

**List of Subjects in 22 CFR Part 51**

Passports.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth in the preamble, 22 CFR part 51 is proposed to be amended as follows:

**PART 51—PASSPORTS**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 51 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 8 U.S.C. 1504; 18 U.S.C. 1621; 22 U.S.C. 211a, 212, 212b, 213, 213n (Pub. L. 106–113 Div. B, Sec. 1000(a)(7) [Div. A, Title II, Sec. 236], 113 Stat. 1536, 1501A–430); 214, 214a, 217a, 218, 2651a, 2671(d)(3), 2705, 2714, 2714a, 2721, & 3926; 26 U.S.C. 6039E; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 42 U.S.C. 652(k) [Div. B, Title V of Pub. L. 103–317, 108 Stat. 1760]; E.O. 11295, Aug. 6, 1966, FR 10603, 3 CFR, 1966–1970 Comp., p. 570; Pub. L. 114–119, 130 Stat. 15; Sec. 1 of Pub. L. 109–210, 120 Stat. 319; Sec. 2 of Pub. L. 109–167, 119 Stat. 3578; Sec. 5 of Pub. L. 109–472, 120 Stat. 3554; Pub. L. 108–447, Div. B, Title IV, Dec. 8, 2004, 118 Stat. 2809; Pub. L. 108–458, 118 Stat. 3638, 3823 (Dec. 17, 2004).

■ 2. Section 51.8 is revised to read as follows:

**§ 51.8 Submission of currently valid passport.**

(a) When applying for a new passport in person or by mail, an applicant must submit for cancellation any currently valid passport of the same type.

(b) When applying for a new passport on-line, an applicant must have the currently valid passport of the same type available for cancellation via the on-line process.

(c) If an applicant is unable to produce a passport under paragraph (a) or (b) of this section, he or she must submit a signed statement in the form prescribed by the Department setting forth the circumstances regarding the disposition of the passport.

(d) The Department may deny or limit a passport if the applicant has failed to provide a sufficient and credible explanation for lost, stolen, altered or mutilated passport(s) previously issued to the applicant, after being given a reasonable opportunity to do so.

■ 3. Section 51.21 is amended by revising paragraph (b) subject heading and paragraph (b)(2), and adding paragraph (b)(3) to read as follows:

**§ 51.21 Execution of passport application.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) *Application by mail or on-line—persons in the United States.*

\* \* \* \* \*

(2) A person in the United States who previously has been issued a passport valid for 10 years in their own name may apply for a new passport by filling out, signing, and submitting an on-line

application via the Department's official website if:

(i) The applicant's most recently issued passport of the same type was issued when the applicant was 16 years of age or older, and has one year or less of validity remaining;

(ii) The application is submitted not more than 15 years following the issue date of the most recently issued passport of the same type;

(iii) The first release of the OPR system will require that the application be submitted in the same name, sex marker, date of birth, and place of birth as the most recently issued passport of the same type with the intent that future releases will permit changes; and

(iv) The most recently issued passport of the same type is available for verification via the on-line process.

(3) The applicant must also provide photographs as prescribed by the Department and pay the applicable fees prescribed in the Schedule of Fees for Consular Services (22 CFR 22.1).

\* \* \* \* \*

**Rachel Arndt,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Consular Affairs, Department of State.*

[FR Doc. 2021–16928 Filed 8–6–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4710–06–P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY****40 CFR Part 52**

[EPA–R07–OAR–2021–0474; FRL–8755–01–R7]

**Air Plan Approval; Missouri; Control of Emissions From Batch Process Operations**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing approval of a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by Missouri on January 19, 2021. Missouri requests that the EPA approve into Missouri's SIP revisions to its rule related to control of emissions from batch process operations. These revisions update references to the appropriate state rule for New Source Performance Regulations. These revisions are administrative in nature and do not reduce the stringency of the SIP or have an adverse impact to air quality. The EPA's proposed approval of this rule revision is being done in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 8, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R07–OAR–2021–0474 to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

**Instructions:** All submissions received must include the Docket ID No. for this rulemaking. Comments received will be posted without change to <https://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on sending comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the “Written Comments” heading of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Robert F. Webber, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7 Office, Air Permitting and Standards Branch, 11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, Kansas 66219; telephone number: (913) 551–7251; email address: [webber.robert@epa.gov](mailto:webber.robert@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Throughout this document “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to the EPA.

**Table of Contents**

- I. Written Comments
- II. What is being addressed in this document?
- III. Have the requirements for approval of a SIP revision been met?
- IV. What action is the EPA proposing to take?
- V. Incorporation by Reference
- VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

**I. Written Comments**

Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R07–OAR–2021–0474, at <https://www.regulations.gov>. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit

<https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

## II. What is being addressed in this document?

The EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Missouri SIP received on January 19, 2021. The revisions are to Title 10, Division 10 of the Code of State Regulations (CSR), 10 CSR 10–5.540 “Control of Emissions From Batch Process Operations” which limits the volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from batch process operations by incorporating reasonably available control technology (RACT) requirements in the St. Louis 1997 ozone nonattainment area as required by the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) of 1990. These revisions remove references to state rule 10 CSR 10–6.030, “Sampling Methods for Air Pollution Sources,” and replaces them with references to 10 CSR 10–6.070, “New Source Performance Regulations,” where the new source performance standards in 40 CFR part 60 are appropriately incorporated by reference. These revisions are described in detail in the technical support document (TSD) included in the docket for this action.

Missouri received no comments during the state public comment period on the proposed rule revisions. The EPA is proposing to approve the revisions to this rule because it will not have a negative impact on air quality.

## III. Have the requirements for approval of a SIP revision been met?

The State submission has met the public notice requirements for SIP submissions in accordance with 40 CFR 51.102. The submission also satisfied the completeness criteria of 40 CFR part 51, appendix V. The State provided public notice on this SIP revision from December 16, 2019 to February 6, 2020 and received no comments on this rulemaking. As explained above and in the TSD included in the docket, the revision meets the substantive SIP requirements of the CAA, including section 110 and implementing regulations.

## IV. What action is the EPA proposing to take?

The EPA is proposing to approve Missouri’s request to revise 10 CSR 10–5.540. The EPA is soliciting comment on the substantive and administrative

revisions detailed in this proposal and the TSD. The EPA is not soliciting comment on existing rule text that has been previously approved by the EPA into the SIP. Final rulemaking will occur after consideration of any comments.

## V. Incorporation by Reference

In this document, the EPA is proposing to include regulatory text in an EPA final rule that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is proposing to incorporate by reference the Missouri Regulation described in the proposed amendments to 40 CFR part 52 set forth below. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials generally available through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and at the EPA Region 7 Office (please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

## VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);

- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTA) because this rulemaking does not involve technical standards; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

The SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

## List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: July 30, 2021.

**Edward H. Chu,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7.*

For the reasons stated in the preamble, EPA proposes to amend 40 CFR part 52 as set forth below:

## PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

- 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

*Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

### Subpart AA—Missouri

- 2. In § 52.1320, the table in paragraph (c) is amended by revising the entry “10–5.540” to read as follows:

#### § 52.1320 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \*  
(c) \* \* \*

EPA-APPROVED MISSOURI REGULATIONS

Missouri citation	Title	State effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation
<b>Missouri Department of Natural Resources</b>				
<b>Chapter 5—Air Quality Standards and Air Pollution Control Regulations for the St. Louis Metropolitan Area</b>				
10–5.540 .....	Control of Emissions From Batch Process Operations.	7/30/2020	[Date of publication of the final rule in the <b>Federal Register</b> ], [Federal Register citation of the final rule].	

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2021–16739 Filed 8–6–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

---

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

**[EPA–R09–OAR–2021–0368; FRL–8716–01–R9 ]**

**Air Plan Approval; Nevada; Revisions to Clark County Ozone Maintenance Plan**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

---

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve a revision to the State of Nevada’s state implementation plan (SIP) for Clark County. The revision consists of an update to certain elements of the maintenance plan for the Clark County air quality planning area for the 1997 8-hour ozone national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS or “standards”), including certain emissions inventories and motor vehicle emissions budgets. The EPA is proposing to approve the SIP revision because the Clark County ozone maintenance plan, as revised, continues to provide for maintenance of the 1997 ozone NAAQS and will not interfere with attainment or reasonable further progress of the other NAAQS, and the motor vehicle emissions budgets meet the applicable transportation conformity requirements.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 8, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R09–OAR–2021–0368, at <https://www.regulations.gov>. For comments submitted at *Regulations.gov*, follow the

online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>. If you need assistance in a language other than English or if you are a person with disabilities who needs a reasonable accommodation at no cost to you, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Karina O’Connor, Air Planning Office (AIR–2), EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105; By phone: (775) 434–8176 or by email at [occonnor.karina@epa.gov](mailto:occonnor.karina@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document, “we,” “us,” or “our” refer to the EPA.

**Table of Contents**

I. What action is the EPA proposing?  
 II. Background

A. NAAQS, SIPs, Designations, and Clark County  
 B. 2008 and 2015 Ozone NAAQS  
 C. Transportation Conformity and the 2018 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision

III. What did the State submit?  
 IV. Procedural Requirements for Adoption and Submittal of SIP Revisions  
 V. The EPA’s Evaluation of the 2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision  
     A. Emission Inventories  
     B. Revised Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets  
     C. CAA Section 110(l) Evaluation  
 VI. Proposed Action and Request for Public Comment  
 VII. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

**I. What action is the EPA proposing?**

Under section 110(k) of the Clean Air Act (“Act” or CAA), the EPA is required to take action by approving, disapproving, or conditionally approving, in whole or in part, SIPs and SIP revisions submitted by the states. In today’s action, the EPA is proposing to approve a SIP revision titled “Revision to Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets for the 1997 Ozone NAAQS, Clark County, Nevada” (August 2020) (herein, referred to as the “2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision”), submitted by the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) on September 30, 2020.<sup>1</sup> The 2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision updates certain elements of the maintenance plan for Clark County for the 1997 ozone NAAQS, including certain emissions inventories and the motor vehicle emissions budgets (“budgets” or MVEBs). The 2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision was prepared in response to the EPA’s conditional approval of the “Revision to Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets in Ozone Redesignation Request and Maintenance Plan: Clark County,

---

<sup>1</sup> NDEP submitted the 2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision electronically on September 30, 2020, as an attachment to a transmittal letter dated September 25, 2020.