report must also include a discussion of the extent to which target capabilities identified in the applicable state homeland security plan and other applicable plans are unmet, and an assessment of resources needed to meet the preparedness priorities established under PKEMRA Section 646(e), including: (i) An estimate of the amount of expenditures required to attain the preparedness priorities; and (ii) the extent to which the use of Federal assistance during the preceding fiscal year achieved the preparedness priorities. To meet this requirement, States, Tribes, territories, and urban areas first identify capability targets through THIRA and then assess against these targets in the SPR. Through the SPR, these jurisdictions estimate their current capabilities, identify and describe gaps between current capabilities and targets, indicate their intended approach for addressing gaps in the future, and report on the impact of Federal grant dollars in building and sustaining capabilities. It is also important to note that completing the THIRA and SPR are allowable expenses under the grant awards.

Collection of Information

Title: Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (THIRA)—Stakeholder Preparedness Review (SPR) Unified Reporting Tool.

Type of Information Collection: Revision of a currently approved information collection.

OMB Number: 1660–0131.

FEMA Forms: FEMA Form FF–008–FY–21–106, Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (THIRA)/Stakeholder Preparedness Review (SPR) Unified Reporting Tool (formerly FEMA Forms 008–0–19 and 008–0–20); FEMA Form FF–008–FY–21–107, THIRA/SPR After Action Conference Calls (formerly FEMA Form 008–0–23).

Abstract: The assessment is structured by the 32 core capabilities from the 2015 National Preparedness Goal. States, territories, urban areas, and tribes provide information on capability targets, their current capability levels and capability gaps for each core capability. Respondent States, Tribes, territories, and urban areas gather the information and complete the THIRA and SPR following the ''Comprehensive Preparedness Guide (CPG) 201, Third Edition.''

Affected Public: State, Territory, Local or Tribal Government.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 128.

Estimated Number of Responses: 128.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 88,779.

Estimated Total Annual Respondent Cost: $4,914,805.

Estimated Respondents' Operation and Maintenance Costs: $21,337,885.

Estimated Respondents' Capital and Start-Up Costs: $0.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to the Federal Government: $2,312,561.

Comments

Comments may be submitted as indicated in the **ADDRESSES** caption above. Comments are solicited to (a) evaluate whether the proposed data collection is necessary for the proper performance of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses.

Millicent L. Brown,

Acting, Records Management Branch Chief, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, Mission Support, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Department of Homeland Security.

[FR Doc. 2021–19732 Filed 9–13–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111–27–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–7041–N–04]

60-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Older Adult Home Modification Evaluation

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research, Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is seeking approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for the information collection described below. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, HUD is requesting comment from all interested parties on the proposed collection of information. The purpose of this notice is to allow for 60 days of public comment.

DATES: *Comments Due Date:* November 15, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Anna P. Guido, Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW, Room 4176, Washington, DC 20410–5000; telephone 202–402–5534 (this is not a toll-free number) or email at *Anna.P.Guido@hud.gov* for a copy of the proposed forms or other available information. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877–8339.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anna P. Guido, Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW, Washington, DC 20410; email Anna P. Guido at *Anna.P.Guido@hud.gov* or telephone 202–402–5535. This is not a toll-free number. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877–8339.

Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Guido.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice informs the public that HUD is seeking approval from OMB for the information collection described in Section A.

A. Overview of Information Collection

Title of Information Collection: Older Adult Home Modification Evaluation.

OMB Approval Number: 252–New.

Type of Request: New request.

Form Number: N/A.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use: Congress authorized HUD to make grants to experienced non-profit organizations, States, local governments, or public housing agencies for safety and functional home modification repairs to meet the needs of low-income elderly homeowners to enable them to remain in their primary residence. This information collection supports HUD's evaluation on the effectiveness of the grants. HUD will both evaluate grantee implementation and the impact of the modification on the client recipients whose homes are modified.

Respondents: Office of Lead Hazard Control and Healthy Homes Grantees and Recipients for home modifications.

Total Burden Estimate: The table below reflects our estimate of the burden on the grantees and the home modification recipients.

Respondent's Obligation: Grantees' participation in the Evaluation is mandatory, as it is a stipulation for receiving grant funds. Clients' participation in the Evaluation is voluntary and participation does not affect their eligibility to receive home modifications.

Legal Authority: The data collection is conducted under Title 12, United States Code, Section. 1701z and Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44, U.S.C., 35, as amended.

ESTIMATED TIME AND COSTS TO GRANTEE RESPONDENTS

Information collection	Respondents per annum	Frequency of response	Burden hour per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response	Annual cost
Client Eligibility Documentation Form *	4,478	1	0.08	373	$33.46	$12,485
Lost-to-Project Form *	2,790	1	0.08	233	33.46	7,780
OAHM Program Documentation of Work Completed Form	2,250	1	0.50	1,125	33.46	37,643
Grantee Process Evaluation Online Survey *	32	1	4.00	128	33.46	4,283
Grantee Site Visit Interview Guide *	5.3	2	2.00	21	33.46	714
Total	9,555		6.67	1,880		62,904
Total Over 3 Years			20.00	5,640		188,712

* The 32 grantees will be expected to complete the Evaluation Client Eligibility Documentation form and the Lost-to-Project form for all prospective clients. One program manager from each of the 32 grantees will complete the Grantee Process Evaluation Online Survey each year. The Contractor will administer Grantee Site Visit Interview Guide to up to two grantee representatives at up to 16 site visits.

ESTIMATED TIME AND COSTS TO CLIENT RECIPIENT RESPONDENTS

Information collection	Respondents per annum	Frequency of response	Burden hour per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response [e]	Annual hourly cost per response
OAHM Client Program Questionnaire Baseline	3,000	1	0.10	300	$11.31	$3,393
OAHM Client Program Questionnaire Post-modification	1,688	1	0.10	169	11.31	1,909
OAHM Program Evaluation Informed Consent	2,250	1	0.25	563	11.31	6,362
Home Hazard Checklist Baseline	3,000	1	0.42	1,250	11.31	14,138
Home Hazard Checklist Post-modification	1,688	1	0.42	703	11.31	7,952
OAHM Client Impact Evaluation Interview Baseline	2,250	1	0.33	750	11.31	8,483
OAHM Client Impact Evaluation Interview Post-modification	1,688	1	0.33	563	11.31	6,362
Script to Schedule Client Process Evaluation Interview	188	1	0.08	16	11.31	177
Client Process Evaluation Interview	169	1	0.50	84	11.31	954
Total Annual			2.53	4,397		49,729
Total Over 3 Years			7.60	13,191		149,186

* Over the three-year period of performance for the OAHMP grant, we expect up to 9,000 clients may apply for services. Of these potential clients, we conservatively estimate 33% (or 4,433) will be determined ineligible, 25% (or 2,250) will not consent to participate in the Evaluation, and another 25% (or 1,688) will be lost to Evalution follow-up six- to nine-months after the homes have received modifications. Of the 5,625 homes expected to receive modifications, up to 563 clients are expected to be contacted to participate in the Client Process Evaluation Interview and up to 506 are anticipated to participate.

ESTIMATED COMBINED TIME AND COSTS

	Annualized total grantee	Annualized total client	Annualize total combined	Total number of years	Total over three years
Cost	$62,904	$49,729	$112,633	3	$337,898
Hours	1,880	4.397	6,277	3	18,831

B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected, and

(4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses. HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

C. Authority

Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

The General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research, Todd M. Richardson, having reviewed and approved this document, is delegating the authority to electronically sign this document to submitter, Nacheshia Foxx, who is the Federal Register Liaison for HUD, for purposes of publication in the **Federal Register**.

Nacheshia Foxx,

Federal Liaison for the Department of Housing and Urban Development.

[FR Doc. 2021–19715 Filed 9–13–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No.FR–6287–N–01]

Agenda and Notice of Public Meetings of the Moving to Work Research Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing and Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research, Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

ACTION: Notice of two federal advisory committee meetings.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda for two meetings of the Moving to Work (MTW) Research Advisory Committee (Committee). The Committee meetings will be held via a virtual web-based platform and an option for a call-in number on Thursday, October 14, 2021, and Thursday, October 28, 2021. The meetings are open to the public and are accessible to individuals with disabilities.

DATES: The virtual meetings will be held on October 14, 2021, from 1:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time (EDT) and on October 28, 2021, from 2:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. EDT.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eva Fontheim, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW, Room 4126, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 402–3461 (this is not a toll-free number). Persons who have difficulty hearing or speaking may access this number via TTY by calling the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877–8339 (this is a toll-free number) or can email: *MTWAdvisoryCommittee@hud.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice of this meeting is provided in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. 10(a)(2). The Committee was established on May 2, 2016, to advise HUD on specific policy proposals and methods of research and evaluation related to the expansion of the MTW demonstration to an additional 100 Public Housing Authorities (PHAs). See 81 FR 24630. The Committee met several times since 2016 to discuss areas of policy focus for study within the MTW expansion. Using the advice of the Committee, the one hundred Expansion MTW PHAs will be added in four to five cohorts. To date, 31 PHAs have been selected to participate in the MTW Flexibility for Smaller PHAs Cohort, all consist of high-performing PHAs that administer 1,000 or fewer Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) and public housing units combined. An additional 10 PHAs have been selected to participate in the Stepped and Tiered Rent Cohort, consisting of PHAs with 1,001 or more public housing and HCV units. In order to ensure adequate sample size in the Stepped and Tiered Rent Cohort, the selected PHAs were required to have 1,000 or more existing non-elderly, non-disabled public housing and/or HCV households. This cohort will study different rent models that may or may not be income-based, to include tiered rents, and/or stepped-up rents. The Landlord Incentives Cohort, application deadline is October 15, 2021, will study landlord incentives in the housing choice voucher program.

HUD is committed to implementing the MTW Expansion in a way that is responsive to the economic realities and current needs of low-income families; therefore, the Work Requirements Cohort has been rescinded. For this reason, HUD is reconvening the Committee to explore alternative policies to study through the MTW Expansion to ensure that there are 100 designated MTW PHAs. The minutes of all previous meetings are available on the HUD website at: *https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/public_indian_housing/programs/ph/mtw/expansion/rac.*

HUD will convene two virtual meetings to explore which policies to study in future MTW cohorts.

The agenda for the meetings are as follows:

October 14, 2021—1:00 p.m.–4:00 p.m. EDT

I. Welcome and Introductions
II. Update on the MTW Expansion
III. Review of the Agenda
IV. Background and Status Update
  a. Revisit Guiding Principles
  b. Overall status of the Expansion
  c. Small PHA Flexibility Cohort
  d. Tiered and Stepped Rent Cohort
  e. Landlord Incentives Cohort
  f. Other Cohorts Previously Discussed
  g. Questions
V. Goal for this Meeting: Discuss potential topics for remaining cohorts
  a. Framing
  b. Overview of options
  i. Asset Building
  ii. Sponsor-Based Housing
  iii. Project-Based Voucher Flexibilities
  iv. MTW Flexibility (small/medium PHAs)
  v. Discussion of other big idea program reforms HUD may want to test
  vi. Other ideas from Committee
VI. Open Discussion
VII. BREAK
VIII. Continued Open Discussion
IX. Public Input
X. Committee Debrief
XI. Prioritize and Rank
XII. Summary of Discussion
XIII. Discuss Next Steps and Adjourn

October 28, From 2:00–5:00 p.m. EDT

I. Welcome and Introductions
II. Revisit Guiding Principles
III. Review of October 14, 2021 Meeting
IV. Goal for this Meeting—Discuss and provide recommendations for two new cohort studies (including research design and specific policies).
V. Discussion: Policy Topics Selected at October 14, 2021 Meeting
  a. Key research questions
  b. Considerations for structuring the Cohort
  c. Considerations for evaluating the Cohort
V. BREAK
VI. Public Input
VII. Committee Debrief
VIII. Summary of Discussion
IX. Discuss Next Steps and Adjourn

Members of the public will have an opportunity to provide feedback during