Rules and Regulations

Federal Register Vol. 86, No. 205 Wednesday, October 27, 2021

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents.

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

12 CFR Part 365

RIN 3064-AF72

Real Estate Lending Standards

AGENCY: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The FDIC is issuing a final rule to amend Interagency Guidelines for Real Estate Lending Policies (Real Estate Lending Standards). The purpose of the final rule is to incorporate consideration of the community bank leverage ratio (CBLR) rule, which does not require electing institutions to calculate tier 2 capital or total capital, into the Real Estate Lending Standards. The final rule allows a consistent approach for calculating the ratio of loans in excess of the supervisory loanto-value limits (LTV Limits) at all FDICsupervised institutions, using a methodology that approximates the historical methodology the FDIC has followed for calculating this measurement without requiring institutions to calculate tier 2 capital. The final rule also avoids any regulatory burden that could arise if an FDICsupervised institution subsequently decides to switch between different capital frameworks.

DATES: The final rule is effective on November 26, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alicia R. Marks, Examination Specialist, Division of Risk Management and Supervision, (202) 898–6660, *AMarks® FDIC.gov;* Navid K. Choudhury, Counsel, (202) 898–6526, or Catherine S. Wood, Counsel, (202) 898–3788, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20429. For the hearing impaired only, TDD users may contact (202) 925–4618. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

I. Policy Objectives

The policy objective of the final rule is to provide consistent calculations of the ratios of loans in excess of the supervisory LTV Limits between banking organizations that elect, and those that do not elect, to adopt the CBLR framework, while not including capital ratios that some institutions are not required to compute or report. The final rule amends the Real Estate Lending Standards set forth in appendix A of 12 CFR part 365.

Section 201 of the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief, and Consumer Protection Act (EGRRCPA) directs the FDIC, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB), and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) (collectively, the agencies) to develop a community bank leverage ratio for qualifying community banking organizations. The CBLR framework is intended to simplify regulatory capital requirements and provide material regulatory compliance burden relief to the qualifying community banking organizations that opt into it. In particular, banking organizations that opt into the CBLR framework do not have to calculate the metrics associated with the applicable risk-based capital requirements in the agencies' capital rules (generally applicable rule), including total capital.

The Real Estate Lending Standards set forth in appendix A of 12 CFR part 365, as they apply to FDIC-supervised banks, contain a tier 1 capital threshold for institutions electing to adopt the CBLR and a total capital threshold for other banks. As described in more detail below in Section III, the final rule provides a consistent treatment for all FDIC-supervised banks without requiring the computation of total capital.

II. Background

The Real Estate Lending Standards, which were issued pursuant to section 304 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991, 12 U.S.C. 1828(o), prescribe standards for real estate lending to be used by FDIC-supervised institutions in adopting internal real estate lending policies. Section 201 of the EGRRCPA amended provisions in the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act relative to the capital rules administered by the agencies. The

CBLR rule was issued by the agencies to implement section 201 of the EGRRCPA, and it provides a simple measure of capital adequacy for community banking organizations that meet certain qualifying criteria.¹ Qualifying community banking organizations² that elect to use the CBLR framework (Electing CBOs) may calculate their CBLR without calculating tier 2 capital, and are therefore not required to calculate or report tier 2 capital or total capital.³ As described in more detail below, the FDIC proposed a revision to the Real Estate Lending Standards to allow a consistent approach for calculating loans in excess of the supervisory LTV Limits without having to calculate tier 2 or total capital as currently provided in part 365 and its appendix.

The final rule ensures that the FDIC's regulation regarding supervisory LTV Limits is consistent with how examiners are calculating credit concentrations, as provided by a statement issued by the agencies on March 30, 2020. The statement provided that the agencies' examiners will use tier 1 capital plus the appropriate allowance for credit losses as the denominator when calculating credit concentrations.⁴

III. Proposal

On June 25, 2021, the FDIC published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPR or proposal) to amend part 365 in response to changes in the type of capital information available after the implementation of the CBLR rule.⁵ The FDIC proposed to amend the Real Estate Lending Standards so that all FDICsupervised institutions, both Electing CBOs and other insured financial institutions, would calculate the ratio of loans in excess of the supervisory LTV Limits using tier 1 capital plus the

¹85 FR 64003 (Oct. 9, 2020).

² The FDIC's CBLR rule defines qualifying community banking organizations as "an FDICsupervised institution that is not an advanced approaches FDIC-supervised institution" with less than \$10 billion in total consolidated assets that meet other qualifying criteria, including a leverage ratio (equal to tier 1 capital divided by average total consolidated assets) of greater than 9 percent. 12 CFR 324.12(a)(2).

³Total capital is defined as the sum of tier 1 capital and tier 2 capital. *See* 12 CFR 324.2. ⁴ See the Joint Statement on Adjustment to the Calculation for Credit Concentration Ratios (FIL– 31–2020).

586 FR 33570 (June 25, 2021).

appropriate allowance for credit losses ⁶ in the denominator. The proposed amendment would provide a consistent approach for calculating the ratio of loans in excess of the supervisory LTV Limits for all FDIC-supervised institutions. The proposed amendment would also approximate the historical methodology specified in the Real Estate Lending Standards for calculating the loans in excess of the supervisory LTV Limits without creating any regulatory burden for Electing CBOs and other banking organizations.⁷ Further, the FDIC noted in the proposal that this approach would provide regulatory clarity and avoid any regulatory burden that could arise if Electing CBOs subsequently decide to switch between the CBLR framework and the generally applicable capital rules. The FDIC proposed to amend the Real Estate Lending Standards only relative to the calculation of loans in excess of the supervisory LTV Limits due to the change in the type of capital information that will be available, and did not consider any revisions to other sections of the Real Estate Lending Standards. Additionally, due to a publishing error, which excluded the third paragraph in this section in the Code of Federal Regulations in prior versions, the FDIC included the complete text of the section on loans in excess of the supervisory loan-to-value limits.

IV. Comments

The FDIC received only one comment on the proposal. The commenter, a trade organization, commended the FDIC for proposing this amendment to the calculation of supervisory LTV ratios as a sensible way to help provide uniform application of the measurement of the safety and soundness of all community banking organization on a consistent

⁷ The proposed amendment approximates the historical methodology in the sense that both the proposed and historical approach for calculating the ratio of loans in excess of the LTV Limits involve adding a measure of loss absorbing capacity to tier 1 capital, and an institution's ALLL (or ACL) is a component of tier 2 capital. Under the agencies capital rules, an institution's entire amount of ALLL or ACL could be included in its tier 2 capital, depending on the amount of its risk-weighted assets base. Based on December 31, 2019, Call Report data-the last Call Report date prior to the introduction of the CBLR framework—96.0 percent of FDIC-supervised institutions reported that their entire ALLL or ACL was included in their tier 2 capital, and 50.5 percent reported that their tier 2 capital was entirely composed of their ALLL.

basis, and it noted that such consistency will allow community banking organizations to be assessed more effectively regardless of their decision to elect the CBLR for regulatory capital reporting.

V. The Final Rule

For the reasons stated herein and in the NPR, the FDIC is adopting the proposal without change.

VI. Expected Effects

As of March 31, 2021, the FDIC supervises 3,215 insured depository institutions. The revisions to the Real Estate Lending Standards apply to all FDIC-supervised institutions. The effect of the revisions at an individual bank would depend on whether the amount of its current or future real estate loans with loan-to-value ratios that exceed the supervisory LTV thresholds is greater than, or less than, the sum of its tier 1 capital and allowance (or credit reserve in the case of CECL adopters) for loan and lease losses. Allowance levels, credit reserves, and the volume of real estate loans and their loan to value ratios can vary considerably over time. Moreover, the FDIC does not have comprehensive information about the distribution of current loan to value ratios. For these reasons, it is not possible to identify how many institutions have real estate loans that exceed the supervisory LTV thresholds that would be directly implicated by either the current Real Estate Lending Standards or the revisions.

Currently, 3,055 FDIC supervised institutions have total real estate loans that exceed the tier 1 capital plus allowance or reserve benchmark adopted in this final rule, and are thus potentially affected by these revisions depending on the distribution of their loan to value ratios. In comparison, 3,063 FDIC supervised institutions have total real estate loans exceeding the current total capital benchmark and are thus potentially affected by the current Real Estate Lending Standards. As described in more detail below, the population of banks potentially subject to the Real Estate Lending Standards is therefore almost unchanged by these revisions, and their substantive effects are likely to be minimal.⁸

The FDIC believes that a threshold of "tier 1 capital plus an allowance for credit losses" is consistent with the way the FDIC and institutions historically have applied the Real Estate Lending Standards. Also, the typical (or median) FDIC-supervised institution that had not elected the CBLR framework reported almost no difference between the amount of its allowance for credit losses and its tier 2 capital.⁹ Consequently, although the FDIC does not have information about the amount of real estate loans at each institution that currently exceeds, or could exceed, the supervisory LTV limits, the FDIC does not expect the final rule to have material effects on the safety-and-soundness of, or compliance costs incurred by, FDICsupervised institutions.

VII. Alternatives

The FDIC considered two alternatives; however, it believes that none are preferable to the final rule. The alternatives are discussed below.

First, the FDIC considered making no change to its Real Estate Lending Standards. The FDIC is not in favor of this approach because the FDIC does not favor an approach in which some banks use a tier 1 capital threshold and other banks use a total capital threshold, and because the existing provision could be confusing for institutions.

Second, the FDIC considered revising its Real Estate Lending Standards so that both Electing CBOs and other institutions would use tier 1 capital in place of total capital for the purpose of calculating the supervisory LTV Limits. While this would subject both Electing CBOs and other institutions to the same approach, because the amount of tier 1 capital at an institution is typically less than the amount of total capital, this alternative would result in a relative tightening of the supervisory standards with respect to loans made in excess of the supervisory LTV Limits. The FDIC believes that the general level of the current supervisory LTV Limits, which are retained by this final rule, is appropriately reflective of the safety and soundness risk of depository institutions, and therefore the FDIC does not consider this alternative preferable to the final rule.

VIII. Regulatory Analysis

A. Effective Date

In the proposal, the FDIC proposed to make all provisions of the final rule effective upon publication in the **Federal Register**. The FDIC noted that the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) allows for an effective date of less than 30 days after publication "as otherwise provided by the agency for good cause found and published with the rule." ¹⁰

⁶ Banking organizations that have not adopted the current expected credit losses (CECL) methodology will use tier 1 capital plus the allowance for loan and lease losses (ALLL) as the denominator. Banking organizations that have adopted the CECL methodology will use tier 1 capital plus the portion of the allowance for credit losses (ACL) attributable to loans and leases.

⁸ March 31, 2021, Call Report data.

⁹ According to March 31, 2021, Call Report data, the median FDIC-supervised institution that had not elected the CBLR framework reported an allowance for credit losses (or allowance for loan and lease losses if applicable) that was \$3,000 (or about 0.45 percent) greater than tier 2 capital. ¹⁰ 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3).

The purpose of the 30-day waiting period prescribed in APA section 553(d)(3) is to give affected parties a reasonable time to adjust their behavior and prepare before the final rule takes effect. The FDIC believed that this waiting period would be unnecessary as the proposed rule, if codified, would likely lift burdens on FDIC-supervised institutions by allowing them to calculate the ratio of loans in excess of the supervisory LTV Limits without calculating tier 2 capital, and would also ensure that the approach is consistent, regardless of the institutions' CBLR election status. Consequently, the FDIC believed it would have good cause for the final rule to become effective upon publication.

The FDIC did not receive any comment on whether good cause exists to waive the delayed effective date of the rule once finalized. However, because it is not possible to identify how many institutions have real estate loans that exceed the supervisory LTV thresholds that would be directly implicated by either the current Real Estate Lending Standards or the revisions, the FDIC, after further consideration, has determined to implement a 30-day delayed effective date as provided in the APA. Accordingly, all provisions of the final rule will be effective 30 days after publication in the Federal Register.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) generally requires that, in connection with a final rule, an agency prepare and make available for public comment a final regulatory flexibility analysis that describes the impact of the rule on small entities.¹¹ However, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required if the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, and publishes its certification and a short explanatory statement in the Federal Register together with the rule. The Small Business Administration (SBA) has defined "small entities" to include banking organizations with total assets of less than or equal to \$600 million.¹² Generally, the FDIC considers

a significant effect to be a quantified effect in excess of 5 percent of total annual salaries and benefits per institution, or 2.5 percent of total noninterest expenses. The FDIC believes that effects in excess of these thresholds typically represent significant effects for FDIC-supervised institutions. For the reasons provided below, the FDIC certifies that the final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small banking organizations. Accordingly, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required.

As of March 31, 2021, the FDIC supervised 3,215 institutions, of which 2,333 were "small entities" for purposes of the RFA.13 The effect of the revisions at an individual bank would depend on whether the amount of its current or future real estate loans with loan-tovalue ratios that exceed the supervisory LTV thresholds is greater than, or less than, the sum of its tier 1 capital and allowance (or credit reserve in the case of CECL adopters) for loan and lease losses. Allowance levels, credit reserves, and the volume of real estate loans and their loan to value ratios can vary considerably over time. Moreover, the FDIC does not have comprehensive information about the distribution of current loan to value ratios. For these reasons, it is not possible to identify how many institutions have real estate loans that exceed the supervisory LTV thresholds that would be directly implicated by either the current Guidelines or the final revisions.

Currently, 2,210 small, FDIC supervised institutions have total real estate loans that exceed the tier 1 capital plus allowance or reserve benchmark in the revisions and are thus potentially affected by the revisions depending on the distribution of their loan to value ratios. In comparison, 2,218 small, FDIC supervised institutions have total real estate loans exceeding the current total capital benchmark and are thus potentially affected by the current Real Estate Lending Standards. As described in more detail below, the population of banks potentially subject to the Real Estate Lending Standards is therefore almost unchanged by these final revisions, and their substantive effects are likely to be minimal.¹⁴

The FDIC believes that a threshold of "tier 1 capital plus an allowance for credit losses" is consistent with the way the FDIC and institutions historically have applied the Real Estate Lending Standards. Also, the typical (or median)

small, FDIC-supervised institution that had not elected the CBLR framework reported almost no difference between the amount of its allowance for credit losses and its tier 2 capital.¹⁵ Consequently, although the FDIC does not have information about the amount of real estate loans at each small institution that currently exceeds, or could exceed, the supervisory LTV limits, the FDIC does not expect the final rule to have material effects on the safety-and-soundness of, or compliance costs incurred by, small FDICsupervised institutions. However, small institutions may have to incur some costs associated with making the necessary changes to their systems and processes in order to comply with the terms of the final rule. The FDIC believes that any such costs are likely to be minimal given that all small institutions already calculate tier 1 capital and the allowance for credit losses and had been subject to the previous thresholds for many years before the changes in the capital rules.

Therefore, and based on the preceding discussion, the FDIC certifies that the final rule will not significantly affect a substantial number of small entities.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

In accordance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA),¹⁶ the FDIC may not conduct or sponsor, and a respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection unless it displays a currentlyvalid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. The FDIC has reviewed this final rule and determined that it would not introduce any new or revise any collection of information pursuant to the PRA. Therefore, no submissions will be made to OMB with respect to this final rule.

D. Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act of 1994

Pursuant to section 302(a) of the Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act (RCDRIA),¹⁷ in determining the effective date and administrative compliance requirements for new regulations that impose additional reporting, disclosure, or other requirements on insured depository institution, each Federal banking agency must consider, consistent with principles of safety and

¹¹ 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.

 $^{^{12}}$ The SBA defines a small banking organization as having \$600 million or less in assets, where "a financial institution's assets are determined by averaging the assets reported on its four quarterly financial statements for the preceding year." 13 CFR 121.201 n.8 (2019). "SBA counts the receipts, employees, or other measure of size of the concern whose size is at issue and all of its domestic and foreign affiliates. . . ." 13 CFR 121.103(a)(6) (2019). Following these regulations, the FDIC uses a covered entity's affiliated and acquired assets, averaged over the preceding four quarters, to

determine whether the covered entity is "small" for the purposes of RFA.

¹³ March 31, 2021, Call Report data. ¹⁴ *Id.*

¹⁵ According to March 31, 2021, Call Report data, the median small, FDIC-supervised institution that had not elected the CBLR framework reported an allowance for credit losses (or allowance for loan and lease losses if applicable) that was \$1,000 (or about 0.17 percent) greater than tier 2 capital.

¹⁶ 44 U.S.C. 3501–3521.

^{17 12} U.S.C. 4802(a).

soundness and the public interest, any administrative burdens that such regulations would place on depository institutions, including small depository institutions, and customers of depository institutions, as well as the benefits of such regulations. In addition, section 302(b) of RCDRIA requires new regulations and amendments to regulations that impose additional reporting, disclosures, or other new requirements on insured depository institutions generally to take effect on the first day of a calendar quarter that begins on or after the date on which the regulations are published in final form.18

The FDIC believes that this final rule does not impose new reporting, disclosure, or other requirements, and likely instead reduces such burdens by allowing Electing CBOs to avoid calculating and reporting tier 2 capital, as would be required under the current Real Estate Lending Standards. Therefore, the FDIC believes that it is not necessary to delay the effective date beyond the 30-day period provided in the APA.

E. Plain Language

Section 722 of the GLBA 19 requires each Federal banking agency to use plain language in all of its proposed and final rules published after January 1, 2000. The FDIC sought to present the final rule in a simple and straightforward manner and did not receive any comments on the use of plain language in the proposal.

F. Congressional Review Act

For purposes of the Congressional Review Act, OMB makes a determination as to whether a final rule constitutes a "major" rule. If a rule is deemed a "major rule" by the OMB, the Congressional Review Act generally provides that the rule may not take effect until at least 60 days following its publication.

The Congressional Review Act defines a "major rule" as any rule that the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of the OMB finds has resulted in or is likely to result in (1) an annual effect on the economy of \$100,000,000 or more; (2) a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies or geographic regions; or (3) significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based

enterprises to compete with foreignbased enterprises in domestic and export markets.

The OMB has determined that the final rule is not a major rule for purposes of the Congressional Review Act, and the FDIC will submit the final rule and other appropriate reports to Congress and the Government Accountability Office for review.

List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 365

Banks, Banking, Mortgages, Savings associations.

Authority and Issuance

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation amends part 365 of chapter III of title 12 of the Code of Federal **Regulations as follows:**

PART 365—REAL ESTATE LENDING **STANDARDS**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 365 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 1828(o) and 5101 et seq.

■ 2. Amend appendix A to subpart A by revising the section titled "Loans in Excess of the Supervisory Loan-to-Value Limits" to read as follows:

Appendix A to Subpart A of Part 365-**Interagency Guidelines for Real Estate Lending Policies**

Loans in Excess of the Supervisory Loan-to-Value Limits

The agencies recognize that appropriate loan-to-value limits vary not only among categories of real estate loans but also among individual loans. Therefore, it may be appropriate in individual cases to originate or purchase loans with loan-to-value ratios in excess of the supervisory loan-to-value limits, based on the support provided by other credit factors. Such loans should be identified in the institution's records, and their aggregate amount reported at least quarterly to the institution's board of directors. (See additional reporting requirements described under "Exceptions to the General Policy.")

The aggregate amount of all loans in excess of the supervisory loan-to-value limits should not exceed 100 percent of total capital.4 Moreover, within the aggregate limit, total loans for all commercial, agricultural, multifamily or other non-1-to-4 family

residential properties should not exceed 30 percent of total capital. An institution will come under increased supervisory scrutiny as the total of such loans approaches these levels.

In determining the aggregate amount of such loans, institutions should: (a) Include all loans secured by the same property if any one of those loans exceeds the supervisory loan-to-value limits; and (b) include the recourse obligation of any such loan sold with recourse. Conversely, a loan should no longer be reported to the directors as part of aggregate totals when reduction in principal or senior liens, or additional contribution of collateral or equity (e.g., improvements to the real property securing the loan), bring the loan-to-value ratio into compliance with supervisory limits.

*

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

By order of the Board of Directors. Dated at Washington, DC, on October 21, 2021.

James P. Sheesley,

Assistant Executive Secretary. [FR Doc. 2021-23381 Filed 10-26-21; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6714-01-P

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION **ADMINISTRATION**

12 CFR Parts 700, 701, 703, 704, and 713

RIN 3133-AF32

CAMELS Rating System

AGENCY: National Credit Union Administration (NCUA). **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The NCUA Board (the Board) is updating the NCUA's supervisory rating system from CAMEL to CAMELS by adding the "S" (Sensitivity to Market Risk) component to the existing CAMEL rating system and redefining the "L" (Liquidity Risk) component. The benefits of adding the "S" component are to enhance transparency and allow the NCUA and federally insured natural person and corporate credit unions to better distinguish between liquidity risk ("L") and sensitivity to market risk ("S"). The addition of "S" also enhances consistency between the supervision of credit unions and financial institutions supervised by the other banking agencies. The effective date of the rule will be April 1, 2022. The Board plans to implement the addition of the "S" rating component and a redefined "L" rating for examinations and contacts started on or after April 1, 2022.

DATES: The rule becomes effective April 1, 2022.

¹⁸ Id. at 4802(b).

^{19 12} U.S.C. 4809.

⁴ For the purposes of these Guidelines, for state non-member banks and state savings associations, "total capital" refers to the FDIC-supervised institution's tier 1 capital, as defined in § 324.2 of this chapter, plus the allowance for loan and leases losses or the allowance for credit losses attributable to loans and leases, as applicable. The allowance for credit losses attributable to loans and leases is applicable for institutions that have adopted the Current Expected Credit Losses methodology.