While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Nominations submitted by State or Tribal Historic Preservation Officers:

**CALIFORNIA**

Sonoma County
Salt Point Landing Historical and Archaeological District, (Northern California Doghole Ports Maritime Cultural Landscape MPS), Address Restricted, Jenner vicinity, MP100007268

**DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

District of Columbia
Lucy Diggs Slove Elementary School, (20th Century African American Civil Rights Sites in Washington, DC, 1912–1974 MPS), 3115 14th St. NE and 1404 Jackson St. NE, Washington, MP100007259

**MARYLAND**

Anne Arundel County
Sands, John, House, 130 Prince George St., Annapolis, SG100007260

**MASSACHUSETTS**

Worcester County
Southborough Center Historic District, Main and Common Sts., Middle, Cordaville, and Latisquama Rds., Southborough, SG100007264

**MISSOURI**

Jackson County
El Torreon Ballroom, 3101 Gillham Plz., Kansas City, SG100007262
Sunset Tower, (Working-Class and Middle-Income Apartment Buildings in Kansas City, Missouri MPS), 4821 Roanoke Pkwy., Kansas City, MP100007263

Jasper County
Cleveland Apartments, (Historic Resources of Joplin, Missouri MPS), 801–807 West 1st St. and 104 North Jackson Ave., Joplin, MP100007261

**PENNSYLVANIA**

Allegheny County
Fairfax Apartments, 4614 5th Ave., Pittsburgh, SG100007257

**WYOMING**

Big Horn County
Shell Community Hall, 201 Smith Ave., Shell, SC100007266

A request for removal has been made for the following resource:

**NORTH DAKOTA**

McLean County
Former McLean County Courthouse, (North Dakota County Courthouses TR), Main St., Washburn, OT85002987

Additional documentation has been received for the following resource:

**ILLINOIS**

Du Page County
Graue Mill, NW of jct. of Spring and York Rds., Oak Brook, AD75002077

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 731–TA–129 (Final)]

Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products From Turkey; Request for Comments Regarding the Institution of a Section 751(b) Review Concerning the Commission's Affirmative Determination


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission invites comments from the public on whether changed circumstances exist sufficient to warrant the institution of a review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) regarding the Commission’s affirmative determination in investigation No. 731–TA–1296 (Final). The purpose of the proposed review is to determine whether revocation of the existing antidumping duty order on imports of hot-rolled steel flat products from Turkey is likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: December 2, 2021.


General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this matter may be viewed on the

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—In September 2016, the Commission determined that a U.S. industry was materially injured by reason of imports of hot-rolled steel flat products from Turkey found by the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (81 FR 66996, Sept. 29, 2016).

On September 10, 2021, the Commission received a request to review its affirmative determination in investigation No. 731–TA–1296 (Final) pursuant to section 751(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)). The request, filed by Eregli Demir ve Celik Fabrikalari T.A.S. (Erdemir), alleges there have been significant changed circumstances since the issuance of the Commission’s 2016 determination. Specifically, Erdemir alleges that Commerce’s recalculation of Colakoglu’s antidumping duty margin to zero percent and its exclusion from the antidumping duty order as a result of judicial review constitute significantly changed circumstances from those in existence at the time of the original investigation because the facts underlying the Commission’s negligibility determination completely changed. According to Erdemir, the exclusion of Colakoglu from the antidumping duty order places this case in pari materia with the injury case in the countervailing duty investigation and provides a compelling basis to find that imports from Turkey subject to the antidumping duty investigation are negligible.

Written comments requested.—Pursuant to section 207.45(b) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, the Commission requests comments concerning whether the alleged changed circumstances, brought about by the aforementioned changes in the imports of hot-rolled steel flat products from Turkey subject to an antidumping duty order, are sufficient to warrant institution of a review.

The Commission further requests comments concerning the degree to which any changed circumstances proceeding concerning hot-rolled steel flat products from Turkey can be conducted in conjunction with the five-year review of the antidumping duty order on the same subject merchandise that Commerce has initiated and the Commission has instituted on September 1, 2021 (86 FR 49057). If the Commission initiates a changed circumstances review, the review is likely to be conducted on an overlapping basis with the five-year review concerning hot-rolled steel flat products from Turkey. Therefore, commenters are encouraged to address the nature of the respective inquiries, the data and other information necessary for the Commission’s evaluation, and procedural considerations for the effective conduct of the reviews.

Written submissions.—Comments must be filed with the Secretary to the Commission by no later than 30 days after publication of this notice or by [XXX]. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of § 201.8 of the Commission’s rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s Handbook on Filing Procedures, available on the Commission’s website at https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf, elaborates upon the Commission’s procedures with respect to filings.

Please note the Secretary’s Office will accept only electronic filings at this time. Filings must be made through the Commission’s Electronic Document Information System (EDIS, https://edis.usitc.gov). No in-person paper-based filings or paper copies of any electronic filings will be accepted until further notice.

Authority: This notice is published pursuant to section 207.45 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 29, 2021.

William Bishop,
Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2021–26222 Filed 12–1–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA–888]

Established Aggregate Production Quotas for Schedule I and II Controlled Substances and Assessment of Annual Needs for the List I Chemicals Ephedrine, Pseudoephedrine, and Phenylpropanolamine for 2022

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration, Department of Justice.

ACTION: Final order.

SUMMARY: This final order establishes the initial 2022 aggregate production quotas for controlled substances in schedules I and II of the Controlled Substances Act and the assessment of annual needs for the list I chemicals ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, and phenylpropanolamine.

DATES: The initial 2022 aggregate production quotas and assessment of annual needs are effective December 2, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Scott A. Brinks, Regulatory Drafting and Policy Support Section, Diversion Control Division, Drug Enforcement Administration; Mailing Address: 8701 Morrissette Drive, Springfield, VA 22152, Telephone: (571) 776–2265.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Legal Authority

Section 306 of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA) (21 U.S.C. 826) requires the Attorney General to establish aggregate production quotas for each basic class of controlled substance listed in schedule I and II and for the list I chemicals ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, and phenylpropanolamine. The Attorney General has delegated this function to the Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100.

II. Background

The 2022 aggregate production quotas (APQ) and assessment of annual needs (AAN) represent those quantities of schedule I and II controlled substances and the list I chemicals ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, and phenylpropanolamine that may be manufactured in the United States in 2022 to provide for the estimated medical, scientific, research, and industrial needs of the United States, lawful export requirements, and the establishment and maintenance of reserve stocks. These quotas include imports of ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, and phenylpropanolamine, but do not include imports of controlled substances for use in industrial processes.

On October 18, 2021, a notice titled “Proposed Aggregate Production Quotas for Schedule I and II Controlled Substances and Assessment of Annual Needs for the List I Chemicals Ephedrine, Pseudoephedrine, and Phenylpropanolamine for 2022” was published in the Federal Register. 86 FR 57690. This notice proposed the 2022 APQ for each basic class of controlled substance listed in schedules I and II and the 2022 AAN for the list I chemicals ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, and phenylpropanolamine. All interested persons were invited to comment on or object to the proposed