

Stat. 319; Sec. 2 of *Pub. L. 109-167*, 119 Stat. 3578; Sec. 5 of *Pub. L. 109-472*, 120 Stat. 3554; *Pub. L. 108-447*, Div. B, Title IV, Dec. 8, 2004, 118 Stat. 2809; *Pub. L. 108-458*, 118 Stat. 3638, 3823 (Dec. 17, 2004).

■ 2. Revise § 51.8 to read as follows:

§ 51.8 Submission of currently valid passport.

(a) When applying for a new passport in person or by mail, an applicant must submit for cancellation any currently valid passport of the same type.

(b) When applying for a new passport on-line, an applicant must have the currently valid passport of the same type available for cancellation via the on-line process.

(c) If an applicant is unable to produce a passport under paragraph (a) or (b) of this section, they must submit a signed statement in the form prescribed by the Department setting forth the circumstances regarding the disposition of the passport.

(d) The Department may deny or limit a passport if the applicant has failed to provide a sufficient and credible explanation for lost, stolen, altered or mutilated passport(s) previously issued to the applicant, after being given a reasonable opportunity to do so.

■ 3. Amend § 51.21 by revising the paragraph (b) heading, paragraph (b)(2) and adding paragraph (b)(3) to read as follows:

§ 51.21 Execution of passport application.

(b) *Application by mail or on-line—persons in the United States.* * * *

(2) A person in the United States who previously has been issued a passport valid for 10 years in their own name may apply for a new passport by filling out, signing, and submitting an on-line application via the Department’s official website if:

- (i) The applicant’s most recently issued passport was issued when the applicant was 16 years of age or older, and has one year or less of validity remaining;
- (ii) The application is made not more than 15 years following the issue date of the most recently issued passport of the same type;
- (iii) The most recently-issued passport of the same type is available for verification via the on-line process.

(3) The applicant must also provide photographs as prescribed by the Department and pay the applicable fees

prescribed in the Schedule of Fees for Consular Services (22 CFR 22.1).

* * * * *

Kevin E. Bryant,

Deputy Director, Office of Directives Management, U.S. Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2021-27404 Filed 12-21-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

32 CFR Part 310

[Docket ID: DoD-2020-OS-0095]

RIN 0790-AK96

Privacy Act of 1974; Implementation

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD), Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The DoD is issuing a final rule to amend its regulations to exempt portions of the DoD-0004, “Defense Repository for Common Enterprise Data (DRCED),” system of records from certain provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974.

DATES: This rule is effective on January 21, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Lyn Kirby, *OSD.DPCLTD@mail.mil*, (703) 571-0070.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion of Comments and Changes

The proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** (86 FR 498-499) on January 6, 2021. Comments were accepted for 60 days until March 8, 2021. A total of four comments were received. Please see the summarized comments and the Department’s response as follows:

Commentators generally agreed that exempting national security and classified data is appropriate under this exemption rule and that exempting national security and classified information is in the best interests of the Department and the Nation. Notwithstanding that, a majority of the comments voiced a desire for more transparency about the classification process itself within the DoD. Although these comments do not directly pertain to the Privacy Act and the exemption claimed for this system of records notice (SORN), to promote public understanding in this area a description of the classification process at DoD is provided below.

Executive Order 13526 prescribes the framework for the Federal Government

(to include DoD) to classify national security information. Only DoD personnel who hold positions of trust and are delegated original classification authority in writing are authorized to review the Department’s information and determine whether damage would result to national security if that information were disclosed to the public. Several oversight and compliance mechanisms exist to ensure the classification of information process is appropriate.

These safeguards include the following: Personnel authorized to make classification determinations are required to receive training in proper classification, including the avoidance of over-classification, and declassification at least once a calendar year; information may only be classified if it pertains to specific categories or subjects, including military plans, weapons systems, or operations and intelligence activities; and agency heads must (on a periodic basis) complete a comprehensive review of the agency’s classification guidance, to include reviewing information that is classified within the agency, provide the results of such review to appropriate officials outside the agency at the National Archives, and release an unclassified version of the review to the public. Authorized holders of classified information are also encouraged and expected to “challenge” classification determinations if they believe the classification status is improper, and any individual or entity can request any Federal agency to review classified information for declassification, regardless of its age or origin, in accordance with the Mandatory Declassification Review (MDR) process. Additional information about the MDR process can be found on the National Archives and Records Administration’s MDR program page at <https://www.archives.gov/isoo/training/mdr>. In the interests of protecting information critical to the Nation’s defense, it is appropriate for the DoD to properly classify and exempt such information from public release under the Privacy Act so as to protect U.S. national security. Having considered the public comments, the Department will implement the rulemaking as proposed.

Additionally, DoD received one supportive, but non-substantive, comment on the system of records notice (SORN) that published in the **Federal Register** on January 6, 2021 (86 FR 526-529). The public comment period for the SORN ended on February 5, 2021.

Background

In finalizing this rule, DoD exempts portions of the updated and reissued DoD–0004 DRCED system of records from certain provisions of the Privacy Act. DoD uses this system of records to automate financial and business transactions, perform cost-management analysis, produce oversight and audit reports, and provide critical data linking to improve performance of mission objectives. This system of records supports DoD in creating predictive analytic models based upon specific data streams to equip decision makers with critical data necessary for execution of fiscal and operational requirements. Some of the records that are part of the DoD–0004 DRCED system of records may contain classified national security information and disclosure of those records to an individual may cause damage to national security. The Privacy Act, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(1), authorizes agencies to claim an exemption for systems of records that contain information properly classified pursuant to executive order. For this reason, DoD has exempted portions of the DoD–0004 DRCED system of records from the access and amendment requirements of the Privacy Act, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(1), to prevent disclosure of any information properly classified pursuant to executive order, including Executive Order 13526, as implemented by DoD Instruction 5200.01, “DoD Information Security Program and Protection of Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI)” (available at <https://www.esd.whs.mil/Portals/54/Documents/DD/issuances/dodi/520001p.PDF?ver=cF11-jcFGP6jfNrnTr8lQ%3d%3d>); DoD Manual (DoDM) 5200.01, Volume 1, “DoD Information Security Program: Overview, Classification, and Declassification” (available at https://www.esd.whs.mil/Portals/54/Documents/DD/issuances/dodm/520001m_vol1.pdf?ver=2020-08-04-092500-203); and DoDM 5200.01, Volume 3, “DoD Information Security Program: Protection of Classified Information” (available at https://www.esd.whs.mil/Portals/54/Documents/DD/issuances/dodm/520001m_vol3.pdf?ver=MjfvD-nRd2HTyLSzDse9VQ%3d%3d).

This rule will deny an individual access under the Privacy Act to only those portions of records for which the claimed exemption applies. In addition, records in the DoD–0004 DRCED system of records are only exempt from the Privacy Act to the extent the purposes

underlying the exemption pertain to the record.

Regulatory Analysis

Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review” and Executive Order 13563, “Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review”

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distribute impacts, and equity). Executive Order 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. It has been determined that this rule is not a significant regulatory action.

Congressional Review Act

This rule is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Public Law 96–354, “Regulatory Flexibility Act” (5 U.S.C. Chapter 6)

The Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Privacy, Civil Liberties, and Transparency has certified that this Privacy Act rule does not have significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because they are concerned only with the administration of Privacy Act systems of records within the DoD.

Public Law 96–511, “Paperwork Reduction Act” (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35)

It has been determined that this rule does not impose additional information collection requirements on the public under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Section 202, Public Law 104–4, “Unfunded Mandates Reform Act”

It has been determined that this rule does not involve a Federal mandate that may result in the expenditure by State, local and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more and that it will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments.

Executive Order 13132, “Federalism”

It has been determined that this rule does not have federalism implications. This rule does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and

responsibilities among the various levels of government.

Executive Order 13175, “Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments”

Executive Order 13175 establishes certain requirements that an agency must meet when it promulgates a proposed rule (and subsequent final rule) that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on one or more Indian tribes, preempts tribal law, or effects the distribution of power and responsibilities between the federal government and Indian tribes. This rule will not have a substantial effect on Indian tribal governments.

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 310

Privacy.

Accordingly, 32 CFR part 310 is amended as follows:

PART 310—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for 32 CFR part 310 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552a.

■ 2. Section 310.13 is amended by adding paragraph (e)(3) to read as follows:

§ 310.13 Exemptions for DoD-wide systems.

* * * * *

(e) * * *

(3) *System identifier and name.* DoD–0004, “Defense Repository for Common Enterprise Data (DRCED).”

(i) *Exemptions.* This system of records is exempt from subsections 5 U.S.C. 552a(c)(3), (d)(1), (d)(2), (d)(3), and (d)(4) of the Privacy Act.

(ii) *Authority.* 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(1).

(iii) *Exemption from the particular subsections.* Exemption from the particular subsections is justified for the following reasons:

(A) *Subsection (c)(3) (accounting of disclosures).* Because common enterprise records may contain information properly classified pursuant to executive order, the disclosure accountings of such records may also contain information properly classified pursuant to executive order, the disclosure of which may cause damage to national security.

(B) *Subsections (d)(1), (2), (3), and (4) (record subject’s right to access and amend records).* Access to and amendment of records by the record subject could disclose information properly classified pursuant to executive order. Disclosure of classified records to an individual may cause damage to national security.

(iv) *Exempt records from other systems.* In addition, in the course of carrying out the overall purpose for this system, exempt records from other system of records may in turn become part of the records maintained in this system. To the extent that copies of exempt records from those other systems of records are maintained in this system, the DoD claims the same exemptions for the records from those other systems that are entered into this system, as claimed for the prior system(s) of which they are a part, provided the reason for the exemption remains valid and necessary.

* * * * *

Dated: December 16, 2021.

Aaron T. Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison
Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2021-27706 Filed 12-21-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2020-0294; FRL-9226-01-
OCSPP]

Various Fragrance Components; Exemptions From the Requirement of a Tolerance

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This regulation establishes exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of various fragrance components listed in unit II of this document when they are used as inert ingredients in antimicrobial pesticide formulations for use on food contact surfaces in public eating places, dairy processing equipment, and food processing equipment and utensils with end-use concentration not to exceed 100 parts per million (ppm). Verto Solutions on behalf of The Clorox Company submitted a petition to EPA under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), requesting the establishment of such exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance. This regulation eliminates the need to establish a maximum permissible level for residues of various fragrance components.

DATES: This regulation is effective December 22, 2021. Objections and requests for hearings must be received on or before February 22, 2022, and must be filed in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part

178 (see also Unit I.C. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**).

ADDRESSES: The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2020-0294, is available at <https://www.regulations.gov> or at the Office of Pesticide Programs Regulatory Public Docket (OPP Docket) in the Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the OPP Docket is (703) 305-5805.

Due to the public health concerns related to COVID-19, the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC) and Reading Room is closed to visitors with limited exceptions. The staff continues to provide remote customer service via email, phone, and webform. For the latest status information on EPA/DC services and docket access, visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marietta Echeverria, Registration Division (7505P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001; main telephone number: (703) 305-7090; email address: RDNRNotices@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. The following list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers determine whether this document applies to them. Potentially affected entities may include:

- Animal production (NAICS code 112).
- Food manufacturing (NAICS code 311).
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS code 32532).

B. How can I get electronic access to other related information?

You may access a frequently updated electronic version of 40 CFR part 180 through the Office of the Federal Register's e-CFR site at <https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-40>.

C. How can I file an objection or hearing request?

Under FFDCA section 408(g), 21 U.S.C. 346a, any person may file an objection to any aspect of this regulation and may also request a hearing on those objections. You must file your objection or request a hearing on this regulation in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, you must identify docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2020-0294 in the subject line on the first page of your submission. All objections and requests for a hearing must be in writing and must be received by the Hearing Clerk on or before February 22, 2022. Addresses for mail and hand delivery of objections and hearing requests are provided in 40 CFR 178.25(b).

In addition to filing an objection or hearing request with the Hearing Clerk as described in 40 CFR part 178, please submit a copy of the filing (excluding any Confidential Business Information (CBI)) for inclusion in the public docket. Information not marked confidential pursuant to 40 CFR part 2 may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice. Submit the non-CBI copy of your objection or hearing request, identified by docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2020-0294, by one of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

- *Mail:* OPP Docket, Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), (28221T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001.

- *Hand Delivery:* To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html>.

Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

II. Petition for Exemption

In the **Federal Register** of June 24, 2020 (85 FR 37807) (FRL-10010-82), EPA issued a document pursuant to FFDCA section 408, 21 U.S.C. 346a, announcing the filing of a pesticide petition (PP IN-11016) by Verto Solutions on behalf of The Clorox Company, 4900 Johnson Dr., Pleasanton, CA 94588. The petition requested that 40 CFR 180.940(a) be amended by