

- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Methodology
- V. Currency Conversion
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021-16840 Filed 8-5-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-856]

Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products From Taiwan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2019-2020

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that producers/exporters subject to this review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2020. We further preliminarily determine that Synn Industrial Co., Ltd. (Synn) had no shipments during the POR. We are also rescinding this review for three companies. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable August 6, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles Doss or Kate Sliney, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4474 and (202) 482-2437, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain corrosion-resistant steel products (CORE) from Taiwan,¹ covering the following two respondents: (1) Prosperity Tieh Enterprise Co., Ltd. (Prosperity); and (2) the previously collapsed Yieh Phui Enterprise Co., Ltd. (YP) and Synn entity (collectively, YP/

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 85 FR 54983 (September 3, 2020).

Synn).² On March 25, 2021, we extended the preliminary results of this review to no later than July 30, 2021.³ For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁴ A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, the complete Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>.

Scope of the Order⁵

The products covered by the *Order* are flat-rolled steel products, either clad, plated, or coated with corrosion-

² In the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation of the AD order, we collapsed Prosperity, YP, and Synn and treated them as a single entity. See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances, in Part*, 81 FR 35313 (June 2, 2016), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 3 (*Taiwan CORE LTFV Final*), unchanged in *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India, Italy, the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea and Taiwan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determination for India and Taiwan, and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 82 FR 48390 (July 25, 2016) (*Order*). The determination to collapse Prosperity with Synn was challenged by respondent parties in the investigation and was subject to pending litigation in *Taiwan CORE LTFV Final*. In the first antidumping duty administrative review, we determined to no longer collapse Prosperity with YP and Synn, but we continued to collapse YP and Synn and treated them as a single entity. See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products From Taiwan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016-2017*, 83 FR 39679 (August 10, 2018); unchanged in *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products From Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016-2017*, 83 FR 64527 (December 17, 2018); amended by *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products From Taiwan: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016-2017*, 84 FR 5991 (February 25, 2019).

³ See Memorandum, "Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of the 2019-2020 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated March 25, 2021.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of the 2019-2020 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁵ For the full text of the scope of the *Order*, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

resistant metals such as zinc, aluminum, or zinc-, aluminum-, nickel- or iron-based alloys, whether or not corrugated or painted, varnished, laminated, or coated with plastics or other non-metallic substances in addition to the metallic coating. The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 7210.30.0030, 7210.30.0060, 7210.41.0000, 7210.49.0030, 7210.49.0040, 7210.49.0045, 7210.49.0091, 7210.49.0095, 7210.61.0000, 7210.69.0000, 7210.70.6030, 7210.70.6060, 7210.70.6090, 7210.90.6000, 7210.90.9000, 7212.20.0000, 7212.30.1030, 7212.30.1090, 7212.30.3000, 7212.30.5000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, 7212.50.0000, and 7212.60.0000. The products subject to the orders may also enter under the following HTSUS item numbers: 7210.90.1000, 7215.90.1000, 7215.90.3000, 7215.90.5000, 7217.20.1500, 7217.30.1530, 7217.30.1560, 7217.90.1000, 7217.90.5030, 7217.90.5060, 7217.90.5090, 7225.91.0000, 7225.92.0000, 7225.99.0090, 7226.99.0110, 7226.99.0130, 7226.99.0180, 7228.60.6000, 7228.60.8000, and 7229.90.1000. The HTSUS subheadings above are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. The written description of the scope of the *Order* is dispositive.

Partial Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party who requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. On November 30, 2020, Great Grandeul Steel Co., Ltd., Great Fortune Steel Co., Ltd., and Great Grandeul Steel Company Limited (*a.k.a.* Great Grandeul Steel Company Limited Somoa and Great Grandeul Steel Company Limited (Somoa)) timely withdrew their self-request for an administrative review. No other party requested a review of these companies. Accordingly, we are rescinding this review, in part, with respect to these companies, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

On September 30, 2020, Synn submitted a letter certifying that it had no exports or sales of subject merchandise into the United States

during the POR.⁶ Currently, the record contains no information which contradicts Synn’s claim, and we will revisit this issue following these preliminary results if we receive additional information from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). Therefore, pursuant to our preliminary determination to treat YP and Synn as distinct respondents for the purposes of this administrative review, as discussed immediately below, we preliminarily determine that Synn did not have any reviewable transactions during the POR. Consistent with Commerce’s practice, we will not rescind the review with respect to Synn, but rather will complete the review and issue instructions to CBP based on the final results.⁷

Affiliation and Collapsing

As noted above, YP and Synn were collapsed and treated as a single entity for the purposes of the LTFV investigation and prior administrative reviews of this antidumping order. As a result, we selected the YP/Synn entity as a single combined respondent and treated it as such in the pre-preliminary phase of this review. Subsequently, in the immediately preceding administrative review of this case, we determined that YP and Synn should no longer be collapsed.⁸ As the instant record mirrors that of the preceding review with respect to this issue, and we have received no comments contesting the determination not to collapse the YP/Synn entity, we preliminarily determine that YP and Synn should not be collapsed in this review.⁹

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1) and

⁶ See Synn’s Letter, “Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan; No Shipment Certification,” dated September 30, 2020.

⁷ See, e.g., *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Thailand; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Review, Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2012–2013*, 79 FR 15951, 15952 (March 24, 2014), unchanged in *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Final Determination of No Shipments, and Partial Rescission of Review; 2012–2013*, 79 FR 51306, 51307 (August 28, 2014).

⁸ See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019*, 85 FR 74669 (November 23, 2020), unchanged in *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019*, 86 FR 28554 (May 27, 2021).

⁹ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at Section V, “Affiliation and Collapsing.”

(2) of Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price and constructed export price were calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Results of the Review

Commerce preliminarily determines the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2020:

Exporter/producer	Estimated weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Prosperity Tieh Enterprise Co., Ltd.	3.63
Sheng Yu Steel Co., Ltd.	3.08
Yieh Phui Enterprise Co., Ltd.	1.97

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, Commerce shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.

For any individually examined respondents whose weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* (i.e., 0.50 percent), we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* AD assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).¹⁰ We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above *de minimis* (i.e., 0.50 percent). Where either the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the

¹⁰ In these preliminary results, Commerce applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

final results of this review where applicable.

For the company which was not selected for individual review (i.e., Sheng Yu Steel Co., Ltd.), we will assign an assessment rate based on the weighted-average of the cash deposit rates calculated for the companies selected for mandatory review (i.e., Prosperity and YP), excluding any which are *de minimis* or determined entirely on adverse facts available. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.¹¹

In accordance with Commerce’s “automatic assessment” practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by each respondent for which they did not know that their merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate entries not reviewed at the all-others rate of 3.66 percent established in the LTFV investigation¹² if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹³ We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of CORE from Taiwan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for each company listed above will be equal to the dumping margins established in the final results of this review except if the ultimate rates are *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rates will be zero; (2)

¹¹ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

¹² See *Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony with Final Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation and Notice of Amended Final Determination of Investigation*, 84 FR 6129 (February 26, 2019) (*Amended Final Determination*).

¹³ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the producer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of the proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 3.66 percent, the all-others rate established in *Amended Final Determination*.¹⁴ These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Verification

On December 3, 2020, AK Steel Corporation (AK Steel), a domestic interested party, requested, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.307(b)(1)(v) that Commerce conduct verification of the questionnaire responses of Prosperity and YP.¹⁵ Commerce is currently unable to conduct on-site verification of the information relied upon in making its final results of this administrative review. Accordingly, we intend to take additional steps in lieu of on-site verification to verify the information. Commerce will notify interested parties of any additional documentation or information required.

Disclosure and Public Comment

Commerce will disclose to parties to this proceeding the calculations performed in reaching the preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of these preliminary results.¹⁶ Case briefs may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance. Interested parties will be notified of the timeline for the submission of such case briefs and written comments at a later date. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than seven days after the date for filing case briefs.¹⁷ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this

proceeding are requested to submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue, (2) a summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities.¹⁸ All briefs must be filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by Commerce's electronic records system, ACCESS. Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.¹⁹

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, using Enforcement and Compliance's ACCESS system within 30 days of publication of this notice.²⁰ Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, we will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing at a time and location to be determined.²¹ Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing.

Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), Commerce will issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of the issues raised by the parties in their case briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

These preliminary results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: July 30, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Partial Rescission of Administrative Review
- V. Affiliation and Collapsing
- VI. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
- VII. Duty Absorption
- VIII. Rate for Respondent Not Selected for Individual Examination
- IX. Discussion of the Methodology
- X. Currency Conversion
- XI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021–16838 Filed 8–5–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–051, C–570–052]

Certain Hardwood Plywood Products From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Circumvention Determination and Notice of Amended Final Circumvention Determination Pursuant to Court Decision

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 21, 2021, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment in *Shelter Forest International Acquisition Inc., et al. v. United States*, Consol. Court no. 19–00212, sustaining the Department of Commerce (Commerce)'s first remand redetermination pertaining to the anti-circumvention determination for the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on certain hardwood plywood products (plywood) from the People's Republic of China. In the underlying inquiry, Commerce originally found that plywood with face and back veneers of radiata and/or agathis pine that: (1) Has a Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) or California Air Resources Board (CARB) label certifying that it is compliant with TSCA/CARB requirements; and (2) is made with a resin, the majority of which is comprised of one or more of the following three product types—urea formaldehyde, polyvinyl acetate, and/or soy (inquiry merchandise) was circumventing the orders, and was, therefore, included in the scope of the orders. Commerce is notifying the

¹⁴ See *Amended Final Determination*.

¹⁵ See AK Steel's Letter, "Petitioner's Request for Verification," dated December 3, 2020.

¹⁶ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

¹⁷ See 19 CFR 351.309(d); see also *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID–19*, 85 FR 17006 (March 26, 2020); and *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID–19; Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020) (collectively, *Temporary Rule*).

¹⁸ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

¹⁹ See *Temporary Rule*.

²⁰ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

²¹ See 19 CFR 351.310.