

reject factual submissions in any proceeding segments if the submitting party does not comply with applicable certification requirements.

Extension of Time Limits Regulation

Parties may request an extension of time limits before a time limit established under Part 351 expires, or as otherwise specified by Commerce.¹⁰ In general, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after the time limit established under Part 351 expires. For submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after 10:00 a.m. on the due date. Examples include, but are not limited to: (1) Case and rebuttal briefs, filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309; (2) factual information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c), or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2), filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3) and rebuttal, clarification and correction filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3)(iv); (3) comments concerning the selection of a surrogate country and surrogate values and rebuttal; (4) comments concerning CBP data; and (5) Q&V questionnaires. Under certain circumstances, Commerce may elect to specify a different time limit by which extension requests will be considered untimely for submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously. In such a case, Commerce will inform parties in the letter or memorandum setting forth the deadline (including a specified time) by which extension requests must be filed to be considered timely. This policy also requires that an extension request must be made in a separate, stand-alone submission, and clarifies the circumstances under which Commerce will grant untimely-filed requests for the extension of time limits. Please review the *Final Rule*, available at <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-09-20/html/2013-22853.htm>, prior to submitting factual information in these segments.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: August 31, 2021.

James Maeder,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2021-19190 Filed 9-3-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

the *Final Rule*, available at https://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual_info_final_rule_FAQ_07172013.pdf.

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.302.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-533-876]

Fine Denier Polyester Staple Fiber From India: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Reliance Industries Limited (Reliance) received countervailable subsidies that are above *de minimis* during the period of review (POR), January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019.

DATES: Applicable September 7, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ariela Garvett, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3609.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 18, 2021, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this review.¹ A summary of the events that occurred since Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*, as well as a full discussion of the issues raised by parties for these final results, are discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.² The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is fine denier polyester staple fiber (fine denier PSF). For a complete description of the scope of this order,

¹ See *Fine Denier Polyester Staple Fiber from the Republic of India: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2019*, 86 FR 26903 (May 18, 2021) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2019 Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Fine Denier Polyester Staple Fiber from India," dated concurrently, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the interested parties' case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. Based on our analysis of the comments received from interested parties and record information, we revised the prohibited subsidy rate calculated for Reliance.

Methodology

Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, we find that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.³ For a description of the methodology underlying Commerce's conclusions, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of the Administrative Review

In accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(B)(5), we find the net countervailable subsidy rate for the POR January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019 to be as follows:

Company	Subsidy rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i>)
Reliance Industries Limited ..	4.89

Assessment and Cash Deposit Requirements

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of this publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

In accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act, we also intend to instruct

³ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5)(A) of the Act regarding specificity.

CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amount shown above. For all non-reviewed firms, CBP will continue to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations and analysis performed for these final results to interested parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.⁴

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 315.305(A)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

These final results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: September 1, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Application of Adverse Inferences
- V. Subsidies Valuation Information
- VI. Analysis of Programs
- VII. Discussion of the Issue
 - Comment: Prohibited Subsidy Rate
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021-19370 Filed 9-3-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-871]

Finished Carbon Steel Flanges From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019-2020

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily finds that producers/exporters of finished carbon steel flanges from India (flanges), including R.N. Gupta & Co. (Gupta) and the Norma Group sold subject merchandise at prices below normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR) August 1, 2019, through July 31, 2020.

DATES: Applicable September 7, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker, George McMahon, or Margaret Collins, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2924, (202) 482-1167, or (202) 482-6250, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 4, 2020, we published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on flanges from India, for the period August 1, 2019, through July 31, 2020.¹ Subsequently, Commerce received timely requests for an administrative review from the petitioners,² Gupta, the Norma Group, Bebitz Flanges Works Private Limited (Bebitz), Jai Auto Pvt. Ltd. of India (Jai Auto), Munish Forge Private Limited, Balkrishna Steel Forge Pvt. Ltd., and Aditya Forge Ltd.³ On

¹ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 85 FR 47167 (August 4, 2020); see also *Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India and Italy: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 82 FR 40136 (August 24, 2017) (*Order*).

² The petitioners are Weldbend Corporation and Boltex Manufacturing Co., L.P. (collectively, the petitioners).

³ See Petitioners' Letter, "Request for Administrative Review," dated August 31, 2020; see also Gupta's Letter, "Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Request for Anti-Dumping Duty Administrative Review," dated August 28, 2020; Norma Group's Letter, "Request for Entry of Appearance in the Anti-Dumping Duty Administrative Review for Norma (India) Limited, USK Export Private Limited, Umashanker Khandelwal and Co. and Bansidhar Chiranjilal,"

October 6, 2020, Commerce initiated an administrative review of the *Order* with respect to 41 companies.⁴ On November 12, 2020, Commerce selected Gupta and the Norma Group⁵ as the mandatory respondents for this review.⁶ On April 27, 2021, Commerce extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this review until August 31, 2021.⁷ For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review,

dated August 27, 2020; Bebitz's Letter, "Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Requests for Administrative Review," dated August 28, 2020; Jai Auto's Letter, "Request for Anti-Dumping Duty Administrative Review of Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India," dated August 31, 2020; Munish Forge Private Limited's Letter, "Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Request for Anti-Dumping Duty Administrative Review," dated August 27, 2020; Balkrishna Steel Forge Pvt. Ltd.'s Letter, "Carbon Steel Flanges from India, Antidumping & Countervailing Duty," dated August 31, 2020; and Aditya Forge Ltd.'s Letter, "Request for Anti-Dumping Duty Administrative Review of Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India," dated August 31, 2020.

⁴ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 85 FR 63081 (October 6, 2020).

⁵ In prior segments of this proceeding, we determined that Norma (India) Limited; USK Exports Private Limited; Uma Shanker Khandelwal & Co.; and Bansidhar Chiranjilal should be collapsed and treated as a single entity (the Norma Group). See *Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination*, 82 FR 9719 (February 8, 2017) (*Preliminary Determination*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 4-5, unchanged in *Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 82 FR 29483 (June 29, 2017) (*Final Determination*); *Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018*, 84 FR 57848, 57849 (October 29, 2019), unchanged in *Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018*, 85 FR 21391 (April 17, 2020); *Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2018-2019*, 85 FR 83051, 83052 (December 21, 2020), unchanged in *Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018-2019*, 86 FR 33226 (June 24, 2021). In this review, the Norma Group presented evidence that the factual basis on which Commerce made its prior determination has not changed. See Norma Group's Letter, "Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Response to Section A-D of Antidumping Duty Supplemental Questionnaire," dated August 11, 2021 at S1-2-S1-8. Therefore, in this administrative review, Commerce continues to collapse and treat these four companies as a single entity.

⁶ See Memorandum, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Respondent Selection," dated November 12, 2020.

⁷ See Memorandum, "Antidumping Duty Order on Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Extension of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019-2020," dated April 27, 2021.

⁴ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).