

the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through <https://www.regulations.gov>, or email. The Federal <https://www.regulations.gov> website is an “anonymous access” system, which means the EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to the EPA without going through <https://www.regulations.gov>, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the internet. If you submit an electronic comment, the EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If the EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties, and cannot contact you for clarification, the EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

The EPA encourages electronic submittals, but if you are unable to submit electronically, please reach out to the EPA contact person listed in the notice for assistance with additional submission methods.

You can view and copy the documents that form the basis for this action and associated publicly available materials through [www.regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Simona Platukyte, Project Officer, Underground Storage Tank Program, EPA Region 9, phone number: (415) 972-3310, email address: [platukyte.simona@epa.gov](mailto:platukyte.simona@epa.gov). Out of an abundance of caution for members of the public and our staff, the EPA Region 9 office will be closed to the public to reduce the risk of transmitting COVID-19. We encourage the public to submit comments via <https://www.regulations.gov>, as no mail, courier, or hand deliveries will be accepted. Please call or email the contact listed above if you need alternative access to material indexed but not provided in the docket.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the “Rules and Regulations” section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State’s UST program submittal as a direct authorization without prior proposal because the Agency views this

as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final authorization. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If the EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final authorization will be withdrawn, and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final authorization based on this proposed authorization. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. For additional information, see the direct final authorization published in the “Rules and Regulations” section of this **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** This proposed authorization is issued under the authority of sections 2002(a), 9004, and 7004(b) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6912, 6991c, 6991d, and 6991e.

Dated: September 19, 2021.

**Deborah Jordan,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, EPA Region 9.*

[FR Doc. 2021-20860 Filed 9-29-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[MB Docket No. 21-123; RM-11890; DA 21-1162; FR ID 49528]

### Television Broadcasting Services Fort Bragg, California

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission has before it a petition for rulemaking filed by One Ministries, Inc. (Petitioner), requesting the allotment of reserved noncommercial educational channel \* 4 at Fort Bragg, California, as the community’s second local service in the DTV Table of Allotments and its first local NCE television service.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before November 1, 2021 and reply comments on or before November 15, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Office of the Secretary, 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the Petitioner as follows: Keith Leitch, One

Ministries, Inc., P.O. Box 1118, Santa Rosa, California 95402.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joyce Bernstein, Media Bureau, at (202) 418-1647; or Joyce Bernstein, Media Bureau, at [Joyce.Bernstein@fcc.gov](mailto:Joyce.Bernstein@fcc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In support of its channel allotment request, the Petitioner states that Fort Bragg is a community deserving of a new television broadcast service. According to the Petitioner, Fort Bragg (pop. 7,179/2010 Census) has a mayor, vice mayor, city manager, and two council members; police, public works, and utility departments; and a library, numerous businesses and places of worship, and its own ZIP Code. In addition, channel 8 (KQSL(TV)) is already allotted to Fort Bragg. The Petitioner states its intention to file an application for channel \* 4, if allotted, and take all necessary steps to obtain a construction permit. The Commission concludes the request to amend the Post-Transition Table of DTV Allotments warrants consideration. The Petitioner’s proposal would result in a second local service to Fort Bragg consistent with the Commission’s television allotment policies. Channel \* 4 can be allotted to Fort Bragg, consistent with the minimum geographic spacing requirements for new DTV allotments in section 73.623(d) of the Commission’s rules, at 39°41’38.4” N and 123°34’46.7” W. In addition, the allotment point complies with section 73.625(a)(1) of the rules as the entire community of Ft. Bragg is encompassed by the 35 dBμ contour.

This is a synopsis of the Commission’s *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, MB Docket No. 21-123; RM-11890; DA 21-1162, adopted September 15, 2021, and released September 16, 2021. The full text of this document is available for download at <https://www.fcc.gov/edocs>. To request materials in accessible formats (braille, large print, computer diskettes, or audio recordings), please send an email to [FCC504@fcc.gov](mailto:FCC504@fcc.gov) or call the Consumer & Government Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (VOICE), (202) 418-0432 (TTY).

This document does not contain information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. In addition, therefore, it does not contain any proposed information collection burden “for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees,” pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107-198, *see* 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4). Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601-612, do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited from the time a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking is issued to the time the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, *see* 47 CFR 1.1208. There are, however, exceptions to this prohibition, which can be found in Section 1.1204(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.1204(a).

See Sections 1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission's rules for information regarding the proper filing procedures for comments, 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

**List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73**

Television.  
Federal Communications Commission.  
**Thomas Horan,**  
*Chief of Staff, Media Bureau.*

**Proposed Rule**

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

**PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICE**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 47 U.S.C. 154, 155, 301, 303, 307, 309, 310, 334, 336, 339.

**§ 73.622 [Amended]**

■ 2. In § 73.622(i), amend the table "Post-Transition Table of DTV Allotments" under California by revising the entry for Fort Bragg to read as follows:

**§ 73.622 Digital television table of allotments.**

Community	Channel No.
* * * *	* * *
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>	
Fort Bragg .....	* 4, 8
* * * *	* * *

[FR Doc. 2021-20636 Filed 9-29-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Fish and Wildlife Service**

**50 CFR Part 17**

[Docket No. FWS-R2-ES-2021-0054; FF09E22000 FXES11130900000 212]

**RIN 1018-BE43**

**Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Removing the Braken Bat Cave Meshweaver From the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), propose to remove the Braken Bat Cave meshweaver (*Cicurina venii*), an arachnid, from the Federal List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife (*i.e.*, "delist" the species) under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act), because of a taxonomic revision. The proposed delisting is based on our evaluation of the best available scientific and commercial information, which indicates that Braken Bat Cave meshweaver is not a discrete taxonomic entity and does not meet the definition of a species as defined by the Act. *Cicurina venii* has been synonymized with *Cicurina madla*, the Madla Cave meshweaver. Therefore, due to a taxonomic revision, *C. venii* is no longer a scientifically accepted species and cannot be listed under the Act. However, because the Braken Bat Cave meshweaver has been synonymized under the Madla Cave meshweaver, its status, and thus its protections under the Act, would remain the same because the Madla Cave meshweaver is listed as endangered under the Act.

**DATES:** We will accept comments received or postmarked on or before November 29, 2021. Comments submitted electronically using the Federal eRulemaking Portal (see **ADDRESSES**, below) must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on the closing date. We must receive requests for public hearings, in writing, at the address shown in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by November 15, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by one of the following methods:

(1) *Electronically:* Go to the Federal eRulemaking Portal:

<https://www.regulations.gov>. In the Search box, enter FWS-R2-ES-2021-0054, which is the docket number for this rulemaking. Then, click on the

Search button. On the resulting page, in the Search panel on the left side of the screen, under the Document Type heading, check the Proposed Rule box to locate this document. You may submit a comment by clicking on "Comment."

(2) *By hard copy:* Submit by U.S. mail to: Public Comments Processing, Attn: FWS-R2-ES-2021-0054, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, MS: PRB/3W, 5275 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041-3803.

We request that you send comments only by the methods described above. We will post all comments on <https://www.regulations.gov>. This generally means that we will post any personal information you provide us (see Information Requested, below, for more information).

**Document availability:** This proposed rule and supporting documents including the 5-year review and the Recovery Plan are available at <https://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/AustinTexas/>, at <https://www.regulations.gov> under Docket No. FWS-R2-ES-2021-0054, and at the Austin Ecological Services Field Office (see **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Adam Zerrenner, Field Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 10711 Burnet Road, Suite 200, Austin, TX 78758; telephone 512-490-0057. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Relay Service at 800-877-8339.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Information Requested**

We intend that any final action resulting from this proposed rule will be based on the best scientific and commercial data available and be as accurate and as effective as possible. Therefore, we request comments or information from other concerned governmental agencies, Native American Tribes, the scientific community, industry, or any other interested parties concerning this proposed rule.

We particularly seek comments concerning:

(1) Reasons we should or should not remove the Braken Bat Cave meshweaver from the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife.

(2) New information on the historical and current status, range, distribution, and population size of the Braken Bat Cave meshweaver.

(3) Additional taxonomic or other relevant data concerning the Braken Bat Cave meshweaver.

Please include sufficient information with your submission (such as scientific