

Fourth, in accordance with Part 756 of the Regulations, Fleischer may file an appeal of this Order with the Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of Part 756 of the Regulations.

Fifth, a copy of this Order shall be delivered to Fleischer and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Sixth, this Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until August 4, 2024.

John Sonderman,

Director, Office of Export Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 2021-24805 Filed 11-12-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

In the Matter of: Si Mong Park, 10386 East Painted Turtle Lane; Tucson, AZ 85747; Order Denying Export Privileges

On September 14, 2020, in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, Si Mong Park (“Park”) was convicted of violating Section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2778) (“AECA”). Specifically, Park was convicted of knowingly and willfully exporting and causing to be exported from the United States to South Korea, defense articles, that is, technical data related to launch vehicles, guided missiles, ballistic missiles, rockets, torpedoes, bombs and mines, and technical data related to enumerated aircraft and aircraft related articles, which are all designated as defense articles on the United States Munitions List, without having first obtained from the Department of State a license for such export or written authorization for such export. Park was sentenced to 21 months in prison, 36 months of supervised release and a \$100 assessment.

Pursuant to Section 1760(e) of the Export Control Reform Act (“ECRA”),¹ the export privileges of any person who has been convicted of certain offenses, including, but not limited to, Section 38 of the AECA, may be denied for a period of up to ten (10) years from the date of his/her conviction. 50 U.S.C. 4819(e) (Prior Convictions). In addition, any Bureau of Industry and Security (“BIS”)

licenses or other authorizations issued under ECRA, in which the person had an interest at the time of the conviction, may be revoked. *Id.*

BIS received notice of Park’s conviction for violating Section 38 of the AECA, and has provided notice and opportunity for Park to make a written submission to BIS, as provided in Section 766.25 of the Export Administration Regulations (“EAR” or the “Regulations”). 15 CFR 766.25.² BIS has not received a written submission from Park.

Based upon my review of the record and consultations with BIS’s Office of Exporter Services, including its Director, and the facts available to BIS, I have decided to deny Park’s export privileges under the Regulations for a period of seven years from the date of Park’s conviction. The Office of Exporter Services has also decided to revoke any BIS-issued licenses in which Park had an interest at the time of his conviction.³

Accordingly, it is hereby *ordered*:

First, from the date of this Order until September 14, 2027, Si Mong Park, with a last known address of 10386 East Painted Turtle Lane, Tucson, AZ 85747, and when acting for or on his behalf, his successors, assigns, employees, agents or representatives (“the Denied Person”), may not directly or indirectly participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as “item”) exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, license exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or engaging in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or

C. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or

from any other activity subject to the Regulations.

Second, no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export, reexport, or transfer (in-country) to or on behalf of the Denied Person any item subject to the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, pursuant to Section 1760(e) of the Export Control Reform Act (50 U.S.C. 4819(e)) and Sections 766.23 and 766.25 of the Regulations, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Park by ownership, control, position of responsibility, affiliation, or other connection in the conduct of trade or business may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order in order to prevent evasion of this Order.

Fourth, in accordance with Part 756 of the Regulations, Park may file an appeal of this Order with the Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of Part 756 of the Regulations.

Fifth, a copy of this Order shall be delivered to Park and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

¹ ECRA was enacted as part of the John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019, and as amended is codified at 50 U.S.C. 4801–4852. Park’s conviction post-dates ECRA’s enactment on August 13, 2018.

² The Regulations are currently codified in the Code of Federal Regulations at 15 CFR Parts 730–774 (2021).

³ The Director, Office of Export Enforcement, is now the authorizing official for issuance of denial orders, pursuant to recent amendments to the Regulations (85 FR 73411, November 18, 2020).

Sixth, this Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until September 14, 2027.

John Sonderman,

Director, Office of Export Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 2021-24806 Filed 11-12-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-489-806]

Certain Pasta From the Republic of Turkey: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Bessan Makarna Gida San. Ve Tic. A.S. (Bessan), a producer/exporter of certain pasta from the Republic of Turkey (Turkey) did not receive countervailable subsidies during the period of review (POR), January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019.

DATES: Applicable November 15, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brontee Jeffries or Theodore Pearson, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4656 or (202) 482-2631, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 3, 2021, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* and invited parties to comment.¹ No interested party submitted comments. Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order²

The merchandise covered by the order is pasta from Turkey. For a complete description of the scope, see the *Preliminary Results*.³

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

As no party submitted comments on the *Preliminary Results*, we made no

¹ See *Certain Pasta from the Republic of Turkey: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2019*, 86 FR 41816 (August 3, 2021) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

² See *Preliminary Results* PDM.

³ See *Preliminary Results* PDM.

changes in the final results of this review.

Final Results of the Administrative Review

In accordance with section 777A(e)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5), we determine that Bessan did not receive countervailable subsidies during the POR:

| Company | Subsidy rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i>) |
|--|---|
| Bessan Makarna Gida San. Ve Tic. A.S. | 0.00 |

Assessment Rates

Commerce shall determine, and CBP shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2). Because we determined that Bessan did not receive countervailable subsidies in the final results of this review, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to countervailing duties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2) and 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1).

Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of this publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, Commerce also intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the appropriate rates. For shipments of subject merchandise by Bessan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of these final results, the cash deposit rate will be zero. For all non-reviewed firms, CBP will continue to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative

protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

The final results of this review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: November 8, 2021.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, Performing the Non-Exclusive Functions and Duties of The Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2021-24857 Filed 11-12-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-832]

Pure Magnesium From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020-2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting the administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on pure magnesium from the People's Republic of China (China). The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2020, through April 30, 2021. Commerce preliminarily determines that Tianjin Magnesium International Co., Ltd. (TMI) and Tianjin Magnesium Metal Co., Ltd. (TMM) (collectively, TMI/TMM) did not have any shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable November 15, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah Cohen, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4521.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: