

1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Katherine Norman,

Assistant Regional Director—Ecological Services, Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 2021–19136 Filed 9–2–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4333–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Ocean Energy Management

[Docket No.: BOEM–2021–0052]

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Sunrise Wind Farm Project on the Northeast Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf; Extension of Comment Period and Corrections

AGENCY: Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice; extension of comment period and corrections.

SUMMARY: On August 31, 2021, the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) published the “Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Sunrise Wind Farm Project on the Northeast Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf” in the **Federal Register** (86 FR 48763). The NOI announced that BOEM will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) as part of its review of a construction and operations plan submitted by Sunrise Wind LLC and provided project information. The NOI stated that comments received by September 30, 2021, will be considered. This notice corrects two statements in the NOI regarding the agreement with the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA) and the proposed foundation types. In addition, this notice extends the comment period.

DATES: Comments received by October 4, 2021, will be considered.

ADDRESSES: Comments must be submitted in writing in any of the following ways:

- Delivered by mail or delivery service, enclosed in an envelope labeled “Sunrise Wind COP EIS,” and addressed to Program Manager, Office of Renewable Energy, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, 45600 Woodland Road, Sterling, Virginia 20166; or

- *Through the regulations.gov web portal:* Navigate to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket No. BOEM–2021–0052. Click on the “Comment Now!” button to the right of the document link. Enter your

information and comment, then click “Submit.”

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michelle Morin, BOEM Office of Renewable Energy Programs, 45600 Woodland Road, Sterling, Virginia 20166, (703) 787–1722 or michelle.morin@boem.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Technical Corrections

In the **Federal Register** dated August 31, 2021, on page 48764 in the first column, line 64, under the heading “Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action,” BOEM originally included the following sentences: “This Project will help the State of New York achieve the aggressive clean energy goals set forth in the Clean Energy Standards Order and the Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act through a power purchase agreement (PPA) contract with the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority to deliver 880 MW of offshore wind energy. Sunrise Wind may modify its PPA contract with NYSERDA to deliver up to 924 MW of offshore wind energy.”

BOEM is replacing that language with these corrected sentences: “This Project will help the State of New York achieve the aggressive clean energy goals set forth in the Clean Energy Standards Order and the Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act through an Offshore Wind Renewable Energy Certificate Purchase and Sale Agreement (OREC) with the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority to deliver 880 MW of offshore wind energy. Sunrise Wind has the ability under the OREC to deliver a maximum project capacity of 924 MW of offshore wind energy.”

In the same edition of the **Federal Register**, on page 48765, first column, line 2, under the heading “Preliminary Proposed Action and Alternatives,” (which begins on previous page), BOEM included the sentence: “The wind turbine generator foundations may be monopiles or gravity base structures with associated support and access structures, in some combination or entirely of one kind.”

BOEM is replacing that language with this corrected sentence: “The wind turbine generators will use monopile foundations and the OCS–DC will be on a piled jacket foundation.”

William Yancey Brown,

Chief Environmental Officer, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.

[FR Doc. 2021–19143 Filed 9–2–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Zen-Noh Grain Corporation, et al.; Response to Public Comments

Pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), the United States hereby publishes below the Response to Public Comments on the Proposed Final in *United States v. Zen-Noh Grain Corporation, et al.*, Civil Action No. 1:21–cv–01482–RJL, which was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia on August 30, 2021, together with a copy of the two comments received by the United States.

A copy of the comments and the United States’ response to the comments is available at <https://www.justice.gov/atr/case/us-v-zen-noh-grain-corp-and-bunge-north-america-inc>. Copies of the comments and the United States’ response are available for inspection at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. Copies of these materials may also be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by Department of Justice regulations.

Suzanne Morris,

Chief, Premerger and Division Statistics, Antitrust Division.

United States District Court for the District of Columbia

United States of America, Plaintiff, v. Zen-Noh Grain Corp., and Bunge North America, Inc., Defendants.

Civil Action No.:1:21–cv–01482 (RJL)

Response of Plaintiff United States to Public Comments on the Proposed Final Judgment

Pursuant to the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (the “APPA” or “Tunney Act”), 15 U.S.C. 16, the United States hereby responds to the two public comments received regarding the proposed Final Judgment in this case. After careful consideration of the submitted comments, the United States continues to believe that the divestiture required by the proposed Final Judgment provides an effective and appropriate remedy for the antitrust violation alleged in the Complaint and is therefore in the public interest. The United States will move the Court for entry of the proposed Final Judgment after the public comments and this response have been published as required by 15 U.S.C. 16(d).