maximum level of competition with the available public assets.

In determining which LCC or ULCC would provide the maximum competition, the Department tentatively proposes to consider, among other factors, carriers' business model and track record to ensure that they have the ability and stamina to provide the level of competition required. The business model and track record will be determined by analysis of revenue, traffic, and schedule data. More specifically, the Department will consider:

- Business model and product offering that allow the carrier to effectively compete, including the extent to which offering low fares to large numbers of travelers is core to its business proposition across markets;
- Record of entering and effectively competing in markets like those served by dominant carrier(s) at Newark;
- Staying power and track record in highly competitive markets, especially vis-à-vis the specific hub carrier and at network carrier hubs and focus cities where the competitive responses from incumbent airlines to new entry by price competitors may be particularly aggressive; and
- Ability to appeal to a broad cross section of passengers by offering a competitive schedule with (at least) minimum levels of daily and weekly frequency appropriate for the market(s) at issue, along with reasonably competitive onboard products and services and the ability to deliver them to customers consistently over time.

The Department tentatively proposes to evaluate eligible carriers based on the above criteria.

### Comments Requested

The Department requests comments on various aspects of the proposed process outlined in this notice. Specifically, the Department seeks comments on its tentative decision to approve schedule plans, for a single carrier, to operate in the 16 peak-hour runway timings as soon as possible; its tentative decision to limit eligibility to LCC and ULCC carriers; and its proposed evaluation criteria. The Department will consider comments outside of the scope of this request as nonresponsive. Comments must be filed in this docket and are due not later than September 27, 2021.

Since the issuance of the D.C. Circuit's decision, the Department has received letters from interested stakeholders. Any correspondence related to the specific issues discussed in this notice have been included in the docket.

The Department will consider all responsive comments received and issue a further notice finalizing its decision and soliciting proposals from eligible carriers. If no responsive comments are received, the Department may proceed directly to issuing a notice requesting proposals and providing instruction for doing so.<sup>23</sup>

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 16, 2021.

#### Carol Annette Petsonk,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Aviation and International Affairs, U.S. Department of Transportation.

## Virginia T. Boyle,

Vice President, System Operations Services, Federal Aviation Administration.

[FR Doc. 2021–20399 Filed 9–16–21; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 4910-9X-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Highway Administration**

Notice of Final State Agency Actions Under 23 U.S.C. 327 on I-17, Anthem Way to Jct. SR 69 in Maricopa County and Yavapai County, AZ

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The FHWA, on behalf of the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT), is issuing this notice to announce actions taken by ADOT and other relevant Federal agencies that are final. The actions relate to the Categorical Exclusion (CE) d-list action for—Other qualified project individually documented and approved under paragraph (d)—for the proposed project I–17, Anthem Way to Jct. SR 69 in Maricopa and Yavapai County, AZ. The actions grant licenses, permits, and approvals for the project.

**DATES:** By this notice, FHWA, on behalf of ADOT, is advising the public of final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the Federal agency actions with authority on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before February 17, 2022. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less than 150 days for filing such claim, then that shorter time period still applies.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Steven Olmsted, NEPA Assignment

Manager, Environment Planning, Arizona Department of Transportation, 205 S 17th Avenue, MD EM02, Phoenix, Arizona 85007; telephone: (480) 202– 6050, email: solmsted@azdot.gov. The Arizona Department of Transportation normal business hours are 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. (Mountain Standard Time).

You may also contact: Mr. Paul O'Brien, Environmental Planning Administrator, Arizona Department of Transportation, 205 S 17th Avenue, MD EM02, Phoenix, Arizona 85007; telephone: (480) 356–2893, email: POBrien@azdot.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Effective April 16, 2019, the FHWA assigned and ADOT assumed environmental responsibilities for this project pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding executed by FHWA and ADOT.

Notice is hereby given that ADOT and other relevant Federal agencies have taken final agency actions by issuing licenses, permits, and approvals for the following project in the State of Arizona: I-17, Anthem Way to Jct. SR 69 in Maricopa and Yavapai County, AZ. The actions by ADOT and other relevant Federal agencies and the laws under which such actions were taken, are described in the CE d-list action for-Other qualified project individually documented and approved under paragraph (d)—approved on May 26, 2021, and in other documents in the administrative record. The CE and other project records are available by contacting ADOT at the addresses provided above. Project information is also available online at: https:// azdot.gov/projects/central-districtprojects/i-17-widening-andimprovement-project-anthem-waysunset-point.

This notice applies to all ADOT and other relevant Federal agency decisions as of the issuance date of this notice and all laws under which such actions were taken, including but not limited to:

- 1. General: National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4321– 4351]; Federal-Aid Highway Act [23 U.S.C. 109].
- 2. *Air:* Clean Air Act [42 U.S.C. 7401–7671(q)].
- 3. Land: Section 4(f) of the U.S. Department of Transportation Act of 1966 [49 U.S.C. 303]; Landscaping and Scenic Enhancement (Wildflowers) [23 U.S.C. 319].
- 4. Wildlife: Endangered Species Act [16 U.S.C. 1531–1544 and Section 1536], Marine Mammal Protection Act [16 U.S.C. 1361], Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act [16 U.S.C. 661–667(d)], Migratory Bird Treaty Act [16 U.S.C. 703–712].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> The Department will solicit proposals on a confidential basis given the sensitive commercial information that they are likely to contain.

- 5. Historic and Cultural Resources: Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended [16 U.S.C. 470(f) et seq.]; Archeological Resources Protection Act of 1977 [16 U.S.C. 470(aa)–11]; Archeological and Historic Preservation Act [16 U.S.C. 469–469(c)]; Native American Grave Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) [25 U.S.C. 3001–3013].
- 6. Social and Economic: Civil Rights Act of 1964 [42 U.S.C. 2000(d)–2000(d)(1)]; American Indian Religious Freedom Act [42 U.S.C. 1996]; Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) [7 U.S.C. 4201–4209].
- 7. Wetlands and Water Resources:
  Land and Water Conservation Fund
  (LWCF) [16 U.S.C. 4601–4604]; Safe
  Drinking Water Act (SDWA) [42 U.S.C.
  300(f)–300(j)(6)]; Rivers and Harbors Act
  of 1899 [33 U.S.C. 401–406]; Wild and
  Scenic Rivers Act [16 U.S.C. 1271–
  1287]; Emergency Wetlands Resources
  Act [16 U.S.C. 3921, 3931]; Flood
  Disaster Protection Act [42 U.S.C. 4001–
  4128].
- 8. *Water:* Clean Water Act 33 U.S.C. 1251–1387.
- 9. Executive Orders: E.O. 11990
  Protection of Wetlands; E.O. 11988
  Floodplain Management; E.O. 12898,
  Federal Actions to Address
  Environmental Justice in Minority
  Populations and Low Income
  Populations; E.O. 11593 Protection and
  Enhancement of Cultural Resources;
  E.O. 13007 Indian Sacred Sites; E.O.
  13287 Preserve America; E.O. 13175
  Consultation and Coordination with
  Indian Tribal Governments; E.O. 11514
  Protection and Enhancement of
  Environmental Quality; E.O. 13112
  Invasive Species.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction.)

Authority: 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). Issued on: September 13, 2021.

## Karla S. Petty,

Arizona Division Administrator, Phoenix, Arizona.

[FR Doc. 2021-20131 Filed 9-17-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-RY-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Railroad Administration**

[Docket No. FRA-2021-0082]

Draft General Conformity
Determination for the California HighSpeed Rail System Burbank to Los
Angeles Section

**AGENCY:** Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** FRA is issuing this notice to advise the public that a draft General Conformity Determination for the Burbank to Los Angeles Section of the California High-Speed Rail (HSR) System is available for public and agency review and comment.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before October 20, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments related to Docket No. FRA–2021–0082 may be submitted by going to *http://www.regulations.gov* and following the online instructions for submitting comments.

Instructions: All submissions must include the agency name and docket number (FRA–2021–0082). All comments received will be posted without change to https://www.regulations.gov; this includes any personal information. Please see the Privacy Act Statement heading in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document for Privacy Act information related to any submitted comments or materials.

Docket: For access to the docket to read the draft General Conformity Determination, background documents, or comments received, go to https://www.regulations.gov and follow the online instructions for accessing the docket.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andréa Martin, Senior Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Railroad Policy and Development (RPD), telephone: (202) 493–6201, email: Andrea.Martin@dot.gov; or Marlys Osterhues, Chief Environment and Corridor Planning, RPD, telephone: (202) 493–0413, email: Marlys.Osterhues@dot.gov.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Privacy Act Statement: FRA will post comments it receives, without edit, to www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice, DOT/ALL—14 FDMS, accessible through www.dot.gov/privacy. To facilitate comment tracking and response, we encourage commenters to provide their name, or the name of their organization;

however, inclusion of names is completely optional. Whether commenters identify themselves or not, all timely comments will be fully considered. If you wish to provide comments containing proprietary or confidential information, please contact the agency for alternate submission instructions.

Background: The California High-Speed Rail Authority (CHSRA) is advancing the environmental review of the Burbank to Los Angeles Section (Project) of the California HSR System pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327, under which it has assumed FRA's environmental review responsibilities. However, under Section 327, FRA remains responsible for making General Conformity Determinations under the Clean Air Act. This draft General Conformity Determination documents FRA's evaluation of the Burbank to Los Angeles Section, consistent with the relevant section of the Clean Air Act and its implementing regulations.

FRA's analysis of the Project's potential emissions, completed in close collaboration with CHSRA and informed by CHSRA's coordination with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) and the California Air Resources Board, found that Projectgenerated emissions will either be offset for its construction phase, or will be less than zero for its operational phase; and therefore, the Action's emissions can be accommodated in the Statewide Implementation Plan (SIP) for the South Coast Air Basin. FRA concludes that the Project, as designed, will conform to the approved SIP, based on a commitment from the CHSRA that constructionphase NO<sub>X</sub> emissions will be offset consistent with the applicable federal regulations in the SCAQMD.

## **Next Steps**

The draft General Conformity Determination for the California High-Speed Rail System, Burbank to Los Angeles Section is being issued for public review and comment for 30-days at Docket No. FRA-2021-0082. Comments related to Docket No. FRA-2021-0082 may be submitted by going to http://www.regulations.gov and following the online instructions for submitting comments. Although CHSRA is assisting FRA by disseminating notice of the availability of the draft General Conformity Determination through its usual outreach methods, CHSRA is not accepting comments on behalf of FRA. FRA cannot ensure consideration of any comment that is not submitted via http://www.regulations.gov. FRA will consider all relevant comments it