

conservation actions that are expected to provide a net benefit to these species. We have prepared a draft EAS for our preliminary determination that the SHA and permit decision may be eligible for categorical exclusion under NEPA. We invite the public to review and comment on the permit application, draft SHA, and draft EAS.

This SHA provides for the management of mountain yellow-legged frog and unarmored threespine stickleback habitat at Bluff Lake, San Bernardino County, California. Bluff Lake occurs within the U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-minute series Big Bear Lake topographic quadrangle (township 2 north, range 1 west, section 34). When fully implemented, the SHA and requested enhancement of survival permit will allow the Applicant to return habitat conditions to baseline after the end of the 30-year term of the SHA and permit, if so desired by the applicant.

The SHA describes the management activities to be undertaken by the applicant, and the net conservation benefits expected to the mountain yellow-legged frog and unarmored threespine stickleback. Upon approval of this SHA, and consistent with the Service's Safe Harbor Policy published in the **Federal Register** on June 17, 1999 (64 FR 32717), the Service would issue a permit to the applicant authorizing take of the mountain yellow-legged frog and unarmored threespine stickleback incidental to the implementation of the management activities specified in the SHA; incidental to other lawful uses of the enrolled property including normal, routine land management activities; and to return to pre-SHA conditions (baseline).

Under the SHA, the applicant will allow the release of mountain yellow-legged frogs and unarmored threespine stickleback on the property. This release may involve the temporary placement of small enclosures. The applicant will further undertake management activities to benefit the mountain yellow-legged frog and unarmored threespine stickleback. This will include maintaining or improving habitat conditions on the property, throughout the duration of the SHA, except in the event of a natural disaster such as a wildfire or severe drought. The applicant will also minimize the potential impact of recreation and nonnative species management.

National Environmental Policy Act Compliance

The development of the draft SHA and the proposed issuance of an enhancement of survival permit are

Federal actions that trigger the need for compliance with the NEPA (43 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*). We have prepared a draft EAS to assess the probable scope of impacts of permit issuance and implementation of the SHA on the human environment. We have made a preliminary determination that issuing the permit and implementing the SHA would have minor or negligible impacts to the environment, and thus the proposed SHA and permit actions are eligible for categorical exclusion under NEPA. The basis for our preliminary determination is contained in the EAS, which is available for public review (see **ADDRESSES**).

Next Steps

We will evaluate the permit application, associated documents, and comments we receive to determine whether the permit application meets the requirements of the ESA, NEPA, and implementing regulations. If we determine that all requirements are met, we will sign the proposed SHA and issue a permit under section 10(a)(1)(A) of the ESA to the applicant. We will not make our final decision on the permit application until after the end of the public comment period, and we will fully consider all comments we receive during the comment period.

Public Availability of Comments

Written comments we receive become part of the public record associated with this action. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that the entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority

We provide this notice under section 10(c) of the ESA (16 U.S.C 1531 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations (50 CFR 17.22 and 17.32), and NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4371 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations (40 CFR 1506.6; 43 CFR 46).

Scott Sobiech,

Field Supervisor, Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office, Carlsbad, California.

[FR Doc. 2021-17977 Filed 8-20-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4333-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of the Secretary

[212D0102DM DS61100000
DLSN00000.000000 DX61101; OMB Control
Number 1094-0001]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; the Alternatives Process in Hydropower Licensing

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of information collection; request for comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we, the Office of the Secretary, Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance, Department of the Interior (we, OS-OEPC) are proposing to renew an information collection.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before September 22, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments on this information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget's Desk Officer for the Department of the Interior by email at OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov; or via facsimile to (202) 395-5806. Please provide a copy of your comments to Dr. Shawn Alam, Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance, U.S. Department of the Interior, MS 2629-MIB, 1849 C Street NW, Washington, DC 20240; or by email to Shawn_Alam@ios.doi.gov. Please reference OMB Control Number 1094-0001 in the subject line of your comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request additional information about this ICR, contact Dr. Shawn Alam by email at Shawn_Alam@ios.doi.gov, or by telephone at (202) 208-5465. You may also view the ICR at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we provide the general public and other Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on new, proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand our information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format.

On April 5, 2021, we published a **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day

public comment period soliciting comments on this collection of information (86 FR 17634). We received no comments in response to that notice.

We are again soliciting comments on the proposed ICR that is described below. We are especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is the collection necessary to the proper functions of the OS–OEPC; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the OS–OEPC enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the OS–OEPC minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Abstract: The OMB regulations at 5 CFR part 1320, which implement the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*, require that interested members of the public and affected agencies have an opportunity to comment on information collection and recordkeeping activities (see 5 CFR 1320.8 (d)).

On November 23, 2016, the Departments of Agriculture, the Interior, and Commerce published a final rule on the March 31, 2015 revised interim final rule to the interim rule originally published in November 2005 at 7 CFR part 1, 43 CFR part 45, and 50 CFR part 221, to implement section 241 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EP Act), Public Law 109–58, enacted on August 8, 2005. Section 241 of the EP Act added a new section 33 to the Federal Power Act (FPA), 16 U.S.C. 823d, that allowed the license applicant or any other party to the license proceeding to propose an alternative to a condition or prescription that one or more of the Departments develop for inclusion in a hydropower license issued by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) under the FPA. This provision required that the Department of Agriculture, the Department of the Interior, and the Department of Commerce collect the information covered by 1094–0001.

Under FPA section 33, the Secretary of the Department involved must accept the proposed alternative if the Secretary determines, based on substantial evidence provided by a party to the license proceeding or otherwise available to the Secretary, (a) that the alternative condition provides for the adequate protection and utilization of the reservation, or that the alternative prescription will be no less protective than the fishway initially proposed by the Secretary, and (b) that the alternative will either cost significantly less to implement or result in improved operation of the project works for electricity production.

In order to make this determination, the regulations require that all of the following information be collected: (1) A description of the alternative, in an equivalent level of detail to the Department’s preliminary condition or prescription; (2) an explanation of how the alternative: (i) If a condition, will provide for the adequate protection and utilization of the reservation; or (ii) if a prescription, will be no less protective than the fishway prescribed by the bureau; (3) an explanation of how the alternative, as compared to the preliminary condition or prescription, will: (i) Cost significantly less to implement; or (ii) result in improved operation of the project works for electricity production; (4) an explanation of how the alternative or revised alternative will affect: (i) Energy supply, distribution, cost, and use; (ii) flood control; (iii) navigation; (iv) water supply; (v) air quality; and (vi) other aspects of environmental quality; and (5) specific citations to any scientific studies, literature, and other documented information relied on to support the proposal.

This notice of proposed renewal of an existing information collection is being published by the Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance, Department of the Interior, on behalf of all three Departments, and the data provided below covers anticipated responses (alternative conditions/prescriptions and associated information) for all three Departments.

Title of Collection: 7 CFR part 1; 43 CFR part 45; 50 CFR part 221; The Alternatives Process in Hydropower Licensing.

OMB Control Number: 1094–0001.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents/Affected Public: Business or for-profit entities.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Respondents: 5.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 5.

Estimated Completion Time per Response: 500 hours.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 2,500 hours.

Respondent’s Obligation: Voluntary.
Frequency of Collection: Once per alternative proposed.

Total Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost: None.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Stephen G. Tryon,

Director, Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2021–17932 Filed 8–20–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4334–63–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[DOI–BLM–NV–W010–2020–0030–EIS;
LLNVW01000.L51100000.GN0000.
LVEMF1907180.19XMO#4500153666]

Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Gold Acquisition Corporation—Relief Canyon Gold Mine—Mine Expansion Amendment, Pershing County, NV

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, as amended, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Humboldt River Field Office, Winnemucca, Nevada has prepared the Relief Canyon Mine Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed expansion to the Relief Canyon gold mining operation in Pershing County, Nevada, and by this notice announces the availability of the Final EIS.

DATES: The BLM will not issue a final decision on the proposal for a minimum of 30-days after the Environmental Protection Agency publishes its notice of availability of the Gold Acquisition Corporation—Relief Canyon Gold Mine—Mine Expansion Amendment Final EIS DOI–BLM–NV–W010–2020–0030–EIS in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Relief Canyon Mine Plan Expansion and the Final EIS