Dated: July 16, 2021.

Deborah Jordan,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the Environmental Protection Agency amends part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart F—California

2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(423)(i)(A)(6), (9), and (10), (c)(527)(i)(C), and (c)(545)(i)(C) to read as follows:

§ 52.220 Identification of plan-in part.

(c) * * * * *
(423) * * * *
(i) * * * *
(A) * * * *

(9) Previously approved on January 31, 2013, in paragraph (c)(423)(i)(A)(2) of this section and now deleted with replacement in paragraph (c)(527)(i)(C)(2) of this section, Rule 302 “Agricultural Waste Burning Smoke Management”, amended on February 9, 2012.

(10) Previously approved on January 31, 2013, in paragraph (c)(423)(i)(A)(5) of this section and now deleted with replacement in paragraph (c)(545)(i)(C)(1) of this section, Rule 305 “Residential Allowable Burning”, amended on February 9, 2012.

§ 52.220 Identification of plan-in part.

(c) * * * * *
(527) * * * *
(i) * * * *
(Placer County Air Pollution Control District.


§ 52.220 Identification of plan-in part.

(c) * * * * *
(545) * * * *
(i) * * * *
(Placer County Air Pollution Control District.


(2) [Reserved]

§ 52.220 Identification of plan-in part.

(c) * * * * *
(FFDCA).


ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180


Fludioxonil; Pesticide Tolerances

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This regulation establishes a tolerance for residues of fludioxonil in or on banana. Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC requested this tolerance under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA).

DATES: This regulation is effective July 28, 2021. Objections and requests for hearings must be received on or before September 27, 2021, and must be filed in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178 (see also Unit I.C. of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION).

ADDRESSES: The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPP–2020–0334, is available at http://www.regulations.gov or on the Office of Pesticide Programs Regulatory Public Docket (OPP Docket) in the Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the OPP Docket is (703) 305–5805.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the Environmental Protection Agency amends part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart F—California

2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(423)(i)(A)(6), (9), and (10), (c)(527)(i)(C), and (c)(545)(i)(C) to read as follows:

§ 52.220 Identification of plan-in part.

(c) * * * * *
(423) * * * *
(i) * * * *
(A) * * * *

(9) Previously approved on January 31, 2013, in paragraph (c)(423)(i)(A)(2) of this section and now deleted with replacement in paragraph (c)(527)(i)(C)(2) of this section, Rule 302 “Agricultural Waste Burning Smoke Management”, amended on February 9, 2012.

(10) Previously approved on January 31, 2013, in paragraph (c)(423)(i)(A)(5) of this section and now deleted with replacement in paragraph (c)(545)(i)(C)(1) of this section, Rule 305 “Residential Allowable Burning”, amended on February 9, 2012.

§ 52.220 Identification of plan-in part.

(c) * * * * *
(527) * * * *
(i) * * * *
(Placer County Air Pollution Control District.


§ 52.220 Identification of plan-in part.

(c) * * * * *
(545) * * * *
(i) * * * *
(Placer County Air Pollution Control District.


(2) [Reserved]
methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal**: [http://www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.
- **Mail**: OPP Docket, Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001.
- **Hand Delivery**: To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at [http://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html](http://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html).
  Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about docket generally, is available at [http://www.epa.gov/dockets](http://www.epa.gov/dockets).

II. Summary of Petitioned-For Tolerance

In the **Federal Register** of April 22, 2021 (86 FR 21317) (FRL–10022–59), EPA issued a document pursuant to FFDCA section 408(d)(3), 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(3), announcing the filing of a pesticide petition (PP 9E8798) by Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC, 410 Swing Road, NC 27419–8300. The petition requested that 40 CFR 180.516 be amended by establishing a tolerance for residues of the fungicide fludioxonil, 4-(2,2-difluoro-1,3-benzodioxol-4-yl)-1H-pyrrrole-3-carbonitrile, in or on banana at 2.0 parts per million (ppm). That document referenced a summary of the petition prepared by Syngenta Crop Protection, the registrant, which is available in the docket, [http://www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). There were no comments received in response to the notice of filing.

FFDCA section 408(d)(4)(A)(ii) permits the Agency to finalize a tolerance that varies from that sought by the petition. Based upon review of the data supporting the petition, EPA is modifying the requested tolerance based on crop field trial data and for consistency with the Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) tolerance-rounding class practice. The reason for these changes are explained in Unit IV.D.

III. Aggregate Risk Assessment and Determination of Safety

Section 408(b)(2)(A)(i) of FFDCA allows EPA to establish a tolerance (the legal limit for a pesticide chemical residue in or on a food) only if EPA determines that the tolerance is “safe.” Section 408(b)(2)(A)(ii) of FFDCA defines “safe” to mean that “there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue, including all anticipated dietary exposures and all other exposures for which there is reliable information.” This includes exposure through drinking water and in residential settings but does not include occupational exposure. Section 408(b)(2)(C) of FFDCA requires EPA to give special consideration to exposure of infants and children to the pesticide chemical residue in establishing a tolerance and to “ensure that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to infants and children from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue. . . .”

Consistent with FFDCA section 408(b)(2)(D), and the factors specified therein, EPA has reviewed the available scientific data and other relevant information in support of this action. EPA has sufficient data to assess the hazards of and to make a determination on aggregate exposure for fludioxonil, including exposure resulting from the tolerance established by this action. EPA’s assessment of exposures and risks associated with fludioxonil follows.

In an effort to streamline Federal Register publications, EPA is not reprinting here summaries of its analyses that have previously appeared in the **Federal Register** in previous tolerance rulemakings for the same pesticide. To that end, this rulemaking refers the reader to several sections from the November 6, 2018 tolerance rulemaking for residues of fludioxonil that remain unchanged for an understanding of the Agency’s rationale in support of this rulemaking. See 83 FR 55491 (FRL–9982–75). Those sections are: Units III.A. (Toxicological Profile); III.B. (Toxicological Points of Departure/Levels of Concern); III.C. (Exposure Assessment), except as explained in the next paragraphs; and III.D. (Safety Factor for Infants and Children). Further information about the Agency’s risk assessment and determination of safety supporting the new tolerance for residues of fludioxonil on bananas can be found in docket ID number EPA–HQ–OPP–2020–0334 in the document titled “Fludioxonil. Human Health Risk Assessment for the Establishment of a Permanent Tolerance on Imported Bananas.”

**Updates to exposure assessments.** EPA’s dietary (food and drinking water) exposure assessments have been updated to include the additional exposure from use of fludioxonil on bananas, and revised on tolerance-level residues, an assumption of 100 percent crop treated (PCT), and 2018 default processing factors for all processed commodities. EPA’s aggregate exposure assessment incorporated this additional dietary exposure. Drinking water exposures are not impacted by the new use on banana, and thus have not changed since the last assessment.

**Assessment of aggregate risks.** An acute aggregate risk assessment was not conducted since effects attributable to a single exposure were not identified. Short-term aggregate risk for adults and children resulted in margins of exposure > 280, which is above the level of concern of 100 and not of concern. An intermediate-term aggregate risk assessment was conducted since exposures are not expected based on the use pattern. The chronic aggregate risk assessment was equivalent to the chronic dietary risk assessment and was not conducted since there are no long-term exposures expected based on the use pattern. Chronic aggregate risks consist of dietary (food and drinking water) exposure only and are below the Agency’s level of concern: 56% of the chronic population adjusted dose (cPAD) for children 1 to 2 years old, the group with the highest exposure.

**Determination of safety.** Therefore, based on the risk assessments and information described above, EPA concludes there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to the general population, or to infants and children from aggregate exposure to fludioxonil residues. More detailed information on the subject action to establish a tolerance in or on bananas can be found in the document entitled, “Fludioxonil. Human Health Risk Assessment for the Establishment of a Permanent Tolerance on Imported Bananas” by going to [http://www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). The referenced document is available in the docket established by this action, EPA–HQ–OPP–2020–0334.

IV. Other Considerations

A. Analytical Enforcement Methodology

**Adverse enforcement methodology** (high-performance liquid chromatography/ultraviolet (HPLC/UV) methods (Methods AG–597 and AG–597B)) is available for enforcing tolerances for fludioxonil on plant commodities. An adequate liquid chromatography, tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) method (Analytical Method GRM025.03A) is available for enforcing tolerances for fludioxonil on livestock commodities.

The methods may be requested from: Chief, Analytical Chemistry Branch, Environmental Science Center, 701 Mapes Rd., Ft. Meade, MD 20755–5350; telephone number: (410) 305–2905;
email address: residuemethods@epa.gov.

B. International Residue Limits

In making its tolerance decisions, EPA seeks to harmonize U.S. tolerances with international standards whenever possible, consistent with U.S. food safety standards and agricultural practices. EPA considers the international maximum residue limits (MRLs) established by the Codex Alimentarius Commission (Codex), as required by FFDCA section 408(b)(4). The Codex Alimentarius is a joint United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization food standards program, and it is recognized as an international food safety standards-setting organization in trade agreements to which the United States is a party. EPA may establish a tolerance that is different from a Codex MRL; however, FFDCA section 408(b)(4) requires that EPA explain the reasons for departing from the Codex level.

The Codex has not established a MRL for fludioxonil; however, Canada’s Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA) has a default MRL of 0.1 ppm on banana. EPA is establishing a tolerance level for bananas at 3 ppm.

C. Revisions to Petitioned-For Tolerances

The petitioned-for tolerance level of 2.0 ppm in bananas has been modified to 3 ppm based on crop field trial data and the OECD tolerance calculation procedure.

V. Conclusion

Therefore, a tolerance is established for residues of fludioxonil, 4-(2,2-difluoro-1,3-benzodioxol-4-yl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carbonitrile, in or on bananas at 3 ppm.

VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

This action establishes a tolerance under FFDCA section 408(d) in response to a petition submitted to the Agency. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted these types of actions from review under Executive Order 12866, entitled “Regulatory Planning and Review” (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993). Because this action has been exempted from review under Executive Order 12866, this action is not subject to Executive Order 13211, entitled “Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use” (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001) or Executive Order 13045, entitled “Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks” (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997). This action does not contain any information collections subject to OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), nor does it require any special considerations under Executive Order 12898, entitled “Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations” (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

Since tolerances and exemptions that are established on the basis of a petition under FFDCA section 408(d), such as the tolerance in this final rule, do not require the issuance of a proposed rule, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), do not apply.

This action directly regulates growers, food processors, food handlers, and food retailers, not States or Tribes, nor does this action alter the relationships or distribution of power and responsibilities established by Congress in the preemption provisions of FFDCA section 408(n). As such, the Agency has determined that this action will not have a substantial direct effect on States or Tribal Governments, on the relationship between the National Government and the States or Tribal Governments, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government or between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes. Thus, the Agency has determined that Executive Order 13132, entitled “Federalism” (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999) and Executive Order 13175, entitled “Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments” (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000) do not apply to this action. In addition, this action does not impose any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described under Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) (2 U.S.C. 1501 et seq.).

This action does not involve any technical standards that would require Agency consideration of voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note).

VII. Congressional Review Act

Pursuant to the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 et seq.), EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: July 15, 2021.

Marietta Echeverria,
Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, for the reasons stated in the preamble, EPA is amending 40 CFR chapter I as follows:

PART 180—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICAL RESIDUES IN FOOD

§180.516 Fludioxonil; tolerances for residues.
(a) * * *
(1) * * *

TABLE 1 TO PARAGRAPH (a)(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Parts per million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Banana1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * *

1There are no U.S. registrations as of July 28, 2021.

[FR Doc. 2021-16091 Filed 7-27-21; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
47 CFR Part 64

[WC Docket No. 12-375, FCC 21-60; FRS 35682]

Rates for Interstate Inmate Calling Services

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; denial of reconsideration.

---

This action directly regulates growers, food processors, food handlers, and food retailers, not States or Tribes, nor does this action alter the relationships or distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government or between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes. Thus, the Agency has determined that Executive Order 13132, entitled “Federalism” (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999) and Executive Order 13175, entitled “Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments” (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000) do not apply to this action. In addition, this action does not impose any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described under Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) (2 U.S.C. 1501 et seq.).