

was stored. Additionally, the amendments remove the phrase “Beginning July 1, 2016” from 25 Pa. Code 123.22(a)(2)(iii), which is the section that allows for the temporary suspension of the sulfur limit in fuel oil under specific circumstances. This regulation will therefore allow for the continued temporary sale of fuel with higher sulfur levels in accordance with the provisions approved by EPA in the current Pennsylvania SIP. Because the substance of the current approved SIP will not be changed with respect to these temporary suspension provisions, EPA is only taking comment on PADEP’s revision that deletes the phrase “Beginning July 1, 2016” with respect to these provisions.

This proposed SIP revision to implement low sulfur fuel oil provisions is expected to reduce regional haze and visibility impairment in Pennsylvania. Additionally, decreased emissions of SO<sub>2</sub> will contribute to the attainment, maintenance, or both, of the SO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS in Pennsylvania and the MANE-VU region.

### III. Proposed Action

EPA has determined that Pennsylvania’s proposed SIP revisions to 40 CFR 52.2020(c)(1), which incorporate amendments made to 25 Pa. Code Chapter 123.22 will lower the maximum allowable sulfur content limit in No. 2 fuel oil and lighter combusted or sold in Pennsylvania and aid in reducing SO<sub>2</sub> emissions. These emissions are a cause of regional haze and reducing them will help to attain the SO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS. EPA is proposing to approve the September 4, 2020 Pennsylvania SIP revision which amends commercial No. 2 fuel oil and lighter sulfur limits for combustion and sale in Pennsylvania. EPA is soliciting public comments on the issues discussed in this document. These comments will be considered before taking final action.

### IV. Incorporation by Reference

In this document, EPA is proposing to include in a final EPA rule regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, EPA is proposing to incorporate by reference Pennsylvania’s maximum allowable sulfur content limit for No. 2 and lighter commercial fuel oil regulation described in 25 PA. Code Chapter 123. EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials generally available through <https://www.regulations.gov> and at the EPA Region III Office (please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER**

**INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

### V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this proposed action:

- Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
  - Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
  - Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
  - Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
  - Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
  - Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
  - Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
  - Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
  - Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).
- In addition, this proposed rule regarding commercial fuel oil sulfur limits for combustion and sale in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249,

November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the State, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Particulate matter, Regional Haze, Sulfur oxides.

Dated: December 8, 2021.

**Diana Esher,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. 2021–27101 Filed 12–14–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R03–OAR–2021–0606; FRL–9176–01–R3]

### Air Plan Approval; Virginia; Revision to the Classification and Implementation of the 2015 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard for the Northern Virginia Nonattainment Area

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve a state implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Virginia. This revision consists of an amendment to an existing regulation which adds a new section listing the localities that comprise the Northern Virginia ozone nonattainment area, which is classified as marginal for the 2015 8-hour ozone national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS). This action is being taken under the Clean Air Act (CAA).

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before January 14, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R03–OAR–2021–0606 at <https://www.regulations.gov>, or via email to [Gordon.Mike@epa.gov](mailto:Gordon.Mike@epa.gov). For comments submitted at [Regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov), follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from [Regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov). For either manner of submission, EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be confidential business information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is

restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Serena Nichols, Planning & Implementation Branch (3AD30), Air & Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. The telephone number is (215) 814-2053. Ms. Nichols can also be reached via electronic mail at [Nichols.Serena@epa.gov](mailto:Nichols.Serena@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On August 28, 2020, the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (VADEQ) submitted a revision to its SIP amending an existing regulation by adding a new section listing the localities that comprise the Northern Virginia ozone nonattainment area, which is classified as marginal for the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS. This revision is needed for the Commonwealth to implement the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS in the Northern Virginia ozone nonattainment area.

### I. Background

Under the CAA, EPA establishes NAAQS for criteria pollutants in order to protect human health and the environment. In response to scientific evidence linking ozone exposure to adverse health effects, EPA promulgated the first ozone NAAQS, the 0.12 part per million (ppm) 1-hour ozone NAAQS, in 1979. See 44 FR 8202 (February 8, 1979). The CAA requires EPA to review and reevaluate the NAAQS every five years in order to consider updated information regarding the effects of the criteria pollutants on human health and the environment. On July 18, 1997, EPA promulgated a revised ozone NAAQS, referred to as the 1997 ozone NAAQS, of 0.08 ppm averaged over eight hours. 62 FR 38855. This 8-hour ozone NAAQS was determined to be more protective of public health than the previous 1979 1-hour ozone NAAQS. In 2008, EPA strengthened the 8-hour ozone NAAQS

from 0.08 to 0.075 ppm. See 73 FR 16436 (March 27, 2008). In 2015, EPA further refined the 8-hour ozone NAAQS from 0.075 ppm to 0.070 ppm. The 0.070 ppm standard is referred to as the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS. See 80 FR 65452 (October 26, 2015).

On June 4, 2018 and July 25, 2018, EPA designated nonattainment areas for the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS. 83 FR 25776 and 83 FR 35136. Effective August 3, 2018, the Washington, DC-MD-VA area was designated as marginal nonattainment for the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS. The Virginia portion of the Washington, DC-MD-VA nonattainment area comprises Arlington County, Fairfax County, Loudoun County, Prince William County, Alexandria City, Fairfax City, Falls Church City, Manassas City, and Manassas Park City, Virginia. See 40 CFR 81.347. Virginia defines their portion of the Washington, DC-MD-VA nonattainment area as the “Northern Virginia ozone nonattainment area.”

### II. Summary of SIP Revision and EPA Analysis

Virginia’s August 28, 2020 SIP revision consists of an amendment to an existing regulation which adds a new section listing the localities that comprise the Northern Virginia ozone nonattainment area, which is classified as marginal for the 2015 ozone NAAQS. The amendments revise the Virginia Administrative Code (VAC), specifically 9VAC5-20-204 (Nonattainment areas) Subsection A, which geographically defines the nonattainment areas by locality for the criteria pollutants indicated. The amendments are necessary for implementation of the 2015 ozone NAAQS. The added subdivision, 9VAC5-20-204 A 4, defines the Northern Virginia marginal ozone nonattainment area for the 2015 8-hour ozone standard as including the following areas: Arlington County, Fairfax County, Loudoun County, Prince William County, Alexandria City, Fairfax City, Falls Church City, Manassas City, and Manassas Park City. A reference is also added to subsection a of 40 CFR 51.1303, which pertains to the application of classification and attainment date provisions for areas designated nonattainment for the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS.

### III. Proposed Action

EPA is proposing to approve the Virginia SIP revision amending the subsection listing the localities that comprise the Northern Virginia ozone nonattainment area for the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS, which was submitted on August 28, 2020. EPA is soliciting

public comments on the issues discussed in this document. These comments will be considered before taking final action.

### IV. General Information Pertaining to SIP Submittals From the Commonwealth of Virginia

In 1995, Virginia adopted legislation that provides, subject to certain conditions, for an environmental assessment (audit) “privilege” for voluntary compliance evaluations performed by a regulated entity. The legislation further addresses the relative burden of proof for parties either asserting the privilege or seeking disclosure of documents for which the privilege is claimed. Virginia’s legislation also provides, subject to certain conditions, for a penalty waiver for violations of environmental laws when a regulated entity discovers such violations pursuant to a voluntary compliance evaluation and voluntarily discloses such violations to the Commonwealth and takes prompt and appropriate measures to remedy the violations. Virginia’s Voluntary Environmental Assessment Privilege Law, Va. Code Sec. 10.1-1198, provides a privilege that protects from disclosure documents and information about the content of those documents that are the product of a voluntary environmental assessment. The Privilege Law does not extend to documents or information that: (1) Are generated or developed before the commencement of a voluntary environmental assessment; (2) are prepared independently of the assessment process; (3) demonstrate a clear, imminent and substantial danger to the public health or environment; or (4) are required by law.

On January 12, 1998, the Commonwealth of Virginia Office of the Attorney General provided a legal opinion that states that the Privilege law, Va. Code Sec. 10.1-1198, precludes granting a privilege to documents and information “required by law,” including documents and information “required by Federal law to maintain program delegation, authorization or approval,” since Virginia must “enforce Federally authorized environmental programs in a manner that is no less stringent than their Federal counterparts. . . .” The opinion concludes that “[r]egarding § 10.1-1198, therefore, documents or other information needed for civil or criminal enforcement under one of these programs could not be privileged because such documents and information are essential to pursuing enforcement in a manner required by

Federal law to maintain program delegation, authorization or approval.”

Virginia’s Immunity law, Va. Code Sec. 10.1–1199, provides that “[t]o the extent consistent with requirements imposed by Federal law,” any person making a voluntary disclosure of information to a state agency regarding a violation of an environmental statute, regulation, permit, or administrative order is granted immunity from administrative or civil penalty. The Attorney General’s January 12, 1998 opinion states that the quoted language renders this statute inapplicable to enforcement of any Federally authorized programs, since “no immunity could be afforded from administrative, civil, or criminal penalties because granting such immunity would not be consistent with Federal law, which is one of the criteria for immunity.”

Therefore, EPA has determined that Virginia’s Privilege and Immunity statutes will not preclude the Commonwealth from enforcing its program consistent with the Federal requirements. In any event, because EPA has also determined that a state audit privilege and immunity law can affect only state enforcement and cannot have any impact on Federal enforcement authorities, EPA may at any time invoke its authority under the CAA, including, for example, sections 113, 167, 205, 211 or 213, to enforce the requirements or prohibitions of the state plan, independently of any state enforcement effort. In addition, citizen enforcement under section 304 of the CAA is likewise unaffected by this, or any, state audit privilege or immunity law.

## V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this proposed action:

- Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions

of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

The SIP, amending the section listing the localities that comprise the Northern Virginia ozone nonattainment area, is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land as defined in 18 U.S.C. 1151 or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule revising the section listing the localities that comprise the Northern Virginia ozone nonattainment area, does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: December 8, 2021.

**Diana Esher,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. 2021–27100 Filed 12–14–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

### 48 CFR Parts 727, 742, and 752

RIN 0412–AA90

### USAID Acquisition Regulation: United States Agency for International Development (USAID) Acquisition Regulation (AIDAR): Planning, Collection, and Submission of Digital Information as Well as Submission of Activity Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning Plans to USAID

**AGENCY:** U.S. Agency for International Development.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Agency for International Development (USAID) seeks public comment on a proposed rule that implements USAID requirements for managing digital information data as a strategic asset to inform the planning, design, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of the Agency’s foreign assistance programs. This proposed rule incorporates a new policy on Digital Information Planning, Collection, and Submission Requirements and the corresponding clause, as well as a new clause entitled “Activity Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning Plan Requirements” into the (AIDAR). This proposed rule is intended to reduce the burden on contractors, increase efficiency, and improve the use of data and other forms of digital information across the Agency’s programs and operations.

**DATES:** Comments must be received no later than February 14, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments, identified by the title of the action and Regulatory Information Number (RIN) through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov> by following the instructions for submitting comments. Please include your name, company name (if any), and “0412–AA90” on any attachments. If your comment cannot be submitted using <https://www.regulations.gov>, please email the point of contact in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instructions.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Marcelle Wijesinghe, USAID M/OAA/P, at 202–916–2606 or [policymailbox@usaid.gov](mailto:policymailbox@usaid.gov).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### A. Instructions

All comments must be in writing and submitted through the method specified in the **ADDRESSES** section above. All