

carry a greater proportion of the risk because they supply most of the production inputs. Further, these commenters asserted that vertically integrated processors are in a better position than growers to assume most of the risk because those processors can operate on a more efficient scale than growers.

According to the comment from an association of chicken production and processing companies, GIPSA's regulatory impact analysis projected decreased certainty for regulated entities and increased risk of litigation due to the proposed rule. This commenter suggested the regulation should instead increase certainty for regulated entities and decrease risk of wasteful litigation.

Some commenters maintained that the provisions of the proposed rule would establish an "unprecedented level of government intervention" that would have negative ramifications for the industry and consumers. Others insisted that the rule contradicted the Packers and Stockyards Act's provisions and intent,² exceeded the Congressional mandate of the 2008 Farm Bill,³ and/or conflicted with court precedence with respect to competitive harm.

A comment from a federation of turkey producers opposed the proposed rule. The commenter asserted that the proposed rule failed to recognize important distinctions between broiler chicken and turkey production in matters such as breeder diversity, production cycle length, gender segregation, and farm and facility size. The commenter said proposed requirements intended to address broiler production issues would not always be applicable to turkey production models and could prove to be injurious to the turkey industry. The commenter recommended that USDA rescind the proposed rule and pay significant attention to the effects on turkey production in future rulemaking attempts.

Several commenters, although purportedly responding to the proposed rule, submitted comments that were outside the scope of this particular rulemaking. For example, commenters

² Some commenters asserted that the Act protects individual growers from the effects of competitive harm, while other argued that a violation of Section 202(a) or (b) has not occurred unless there is harm to multiple individuals in the market. One commenter argued that the Act provides clear authority to USDA to clarify terms and interpret the Act's intent.

³ Provisions of Title XI of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (2008 Farm Bill; Pub. L. 110-234) require the Secretary of Agriculture to establish criteria to consider when determining whether the Packers and Stockyards Act has been violated.

offered suggestions about alternative contract production and pay methods the industry could adopt or discussed issues related to cattle production and marketing. Several commenters criticized GIPSA for disregarding public input about systematic abuses suffered by contract poultry growers. According to commenters, such abuses were described by participants in a May 2010 USDA/Department of Justice-sponsored workshop held to better understand industry concerns. Other commenters addressed provisions of the two other rules GIPSA published on December 20, 2016, including the previously mentioned "Scope of Sections 202(a) and (b) of the Packers and Stockyards Act," and the proposed rule titled "Unfair Practices and Undue Preferences in Violation of the Packers and Stockyards Act" (81 FR 92703).

AMS values the input of all commenters. AMS finds that many of the comments on the proposed rule—both supportive and opposed—identified reasonable concerns regarding the proposed regulation's structure and language. These concerns included uncertainties about USDA's method for applying criteria and vague criteria language. AMS recognizes that differences in broiler and turkey production systems need fair consideration. Moreover, the proposed rule may not have adequately addressed information imbalances between contracting parties. In light of these comments, AMS prefers to reexamine regulatory requirements, specific potential violations, general criteria, and recordkeeping aspects, as well as the structure, of a rule regarding poultry production contracts.

Because of the breadth of this reexamination, AMS concludes that this proposed rulemaking is unable to address many of the commenters' concerns without material changes. AMS intends to consider further the issues raised by the commenters, as well as study any developments since publication of the proposed rule. Following those activities, we plan to issue and solicit comments on a new regulatory proposal pertaining to poultry grower ranking systems. Therefore, we are withdrawing the December 2016 proposed rule.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 181–229c.

Erin Morris,

Associate Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 2021-23945 Filed 11-3-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA–2021–0924; Airspace Docket No. 21–ANM–48]

RIN 2120–AA66

Proposed Establishment of Class E airspace; Monticello Airport, UT

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This action proposes to establish Class E airspace at Monticello Airport, Monticello, UT. The establishment of airspace supports the airport's transition from visual flight rules to instrument flight rule (IFR) operations. This action would ensure the safety and management of IFR operations at the airport.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 20, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on this proposal to the U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, Washington, DC 20590; telephone: 1-800-647-5527, or (202) 366-9826. You must identify FAA Docket No. FAA–2021–0924; Airspace Docket No. 21–ANM–48, at the beginning of your comments. You may also submit comments through the internet at <https://www.regulations.gov>.

FAA Order JO 7400.11F, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, and subsequent amendments can be viewed online at https://www.faa.gov/air_traffic/publications/. For further information, you can contact the Airspace Policy Group, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267-8783. FAA Order JO 7400.11 is also available for inspection at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of FAA Order JO 7400.11F at NARA, email fr.inspection@nara.gov or go to <https://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Matthew Van Der Wal, Federal Aviation Administration, Western Service Center, Operations Support Group, 2200 S 216th Street, Des Moines, WA 98198; telephone (206) 231-3695.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority for This Rulemaking

The FAA's authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency's authority. This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority, as it would establish Class E airspace at Monticello Airport, Monticello, UT, to support IFR operations at the airport.

Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments, as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal. Communications should identify both docket numbers and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Persons wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. FAA-2021-0924; Airspace Docket No. 21-ANM-48". The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter.

All communications received before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

Availability of NPRMs

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded through the internet at <https://www.regulations.gov>. Recently published rulemaking documents can also be accessed through the FAA's web page at [https://](https://www.faa.gov/air_traffic/publications/airspace_amendments/)

www.faa.gov/air_traffic/publications/airspace_amendments/.

You may review the public docket containing the proposal, any comments received, and any final disposition in person in the Dockets Office (see the **ADDRESSES** section for the address and phone number) between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays. An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours at the Northwest Mountain Regional Office of the Federal Aviation Administration, Air Traffic Organization, Western Service Center, Operations Support Group, 2200 S 216th Street, Des Moines, WA 98198.

Availability and Summary of Documents for Incorporation by Reference

This document proposes to amend FAA Order JO 7400.11F, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 10, 2021, and effective September 15, 2021. FAA Order JO 7400.11F is publicly available as listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document. FAA Order JO 7400.11F lists Class A, B, C, D, and E airspace areas, air traffic service routes, and reporting points.

The Proposal

The FAA is proposing an amendment to 14 CFR part 71 by establishing Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface at Monticello Airport, Monticello, UT. This airspace is designed to contain the new Area Navigation (RNAV) approaches into the airport and the instrument departures from the airport. The airspace supports the airport's transition from visual flight rules to IFR operations.

Class E5 airspace designations are published in paragraphs 6005 of FAA Order JO 7400.11F, dated August 10, 2021, and effective September 15, 2021, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in FAA Order JO 7400.11.

FAA Order JO 7400.11, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, is published yearly and effective on September 15.

Regulatory Notices and Analyses

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current, is non-controversial, and unlikely to result in adverse or negative comments. It, therefore: (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under

Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Environmental Review

This proposal will be subject to an environmental analysis in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, "Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures" prior to any FAA final regulatory action.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order JO 7400.11F, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 10, 2021, and effective September 15, 2021, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E Airspace Areas Extending Upward From 700 Feet or More Above the Surface of the Earth.

* * * * *

ANM UT E5 Monticello, UT [New]

Monticello Airport, UT
(Lat. 37°55'57" N, long. 109°20'28" W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.5-mile radius of the airport.

Issued in Des Moines, Washington, on October 28, 2021.

B.G. Chew,

Acting Group Manager, Operations Support Group, Western Service Center.

[FR Doc. 2021-23967 Filed 11-3-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2021-0925; Airspace Docket No. 21-ANM-49]

RIN 2120-AA66

Proposed Establishment of Class E Airspace; Joseph State Airport, OR

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This action proposes to establish Class E airspace at Joseph State Airport, Joseph, OR. The establishment of airspace supports the airport's transition from visual flight rules to instrument flight rule (IFR) operations. This action would ensure the safety and management of IFR operations at the airport.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 20, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on this proposal to the U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, Washington, DC 20590; telephone: 1-800-647-5527, or (202) 366-9826. You must identify FAA Docket No. FAA-2021-0925; Airspace Docket No. 21-ANM-49, at the beginning of your comments. You may also submit comments through the internet at <https://www.regulations.gov>.

FAA Order JO 7400.11F, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, and subsequent amendments can be viewed online at https://www.faa.gov/air_traffic/publications/. For further information, you can contact the Airspace Policy Group, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267-8783. FAA Order JO 7400.11F is also available for inspection at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of FAA Order JO 7400.11F at NARA, email fr.inspection@nara.gov or go to <https://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Matthew Van Der Wal, Federal Aviation Administration, Western Service Center, Operations Support Group, 2200 S 216th Street, Des Moines, WA 98198; telephone (206) 231-3695.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority for This Rulemaking

The FAA's authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency's authority. This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority, as it would establish Class E airspace at Joseph State Airport, Joseph, OR, to support IFR operations at the airport.

Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments, as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal. Communications should identify both docket numbers and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Persons wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. FAA-2021-0925; Airspace Docket No. 21-ANM-49". The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter.

All communications received before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

Availability of NPRMs

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded through the internet at <https://www.regulations.gov>. Recently published rulemaking documents can also be accessed through the FAA's web page at https://www.faa.gov/air_traffic/publications/airspace_amendments/.

You may review the public docket containing the proposal, any comments received, and any final disposition in person in the Dockets Office (see the **ADDRESSES** section for the address and phone number) between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays. An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours at the Northwest Mountain Regional Office of the Federal Aviation Administration, Air Traffic Organization, Western Service Center, Operations Support Group, 2200 S 216th Street, Des Moines, WA 98198.

Availability and Summary of Documents for Incorporation by Reference

This document proposes to amend FAA Order JO 7400.11F, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 10, 2021, and effective September 15, 2021. FAA Order JO 7400.11F is publicly available as listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document. FAA Order JO 7400.11F lists Class A, B, C, D, and E airspace areas, air traffic service routes, and reporting points.

The Proposal

The FAA is proposing an amendment to 14 CFR part 71 by establishing Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface at Joseph State Airport, Joseph, OR. This airspace is designed to contain the new Area Navigation (RNAV) approach into the airport and the instrument departure from the airport. The airspace supports the airport's transition from visual flight rules to IFR operations.

Class E5 airspace designations are published in paragraphs 6005 of FAA Order JO 7400.11F, dated August 10, 2021, and effective September 15, 2021, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in FAA Order JO 7400.11.

FAA Order JO 7400.11, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, is published yearly and effective on September 15.

Regulatory Notices and Analyses

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established