

other separate rate respondents, as that rate was based on GODACO's rate.³

In its First Remand Redetermination, issued in July 2020, Commerce continued to apply AFA to GODACO and continued to apply GODACO's rate (*i.e.*, \$3.87/kilogram) to the separate rate companies, including South Vina.⁴ In January 2021, the CIT sustained Commerce's application of total AFA to GODACO and selection of the AFA rate as in accordance with law; however, the CIT remanded Commerce's determination to it for a second time, instructing Commerce to reevaluate the rate assigned to the non-individually examined companies receiving separate rates who were parties to the litigation.⁵

In its Second Remand Redetermination, issued under protest in April 2021, Commerce recalculated the rate assigned to the separate rate companies using an average of the separate rates assigned in the four prior administrative reviews.⁶ On September 27, 2021, the CIT sustained Commerce's Second Remand Redetermination.⁷

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*,⁸ as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,⁹ the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to section 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's September 27, 2021, judgment constitutes a final decision of the CIT that is not in harmony with Commerce's *Final Results*. Thus, this notice is

³ See *GODACO Seafood Joint Stock Co. v. United States*, 435 F. Supp. 3d 1342 (CIT 2020). While interested parties challenged several aspects of Commerce's *Final Results*, the Court sustained the *Final Results* in all other respects.

⁴ See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, GODACO Seafood Joint Stock Co. v. United States*, Court No. 18-00063, Slip Op. 20-42 (CIT April 1, 2020), dated July 21, 2020 (First Remand Redetermination), available at <https://access.trade.gov/resources/remands/20-42.pdf>.

⁵ See *GODACO Seafood Joint Stock Co. v. United States*, 494 F. Supp. 3d 1294 (CIT 2021).

⁶ See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, GODACO Seafood Joint Stock Co. v. United States*, Court No. 18-00063, Slip Op. 21-3 (CIT January 6, 2021), dated April 5, 2021 (Second Remand Redetermination).

⁷ See *GODACO Seafood Joint Stock Co. v. United States*, Court No. 18-00063, Slip Op 21-131 (CIT September 27, 2021).

⁸ See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

⁹ See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court judgment, Commerce is amending its *Final Results* with respect to the dumping margin assigned to CASEAMEX, Green Farms, HVG, NTSF, South Vina, and Vinh Quang. The rate assigned to these six separate rate companies is \$0.89 per kilogram.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Because CASEAMEX, Green Farms, HVG, NTSF, and Vinh Quang have a superseding cash deposit rate, *i.e.*, there have been final results published in a subsequent administrative review, we will not issue revised cash deposit instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). This notice will not affect the current cash deposit rate for those exporters. For South Vina, which does not have a superseding cash deposit rate, Commerce will issue revised cash deposit instructions to CBP.

Liquidation of Suspended Entries

At this time, Commerce remains enjoined by CIT order from liquidating entries that were exported by CASEAMEX, GODACO, Golden Quality, Green Farms, HVG, NTSF, South Vina, or Vinh Quang, and were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the period August 1, 2015, through July 31, 2016. These entries will remain enjoined pursuant to the terms of the injunction during the pendency of any appeals process.

In the event the CIT's ruling is not appealed, or, if appealed, upheld by a final and conclusive court decision, Commerce intends to instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on unliquidated entries of subject merchandise exported by CASEAMEX, GODACO, Golden Quality, Green Farms, HVG, NTSF, South Vina, and Vinh Quang in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b).

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c) and (e) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 30, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2021-21789 Filed 10-5-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-848]

Emulsion Styrene-Butadiene Rubber From Mexico: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019-2020

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily finds that Industrias Negromex S.A. de C.V. (Negromex) made sales of emulsion styrene-butadiene rubber (ESB rubber) from Mexico at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) September 1, 2019, through August 31, 2020. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable October 6, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brittany Bauer or Christopher Maciuba, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3860 or (202) 482-0213, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 30, 2020, Commerce initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ESB rubber from Mexico, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).¹ This review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Negromex.

On May 18, 2021, Commerce extended the preliminary results by 120 days, until September 30, 2021.² For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.³

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is ESB rubber from Mexico. For a full description of the scope, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 85 FR 68840 (October 30, 2020).

² See Memorandum, "Emulsion Styrene-Butadiene Rubber: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of the 2019-2020 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated May 18, 2021.

³ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Emulsion Styrene-Butadiene Rubber from Mexico; 2019-2020," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act. We have calculated constructed export price in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying these preliminary results, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>.

Preliminary Results of the Review

We preliminarily determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the respondent for the period September 1, 2019, through August 31, 2020:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Industrias Negromex S.A. de C.V	2.65

Assessment Rates

Upon completion of this administrative review, Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. If Negromex's weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent) in the final results of this review, we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* antidumping duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review where the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is not zero or *de minimis*. If the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP

to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.⁴

In accordance with Commerce's "automatic assessment" practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced Negromex for which the company did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate those entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.⁵

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 41 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 356.8(a).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Negromex will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding in which they were reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 19.52 percent,⁶ the all-others rate

established in the LTFV investigation. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure and Public Comment

We intend to disclose the calculations performed to parties within five days after public announcement of the preliminary results.⁷ Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than seven days after the date for filing case briefs.⁸ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.⁹ Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS,¹⁰ and must be served on interested parties. Executive summaries should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes. Note that Commerce has modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.¹¹

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS. An electronically-filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by Commerce's electronic records system, ACCESS, by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs.

Final Results of Review

Unless otherwise extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to

Antidumping Duty Orders, 82 FR 42790 (September 12, 2017).

⁷ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.309(d); see also *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020) (*Temporary Rule*).

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.309 (c)(2) and (d)(2).

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.303.

¹¹ See *Temporary Rule*.

⁴ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

⁵ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

⁶ See *Emulsion Styrene-Butadiene Rubber from Brazil, the Republic of Korea, Mexico, and Poland:*

section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: September 30, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Methodology
- V. Product Comparisons
- VI. Date of Sale
- VII. Constructed Export Price
- VIII. Normal Value
- IX. Currency Conversion
- X. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021-21822 Filed 10-5-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-863; A-475-832; A-570-026; A-580-878; A-583-856]

Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products From India, Italy, the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea, and Taiwan: Final Results of Expedited Sunset Reviews of Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of these expedited sunset reviews, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) orders on corrosion-resistant steel products (CORE) from India, Italy, the People's Republic of China (China), the Republic of Korea (Korea), and Taiwan would likely lead to a continuation or

recurrence of dumping at the levels identified in the "Final Results of Sunset Reviews" section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable October 6, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jaron Moore or Brian Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3640 or (202) 482-1766, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 25, 2016, Commerce published the AD orders on CORE from India, Italy, China, Korea, and Taiwan in the **Federal Register**.¹ On June 1, 2021, Commerce published the initiation of the first sunset reviews of the *Orders*, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).² On June 14 and 16, 2021, Commerce received timely and complete notices of intent to participate in these sunset reviews from Nucor Corporation (Nucor), California Steel Industries (CSI), Cleveland-Cliffs Inc. (Cleveland-Cliffs), Steel Dynamics Inc. (SDI), and United States Steel Corporation (US Steel) (collectively, domestic interested parties),³ within the

¹ See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India, Italy, the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea and Taiwan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determination for India and Taiwan, and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 48390 (July 25, 2016) (collectively, *Orders*); see also *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India, Italy, the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea and Taiwan: Notice of Correction to the Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 58475 (August 25, 2016).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 86 FR 29239 (June 1, 2021).

³ See Domestic Interested Parties' Letters, "Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Antidumping Duty Order on Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India: Notice of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review"; "Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Antidumping Duty Order on Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Italy: Notice of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review"; "Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Antidumping Duty Order on Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review"; and "Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Antidumping Duty Order on Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: Notice of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review," each dated June 14, 2021 (Cleveland Cliffs' Letters); "Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders on Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India: Notice of Intent to Participate"; "Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders on Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Italy: Notice of Intent to Participate"; "Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders on Corrosion-Resistant

deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).⁴ The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status within the meaning of section 771(9)(C) of the Act as U.S. producers in the United States of the domestic like product.⁵

On July 1, the domestic interested parties filed timely and adequate substantive responses, within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).⁶ Commerce did not

Steel Products from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Intent to Participate"; "Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders on Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Intent to Participate"; and "Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders on Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: Notice of Intent to Participate," each dated June 16, 2021 (CSI's and SDI's Letters); "Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Antidumping Duty Order on Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India: Notice of Intent to Participate"; "Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Antidumping Duty Order on Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Italy: Notice of Intent to Participate"; "Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Antidumping Duty Order on Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Intent to Participate"; "Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Antidumping Duty Order on Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Intent to Participate"; "Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Antidumping Duty Order on Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: Notice of Intent to Participate," each dated June 16, 2021 (US Steel's Letters); and "Certain Corrosion-Resistant Products from India: Notice of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review"; "Certain Corrosion-Resistant Products from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review"; "Certain Corrosion-Resistant Products from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review"; and "Certain Corrosion-Resistant Products from Taiwan: Notice of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review," each dated June 16, 2021 (Nucor's Letters) (collectively, Notice of Intent to Participate Letters).

⁴ The domestic interested parties include Nucor; CSI; Cleveland-Cliffs (AK Steel Corporation and ArcelorMittal USA LLC were both part of the group of domestic producers that filed the petitions and participated in the original investigations. In 2020, Cleveland-Cliffs acquired AK Steel and the majority of ArcelorMittal USA's operations); SDI; and US Steel.

⁵ See Notice of Intent to Participate Letters.

⁶ See Domestic Interested Parties' Letters, "First Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Antidumping Order on Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India: Domestic Industry's Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation"; "First Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Antidumping Order on Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Domestic Industry's Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation"; "First Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Antidumping Order on Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the People's Republic of China: Domestic Industry's Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation"; "First Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Antidumping Order on Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: Domestic Industry's Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation," each dated July 1, 2021.