

welded OCTG completed in Brunei or the Philippines using inputs manufactured in China, subsequently exported from Brunei or the Philippines to the United States, and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after November 12, 2020, the date of publication of the notice of initiation of these circumvention inquiries.<sup>6</sup> The suspension of liquidation will remain in effect until further notice. As we explained in the *Preliminary Determinations*,<sup>7</sup> Commerce will instruct CBP to require antidumping duty cash deposits equal to the rate established for the China-wide entity, *i.e.*, 99.14 percent,<sup>8</sup> and countervailing duty cash deposits equal to the current all-others rate, *i.e.*, 27.08 percent.<sup>9</sup>

Welded OCTG assembled or completed in Brunei or the Philippines using non-Chinese inputs is not subject to these circumvention inquiries. However, because the mandatory respondents are unable to track welded OCTG to the country of origin of inputs used in the production of welded OCTG,<sup>10</sup> Commerce did not implement a certification process at the preliminary stage and required cash deposits on all entries of welded OCTG produced in Brunei or the Philippines.<sup>11</sup> We invited parties to comment on this issue in their case briefs and we received comments from the mandatory respondents on this issue. For the final determinations, we will not implement a certification process for welded OCTG already suspended,<sup>12</sup> and we will require cash deposits on all entries of welded OCTG produced in either Brunei or the Philippines, with a slight modification from the *Preliminary Determinations*.<sup>13</sup> However, producers and/or exporters in Brunei or the Philippines may request reconsideration of our denial of the

<sup>6</sup> See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 5; see also *Oil Country Tubular Goods from the People's Republic of China: Self-Initiation of Anti-Circumvention Inquiries on the Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 85 FR 71877 (November 12, 2020).

<sup>7</sup> See *Preliminary Determinations*, 86 FR 43628.

<sup>8</sup> See *Oil Country Tubular Goods from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018*, 84 FR 32125, 32126 (July 5, 2019).

<sup>9</sup> See *Oil Country Tubular Goods from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Amended Final Determination of the Countervailing Duty Investigation*, 82 FR 25770 (June 5, 2017).

<sup>10</sup> See, *e.g.*, HLDS (B) Steel Sdn. Bhd.'s Letter, "HLDSB Initial Questionnaire Response," dated March 16, 2021 at 25; and HLD Clark Steel Pipe Co., Inc.'s Letter, "HLD Clark Initial Questionnaire Response," dated March 16, 2021 at 26.

<sup>11</sup> See *Preliminary Determinations*, 86 FR 43628.

<sup>12</sup> See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 4.

<sup>13</sup> *Id.* at Comment 5.

certification process in a future segment of the proceeding, *i.e.*, a changed circumstances review or administrative review.<sup>14</sup>

#### Administrative Protective Order

This notice will serve as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction or APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

These final affirmative determinations of circumvention are issued and published in accordance with section 781(b) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.225(f).

Dated: November 19, 2021.

#### Ryan Majerus,

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Orders
- IV. Scope of the Circumvention Inquiries
- V. The Period of Inquiries
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
  - Comment 1: Production of Hot-Rolled Steel vs. Production of OCTG
  - Comment 2: Production Processes
  - Comment 3: Appropriateness of Finding Circumvention
  - Comment 4: Certification Eligibility
  - Comment 5: Effective Date of Suspension of Liquidation
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021–25832 Filed 11–24–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

<sup>14</sup> See, *e.g.*, *Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Anti-Circumvention Inquiry*, 85 FR 9737, 9739 (February 20, 2020) ("However, Protech may request reconsideration of our denial of the certification process in a future segment of the proceeding, *i.e.*, a changed circumstances review or administrative review."); see also *Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 85 FR 86905 (December 31, 2020) ("... Protech is eligible to participate in a certification process because Protech has demonstrated that it can identify diamond sawblades that it produced in Canada using non-Chinese cores and Chinese segments.").

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–201–842]

#### Large Residential Washers From Mexico: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that sales of large residential washers from Mexico were made at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) February 1, 2019, through January 31, 2020.

**DATES:** Applicable November 24, 2021 November 26, 2021.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elizabeth Eastwood, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3874.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

This review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Electrolux Home Products Corp. N.V. and Electrolux Home Products de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (collectively, Electrolux). On June 28, 2021, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*.<sup>1</sup> On July 28, 2021, we received a case brief on behalf of Electrolux.<sup>2</sup> On August 4, 2021, we received a rebuttal brief on behalf of Whirlpool Corporation (the petitioner).<sup>3</sup>

##### Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are all large residential washers and certain subassemblies thereof from Mexico. The products are currently classifiable under subheadings 8450.20.0040 and 8450.20.0080 of the Harmonized Tariff System of the United States (HTSUS). Products subject to this order may also enter under HTSUS subheadings 8450.11.0040, 8450.11.0080, 8450.90.2000, and 8450.90.6000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the

<sup>1</sup> See *Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020*, 86 FR 33986 (June 28, 2021) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

<sup>2</sup> See Electrolux's Letter, "Case Brief of Electrolux," dated August 4, 2021.

<sup>3</sup> See Petitioner's Letter, "Brief of Whirlpool Corporation," dated August 4, 2021.

merchandise subject to this scope is dispositive.<sup>4</sup>

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are listed in the Appendix to this notice and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>5</sup> Interested parties can find a complete discussion of these issues and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file electronically via Enforcement and

Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

**Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary*

*Results*, we made certain changes to the preliminary weighted-average margin for Electrolux.<sup>6</sup>

**Final Results of the Review**

As a result of this review, we determine the following weighted-average dumping margin for the period February 1, 2019, through January 31, 2020.

Producers/exporters	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Electrolux Home Products Corp. N.V. and Electrolux Home Products de Mexico, S.A. de C.V .....	2.06

**Disclosure of Calculations**

We intend to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these final results to interested parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

**Assessment Rates**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Electrolux reported the entered value of its U.S. sales such that we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. Where the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Commerce’s “automatic assessment” practice will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Electrolux for which the company did not know that the merchandise it sold to the intermediary (*e.g.*, a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was

destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.<sup>7</sup>

Commerce intends to issue liquidation instructions to CBP no earlier than 41 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Electrolux will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin that is established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not participating in this review, the cash deposit will continue to be the

company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the cash deposit rate established for the most recently completed segment for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 36.52 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.<sup>8</sup> These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

**Administrative Protective Order**

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which

<sup>4</sup> For a full description of the scope of the *order*, see *Preliminary Results* PDM at 2–4.

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2019–2020 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Large Residential Washers from

Mexico,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>6</sup> See Issues and Decision Memorandum at 8.

<sup>7</sup> For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings:*

*Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

<sup>8</sup> See *Large Residential Washers from Mexico and the Republic of Korea: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 78 FR 11148 (February 15, 2013).

continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

**Notification to Interested Parties**

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 19, 2021.

**Ryan Majerus,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, Performing The Non-Exclusive Functions And Duties Of The Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

**Appendix**

**List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum**

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Margin Calculations
- IV. Discussion of the Issues
  - Comment 1: Differential Pricing—Calculating the Denominator of the Cohen’s *d* Test
  - Comment 2: Differential Pricing—Application of the Cohen’s *d* Test
  - Comment 3: Ministerial Error in the Margin Program
- V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021–25773 Filed 11–24–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A–201–842]

**Large Residential Washers From Mexico: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that sales of large residential washers from Mexico were made at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) February 1, 2019, through January 31, 2020.

**DATES:** Applicable November 26, 2021.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elizabeth Eastwood, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3874.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

This review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Electrolux Home Products Corp. N.V. and Electrolux Home Products de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (collectively, Electrolux). On June 28, 2021, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*.<sup>1</sup> On July 28, 2021, we received a case brief on behalf of Electrolux.<sup>2</sup> On August 4, 2021, we received a rebuttal brief on behalf of Whirlpool Corporation (the petitioner).<sup>3</sup>

**Scope of the Order**

The products covered by the order are all large residential washers and certain subassemblies thereof from Mexico. The products are currently classifiable under subheadings 8450.20.0040 and 8450.20.0080 of the Harmonized Tariff System of the United States (HTSUS). Products subject to this order may also enter under HTSUS subheadings 8450.11.0040, 8450.11.0080, 8450.90.2000, and 8450.90.6000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to this scope is dispositive.<sup>4</sup>

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are listed in the Appendix to this notice and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>5</sup> Interested parties can find a complete discussion of these issues and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

<sup>1</sup> See *Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020*, 86 FR 33986 (June 28, 2021) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

<sup>2</sup> See Electrolux’s Letter, “Case Brief of Electrolux,” dated August 4, 2021.

<sup>3</sup> See Petitioner’s Letter, “Brief of Whirlpool Corporation,” dated August 4, 2021.

<sup>4</sup> For a full description of the scope of the order, see *Preliminary Results* PDM at 2–4.

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2019–2020 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Large Residential Washers from Mexico,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

**Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we made certain changes to the preliminary weighted-average margin for Electrolux.<sup>6</sup>

**Final Results of the Review**

As a result of this review, we determine the following weighted-average dumping margin for the period February 1, 2019, through January 31, 2020.

Producers/exporters	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Electrolux Home Products Corp. N.V. and Electrolux Home Products de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. ....	2.06

**Disclosure of Calculations**

We intend to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these final results to interested parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

**Assessment Rates**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Electrolux reported the entered value of its U.S. sales such that we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. Where the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Commerce’s “automatic assessment” practice will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Electrolux for which the company did not know that the merchandise it sold to the intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to

<sup>6</sup> See Issues and Decision Memorandum at 8.