The purpose of this modified matching agreement is to verify the eligibility of applicants and subscribers to Lifeline (existing purpose), as well as to the new EBBP and to other Federal programs that use qualification for Lifeline as an eligibility criterion. This new agreement would replace existing agreements with Iowa that permit matching for Lifeline and EBBP by checking an applicant’s/subscriber’s participation in SNAP. Under FCC rules, consumers receiving these benefits qualify for Lifeline discounts and also for EBBP benefits.

Categories of Individuals
The categories of individuals whose information is involved in the matching program include, but are not limited to, those individuals who have applied for Lifeline and/or EBBP benefits; are currently receiving Lifeline and/or EBBP benefits; are individuals who enable another individual in their household to qualify for Lifeline and/or EBBP benefits; are minors whose status qualifies a parent or guardian for Lifeline and/or EBBP benefits; or are individuals who have received Lifeline and/or EBBP benefits.

Categories of Records
The categories of records involved in the matching program include, but are not limited to, the last four digits of the applicant’s Social Security Number, date of birth, and last name. The National Verifier will transfer these data elements to the Iowa Department which will respond either “yes” or “no” that an individual is enrolled in a qualifying program. The matching program reside in the Lifeline System(s) of Records Human Services SNAP.

Categories of Records
The categories of records involved in the matching program include, but are not limited to, the last four digits of the applicant’s Social Security Number, date of birth, and last name. The National Verifier will transfer these data elements to the Iowa Department which will respond either “yes” or “no” that an individual is enrolled in a qualifying program. The matching program reside in the Lifeline System(s) of Records Human Services SNAP.
declared a National Emergency concerning the COVID–19 pandemic. The next day, the FDIC Chairman issued a mandatory telework order for all employees, consistent with the FDIC’s Continuity of Operations Plan and its continued balancing of risks and mitigations under the FDIC Pandemic Influenza Plan. This telework order provided, among other things, that unless otherwise directed, all examination activity of FDIC-supervised institutions to be conducted off-site.

By leveraging prior efforts and existing technology systems, examiners have continued the FDIC examination program despite pandemic conditions.

In light of the experience of the last year, and as we look ahead, the FDIC wants to leverage what worked well in the off-site examination context to see what lessons we can learn about streamlining and improving the efficiency and efficacy of our examinations as we plan for future examinations.

**Off-Site/On-Site Procedures**

Prior to the pandemic, the FDIC established the following instructions for examiners regarding expectations for off-site and on-site examination activities. The FDIC is the in the process of reviewing those instructions and identifying opportunities to enhance them by incorporating lessons learned from the pandemic.

**Safety and Soundness**

Each safety and soundness examination has an on-site component, consistent with the requirements of Section 10(d) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act. Per the FDIC’s Risk Management Supervision (RMS) Manual of Examination Policies, Section 21.1 Examination Planning,2 during the examination planning stage, the FDIC Examiner-in-Charge (EIC) is expected to identify examination activities that are appropriate for off-site review and those that are better suited for on-site review after considering the institution’s business model, risk profile, and complexity.

The determination of the extent of off-site or on-site for each examination activity depends, in part, on the type and extent of electronic information available and whether the activity requires interaction with institution personnel.

Examiners are encouraged to conduct a number of activities off-site, such as:

- Reviewing historical financial and supervisory data and performing initial analysis of capital, earnings, liquidity, and sensitivity to market risk; and
- Reviewing the institution’s written policies and procedures.

Regarding credit review, examiners may conduct the following types of actions off-site:

- Reviewing loan policies; and
- Reviewing performance report ratio data and management reports.

Examiners are currently expected to conduct certain activities on-site:

- Conducting in-depth discussions with management, including exit meetings; and
- Observing and assessing institution operations and internal controls.

**Consumer Compliance and CRA**

FDIC compliance and CRA examinations primarily involve three stages: pre-examination planning; review and analysis, both off-site and on-site; and communicating findings to institution management. Pre-examination planning is generally completed off-site in advance of the examination start date.

The extent to which consumer compliance and CRA examinations are conducted off-site varies. Examiners generally consider conducting certain examination activities off-site to promote efficient and effective examinations, and to minimize disruptions to an institution’s normal business activities; other examination activities are more efficiently and effectively conducted on-site. For example, consumer compliance staff have found that generally, it is more efficient to perform robust transaction testing on-site. In addition, consistent and open communication benefits from in-person meetings with institution management.

**FDIC Use of Technology**

The FDIC regularly evaluates and implements technology and process changes to improve regulatory effectiveness and efficiency. Some examples of technology improvements include:

- **File exchange**: The FDIC has many systems that permit financial institutions to provide electronic documents to the FDIC on a secure basis.
- **Interactive software**: The FDIC has been able to leverage technology to collaborate during examinations with other regulators as well as bankers.

**Request for Comments From Interested Parties**

The FDIC is issuing this RFI seeking feedback and comments from FDIC-supervised financial institutions regarding the FDIC’s supervisory approach to examinations during the pandemic, including the impact of off-site activities on institution operations, the effectiveness of technology used to carry out off-site activities, and the effectiveness of communication methods used to support off-site activities. Specifically, the FDIC is seeking comment on what worked well in the off-site examination context to inform plans for future examinations, consistent with applicable law and the purpose of examinations.

The FDIC encourages comments from financial institutions for which the FDIC is the primary regulator. The FDIC also welcomes comments from other interested members of the public, including, but not limited to, other financial institutions or companies, individual depositors and consumers, consumer groups, trade associations, and others.

**Suggested Topics for Commenters**

**On-Site and Off-Site Activities**

1. In your experience, what FDIC examination activities have been best adapted to completion on an off-site basis? Please explain, including why these activities are performed best or are most effective using an off-site approach.

2. In your experience, what FDIC examination activities have not been as well suited to completion on an off-site basis? Please explain, including why these activities are best suited for completion on or are most effective using an on-site approach.

3. What criteria are useful in determining FDIC examination activities best suited for completion on either an off-site or on-site basis? Please explain.

**Use of Technology**

4. In your experience, what FDIC technologies used in conjunction with off-site examination activities have worked well? Please explain.

5. In your experience, what FDIC technologies used in conjunction with off-site examination activities could be improved? Please explain.

6. What new or emerging technologies would support additional off-site examination activities? Please explain, including any potential impediments to adoption or deployment.

**Communication Methods**

7. What communication methods used during FDIC off-site examinations worked well? Please explain.

8. What communication methods used during FDIC off-site examinations could be improved? Please explain.
9. Should the FDIC continue to use secure email as an alternative to hardcopy mail, including when providing outgoing supervisory correspondence? Please explain.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Dated at Washington, DC, on August 5, 2021.

James P. Sheesley, Assistant Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2021–17230 Filed 8–11–21; 8:45 am]
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FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

Temporary Suspension of In-Person Hearings

AGENCY: Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission (the “Commission”) is temporarily suspending in-person hearings, settlement conference and mediation, and proceedings in the manner described below until December 31, 2021.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 30, 2021, Commission Chief Administrative Law Judge Glynn F. Voisin issued an order, which is posted on the Commission’s website (www.fmsrhc.gov). The contents of the order were also published in the Federal Register. 86 FR 42,827 (Aug. 5, 2021). Under the terms of that order, the Commission was going to resume the pre-pandemic norm of in-person proceedings as of September 1, 2021.

On August 6, 2021, Chief Judge Voisin issued an order supplementing the July 30 order. The contents of the August 6 order are set forth in this notice.

In view of recently updated guidance from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (“CDC”) highlighting the risks presented by the novel coronavirus COVID–19 and especially its rapidly spreading delta variant, the Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission, Office of the Chief Administrative Law Judge (OCALJ) is, effective immediately, strongly discouraging the scheduling of in-person hearings, settlement conference and mediation until December 31, 2021. At the discretion of the presiding Administrative Law Judge and in coordination with the parties, such proceedings may be held by videoconference or by telephone.

In the event a Judge determines in-person proceedings are necessary for all or part of a hearing, settlement conference or mediation, he or she will seek authorization of Chief Judge Voisin prior to scheduling any such proceeding. Such authorization should only be sought where absolutely necessary and is unlikely to be granted absent an extraordinarily compelling need and strict protocols ensuring the safety of all in attendance. No party, representative, or witness shall be compelled to attend an in-person hearing or conference during the pendency of this order.

The presiding administrative law judge may be contacted with questions regarding this notice.


Dated: August 6, 2021.

Sarah L. Stewart, Deputy General Counsel, Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission.

[FR Doc. 2021–17152 Filed 8–11–21; 8:45 am]
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FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 et seq.) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The public portions of the applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, if any, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank(s) indicated below and at the offices of the Board of Governors. This information may also be obtained on an expedited basis, upon request, by contacting the appropriate Federal Reserve Bank and from the Board’s Freedom of Information Office at https://www.federalreserve.gov/foia/ request.htm. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors, Ann E. Misback, Secretary of the Board, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20551–0001, not later than September 13, 2021.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas (Karen Smith, Director, Applications)