondents.

On December 2, 2021, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 12) granting InMode's unopposed motion to terminate the investigation as to Respondents based on settlement. The ID finds that the motion satisfies the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b) (19 CFR 210.21(b)) and that terminating the investigation as to Respondents is not contrary to the public interest. No party petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on December 20, 2021.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part 210.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 20, 2021.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2021–27908 Filed 12–22–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Investigation No. 731–TA–1574 (Preliminary)]

Superabsorbent Polymers From South Korea

Determination

On the basis of the record [1] developed in the subject investigation, the United States International Trade Commission (''Commission'') determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (''the Act''), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of superabsorbent polymers (''SAP'') from South Korea, provided for in subheading 3906.90.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (''LTFV'').[2]

Commencement of Final Phase Investigation

Pursuant to section 207.18 of the Commission's rules, the Commission also gives notice of the commencement of the final phase of its investigation. The Commission will issue a final phase notice of scheduling, which will be published in the **Federal Register** as provided in section 207.21 of the Commission's rules, upon notice from the U.S. Department of Commerce (''Commerce'') of an affirmative preliminary determination in the investigation under § 733(b) of the Act, or, if the preliminary determination is negative, upon notice of an affirmative final determination in that investigation under § 735(a) of the Act. Parties that filed entries of appearance in the preliminary phase of the investigation need not enter a separate appearance for the final phase of the investigation. Industrial users, and, if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigation.

Background

On November 2, 2021, the Ad Hoc Coalition of American SAP Producers, whose members include BASF Corporation (''BASF''), Florham Park,

[1] The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

[2] 86 FR 6715 (November 30, 2021).

New Jersey; Evonik Superabsorber LLC (''Evonik''), Greensboro, North Carolina; and Nippon Shokubai America Industries, Inc. (''NSAI''), Pasadena, Texas, filed a petition with the Commission and Commerce, alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of LTFV imports of superabsorbent polymers from South Korea. Accordingly, effective November 2, 2021, the Commission instituted antidumping duty investigation No. 731–TA–1574 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission's investigation and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of November 10, 2021 (86 FR 52565). In light of the restrictions on access to the Commission building due to the COVID–19 pandemic, the Commission conducted its conference through written testimony and video conference. All persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to participate.

The Commission made this determination pursuant to § 733(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(a)). It completed and filed its determination in this investigation on December 17, 2021. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5273 (December 2021), entitled *Superabsorbent Polymers from South Korea: Investigation No. 731–TA–1574 (Preliminary).*

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 17, 2021.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2021–27801 Filed 12–22–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–771–772 and 775 (Fourth Review)]

Stainless Steel Wire Rod From Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan; Scheduling of Expedited Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (''the Act'') to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel wire rod from Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: October 4, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julie Duffy (202–708–2579), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (*https://www.usitc.gov*). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On October 4, 2021, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (86 FR 35124, July 1, 2021) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.[1] Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Please note the Secretary's Office will accept only electronic filings at this time. Filings must be made through the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS, *https://edis.usitc.gov*). No in-person paper-based filings or paper copies of any electronic filings will be accepted until further notice.

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews has been placed in the nonpublic record, and will be made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews on January 4, 2022. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,[2] and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determinations the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before January 12, 2022 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by January 12, 2022. However, should the Department of Commerce (''Commerce'') extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's *Handbook on Filing Procedures,* available on the Commission's website at *https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf,* elaborates upon the Commission's procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of

[1] A record of the Commissioners' votes is available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's website.

[2] The Commission has found the joint response to its notice of institution filed on behalf of on behalf of Carpenter Technology Corporation, North American Stainless, and Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc., which are all domestic producers of stainless steel wire rod, to be adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (*see* 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).