

docket without change, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes profanity, threats, information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Wendy Hoffman, Office of Emergency Management, Mail Code 5104A, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 564-8794; email address: [hoffman.wendy@epa.gov](mailto:hoffman.wendy@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Supporting documents, which explain in detail the information that EPA will be collecting, are available in the public docket for this ICR. The docket can be viewed online at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Out of an abundance of caution for members of the public and our staff, the EPA Docket Center and Reading Room is closed to the public, with limited exceptions, to reduce the risk of transmitting COVID-19. Our Docket Center staff will continue to provide remote customer service via email, phone, and webform. For further information about the EPA's public docket, Docket Center services and the current status, please visit us online at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>. The telephone number for the Docket Center is (202) 566-1744.

Pursuant to section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, EPA is soliciting comments and information to enable it to: (i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (ii) evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (iii) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (iv) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses. EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR, as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval. At that time, EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB.

*Abstract:* This information collection is authorized by the following Clean Air Act (CAA) sections: For on-site documentation of Risk Management Plans (RMPs), 112(r)(7)(B)(i) and (ii); for submitting an RMP, 112(r)(7)(B)(iii); and, for on-site documentation and submittal of RMPs, 114(a)(1). State and local authorities use the information in RMPs to modify and enhance their community response plans. The agencies implementing the Risk Management Program rule use RMPs to evaluate compliance with the Chemical Accident Prevention Provisions in 40 CFR part 68 and to identify sources for inspection that may pose significant risks to the community. Citizens may use the information to assess and address chemical hazards in their communities and to respond appropriately in the event of a release of a regulated substance.

This request for comments relates to the renewal of EPA ICR Number 1656.17, OMB Control Number 2050-0144, which covers the Risk Management Program and is being consolidated with EPA ICR Number 2537.06, OMB Control Number 2050-0216, which represents the Risk Management Program information collection requirements impacted by the December 19, 2019 (84 FR 69834) Final Risk Management Program Reconsideration Rule (Reconsideration Rule). The Reconsideration Rule modified changes made to the Risk Management Program by the January 13, 2017 (82 FR 4594) Final Risk Management Program Amendments Rule (Amendments Rule). The consolidation covers information collection requirements from the Amendments Rule that were retained or retained with modification in the Reconsideration Rule. Once this renewal ICR is approved, OMB Control Number 2050-0216 will be discontinued.

The burden estimates, numbers and types of respondents, wage rates and unit and total costs for this ICR renewal will be revised and updated, if needed, based on comments received during the 60-day comment period.

*Form Numbers:* None.

*Respondents/affected entities:*

Stationary sources that manufacture, react, mix, store, or use substances in processes that require equipment designed, constructed, installed, operated, or maintained in specific ways to prevent accidental releases and ensure safe operations.

*Respondent's obligation to respond:* Mandatory under CAA section 112(r)(7)(B)(iii).

*Estimated number of respondents:* 12,556.

*Frequency of response:* Sources are required to register and submit an RMP once every five years unless there are significant changes in the information provided.

*Total estimated burden:* 773,876 hours (per year). Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.03(b).

*Total estimated cost:* \$51,650,227 (per year), which includes \$25,850 annualized operation & maintenance costs. No capital costs are associated with this ICR.

*Changes in Estimates:* The estimates presented above reflect EPA's best available estimates based on the currently approved ICRs. The estimated number of respondents comes from the number of stationary sources and implementing agencies subject to the information collection requirements in OMB Control Number 2050-0216. The total burden and cost estimates were calculated by adding the burden or cost from OMB Control Number 2050-0144 to the burden or cost from the Amendments rule provisions that were retained or retained with modification in OMB Control Number 2050-0216. For example, for the total estimated burden, 66,793 hours (per year) from OMB Control Number 2050-0144 were added to 707,083 hours (per year) that were retained or retained with modification from the Amendments rule in OMB Control Number 2050-0216. The number of respondents is likely to decrease because more facilities deregistered than became new sources since the previous renewal. Similarly, the annual respondent burden hours are likely to decrease due to anticipated changes in the respondent universe. Any change in burden or cost resulting from the 60-day public comment period will be described in this section when the updated ICR Supporting Statement is completed.

**Donna Salyer,**

*Director, Office of Emergency Management.*

[FR Doc. 2021-26965 Filed 12-13-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[EPA-HQ-OLEM-2021-0826; FRL-9339-01-OLEM]

**The Hazardous Waste Electronic Manifest System Advisory Board: Request for Nominations**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) invites the public to nominate experts to be considered for a three-year appointment to the Hazardous Waste Electronic Manifest System Advisory Board (the “Board”). Pursuant to the Hazardous Waste Electronic Manifest Establishment Act (the “e-Manifest Act” or the “Act”), EPA has established the Board to provide practical and independent advice, consultation, and recommendations to the EPA Administrator on the activities, functions, policies, and regulations associated with the Hazardous Waste Electronic Manifest (e-Manifest) System. In accordance with the e-Manifest Act, the EPA Administrator or designee will serve as Chair of the Board. This notice solicits nominations for possible consideration of candidates to potentially serve in the following positions on the Board: an expert in information technology (IT); an industry representative member with experience in using or representing users of the manifest system; and a state representative member responsible for processing manifests.

**DATES:** Nominations of candidates considered for appointment must be received on or before January 13, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your nominations identified with “BOARD NOMINATION” in the subject line to Tamue Gibson, the Acting Designated Federal Officer (DFO) of the e-Manifest Advisory Board at [gibson.tamue@epa.gov](mailto:gibson.tamue@epa.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tamue Gibson, Acting Designated Federal Officer (DFO), Phone: 202–564–7642; or by email: [gibson.tamue@epa.gov](mailto:gibson.tamue@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

On June 30, 2018, EPA established a national system for tracking hazardous waste shipments electronically. This system, known as “e-Manifest,” supports the modernization of the nation’s cradle-to-grave hazardous waste tracking process while saving valuable time, resources, and dollars for industry and states.

EPA established the e-Manifest system according to the Hazardous Waste Electronic Manifest Establishment Act, enacted into law on October 5, 2012. The “e-Manifest Act” authorizes the EPA to implement a national electronic manifest system and requires that the costs of developing and operating the new e-Manifest system be recovered from user fees charged to those who use hazardous waste

manifests to track off-site shipments of their wastes.

This system enables users of the uniform hazardous waste manifest forms (EPA Form 8700–22 and Continuation Sheet 8700–22A) to have the option to more efficiently track their hazardous waste shipments electronically, in lieu of the paper manifest, from the point of generation, during transportation, and to the point of receipt by an off-site facility that is permitted to treat, store, recycle, or dispose of the hazardous waste. Electronic manifests obtained from the national system augment or replace the paper forms that have historically been used for this purpose, and that result in substantial paperwork costs and other inefficiencies. Congress intended that EPA develops a system that, among other things, meets the needs of the user community and decreases the administrative burden associated with the current paper-based manifest system on the user community. By enabling the transition from a paper-intensive process to an electronic system, EPA estimates e-Manifest will ultimately save state and industry users more than \$50 million annually, once electronic manifests are widely adopted. The system also serves as a national reporting hub and database for all manifests and shipment data. To ensure that these goals are met, the Act directs EPA to establish a Board to assess the effectiveness of the electronic manifest system and make recommendations to the Administrator for improving the system.

In addition, the e-Manifest Act directs EPA to develop a system that attracts sufficient user participation and service revenues to ensure the viability of the system. As a result, the Act provides EPA broad discretion to establish reasonable user fees, as the Administrator determines are necessary, to pay costs incurred in developing, operating, maintaining, and upgrading the system, including any costs incurred in collecting and processing data from any paper manifest submitted to the system.

e-Manifest aligns with the Agency’s E-Enterprise business strategy. E-Enterprise for the Environment is a transformative 21st century strategy—jointly governed by states and EPA—for modernizing government agencies’ delivery of environmental protection. Under this strategy, the Agency will streamline its business processes and systems to reduce reporting burden on states and regulated facilities and improve the effectiveness and efficiency of regulatory programs for EPA, states, and tribes.

EPA has established the Board in accordance with the provisions of the e-Manifest Act and the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C. app.2. The Board is in the public interest and supports EPA in performing its duties and responsibilities. Pursuant to the e-Manifest Act the Board is comprised of nine members, of which one member is the Administrator (or a designee), who will serve as Chair of the Board, and eight members are individuals appointed by the EPA Administrator:

- At least two of whom have expertise in information technology (IT);
- At least three of whom have experience in using, or represent users of, the manifest system to track the transportation of hazardous waste under federal and state manifest programs; and
- At least three state representatives responsible for processing those manifests.

The Board will meet publicly at least annually to provide recommendations on matters related to the operational activities, functions, policies, and/or regulations of the EPA under the e-Manifest Act. Pursuant to the e-Manifest Act, the Board will assist the Agency in evaluating the effectiveness of the e-Manifest IT system and associated user fees; identifying key issues associated with the system, including the need (and timing) for user fee adjustments; recommending system enhancements; and providing independent advice on matters and policies related to the e-Manifest program. The e-Manifest Board provides recommendations on matters related to the operational activities, functions, policies, and regulations of the EPA under the e-Manifest Act, including proposing actions to encourage the use of the electronic (paperless) system, and actions related to the E-Enterprise strategy that intersect with e-Manifest. These intersections may include issues such as business-to-business communications, performance standards for mobile devices, and Cross Media Electronic Reporting Rule (CROMERR) compliant e-signatures.

**II. Nominations**

Any interested person and/or organization may nominate qualified individuals for membership. EPA values and welcomes diversity. To obtain nominations of diverse candidates, the agency encourages nominations of all genders and all racial and ethnic groups. All nominations will be considered; however, applicants need to be aware of the representation from specific sectors required by the e-Manifest Act.

Nominees who represent states and industry should have a comprehensive knowledge of hazardous waste

generation, transportation, treatment, storage, and disposal under RCRA Subtitle C at the federal, state, and local levels. Nominees who represent states should have comprehensive knowledge of state programs that use manifest data. Nominees who represent industry should be familiar with e-Manifest and have strong knowledge of existing industry systems/devices/approaches and business operations to provide valuable input on e-Manifest integration into current industry data systems.

IT nominees should have core competencies and experience in large-scale systems and application development, integration, and implementation. This may include competency and experience with: Managing complex systems used by multiple user communities; ensuring data availability, integrity, and quality; user help desk and support; as well as expertise relevant to the complexities of an electronic manifest system. Examples of this expertise may include, but are not limited to: Expertise with web-based and mobile technologies, particularly those that support large scale operations for geographically diverse users; expertise in IT security, including perspective on federal IT security requirements; expertise in electronic signature and user management approaches; expertise with scalable hosting solutions such as cloud-based hosting; and expertise in user experience. Existing knowledge of, or willingness to gain an understanding of, EPA shared services and enterprise architecture is a plus.

Another plus for any nominee is experience in setting and/or managing fee-based systems in general.

Additional criteria used to evaluate nominees will include:

- Excellent interpersonal, oral, and written communication skills;
- Demonstrated experience developing group recommendations;
- Willingness to commit time to the Board and demonstrated ability to work constructively on committees;
- Absence of financial conflicts of interest;
- Impartiality (including avoiding the appearance of a loss of impartiality); and
- Background and experiences that would help contribute to the diversity of perspectives on the Board, *e.g.*, geographic, economic, social, cultural, educational backgrounds, professional affiliations, and other considerations.

Nominations must include a resume, which provides the nominee's background, experience, and educational qualifications, as well as a brief statement (one page or less)

describing the nominee's interest in serving on the Board and addressing the other criteria previously described. Nominees are encouraged to provide any additional information that they feel would be useful for consideration, such as: Availability to participate as a member of the Board; how the nominee's background, skills, and experience would contribute to the diversity of the Board; and any concerns the nominee has regarding membership. Nominees should be identified by name, occupation, position, current business address, email, and telephone number.

Interested candidates may self-nominate. The agency will acknowledge receipt of nominations. Persons selected for membership will receive compensation for travel and a nominal daily compensation (if appropriate) while attending meetings in person. Additionally, candidates selected to serve as Information Technology (IT) "Expert" Members will be designated as Special Government Employees (SGEs) or consultants. Candidates designated as SGEs will be required to fill out the "Confidential Financial Disclosure Form for Environmental Protection Agency Special Government Employees" (EPA Form 3310-48). This confidential form provides information to the EPA ethics officials to determine whether there is a conflict between the SGE's public duties and their private interests, including an appearance of a loss of impartiality as defined by federal laws and regulations.

One example of a potential conflict of interest may be for IT professional(s) serving in an organization which is awarded any related e-Manifest system development contract(s).

Dated: December 6, 2021.

**Carolyn Hoskinson,**

*Director, Office of Resource Conservation and Recovery, Office of Land and Emergency Management.*

[FR Doc. 2021-26966 Filed 12-13-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**[EPA-HQ-OA-2021-0683; FRL-9353-01-OA]**

**White House Environmental Justice Advisory Council; Notification of Virtual Public Meeting**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notification for a public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), the

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) hereby provides notice that the White House Environmental Justice Advisory Council (WHEJAC) will meet on the dates and times described below. EPA is announcing a two (2) day meeting on January 26 and 27, 2022. The meeting is open to the public. Members of the public are encouraged to provide comments relevant to the specific issues being considered by the WHEJAC. For additional information about registering to attend the meetings or to provide public comment, please see "REGISTRATION" under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**. Pre-registration is required.

**DATES:** The WHEJAC will hold a virtual public meeting on Wednesday, January 26, 2022, and Thursday, January 27, 2022, from approximately 3:00 p.m.–7:30 p.m., Eastern Time each day. A public comment period relevant to the specific issues will be considered by the WHEJAC on Wednesday, January 26, 2022. (see **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**). Members of the public who wish to participate during the public comment period must pre-register by 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, one (1) week prior to the meeting date.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Karen L. Martin, WHEJAC Designated Federal Officer, U.S. EPA; email: [whejac@epa.gov](mailto:whejac@epa.gov); telephone: (202) 564-0203. Additional information about the WHEJAC is available at <https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice/white-house-environmental-justice-advisory-council>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting discussion will focus on several topics including, but not limited to the discussion and deliberation of draft recommendations to the Chair of the Council on Environmental Quality and the White House Interagency Council on Environmental Justice from the Justice40 Work Group, Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool Work Group, and the Scorecard Work Group.

The Charter of the WHEJAC states that the advisory committee will provide independent advice and recommendations to the Chair of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) and to the White House Interagency Council on Environmental Justice (IAC). The WHEJAC will provide advice and recommendations about broad cross-cutting issues, related but not limited to, issues of environmental justice and pollution reduction, energy, climate change mitigation and resiliency, environmental health, and racial inequity. The WHEJAC's efforts will include a broad range of strategic, scientific, technological, regulatory,