

respectively. Potassium citrate and crude calcium citrate are classifiable under 2918.15.5000 and, if included in a mixture or blend, 3824.99.9295 of the HTSUS. Blends that include citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate are classifiable under 3824.99.9295 of the HTSUS. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

Final Results of the Administrative Review

We determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the respondents for the POR, July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2020:

Exporter or producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
COFCO Biochemical (Thailand) Co., Ltd	0.00
Sunshine Biotech International Co., Ltd	0.00

Disclosure

As noted above, Commerce received no comments on its *Preliminary Results*. As a result, we have not modified our analysis, and will not issue a decision memorandum to accompany this **Federal Register** notice. Further, because we have not changed our calculations since the *Preliminary Results*, there are no new calculations to disclose in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b) for these final results. We are adopting the *Preliminary Results* as the final results.

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. We will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of the importer's sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

Where the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is either zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c), or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Commerce's "reseller policy" will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by companies included in these final results of review for which the reviewed companies did not know that the merchandise they sold to the intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.⁴

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements for estimated antidumping duties will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the companies listed above will be equal to each company's weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review (except if that rate is *de minimis*, in which situation the cash deposit rate will be zero); (2) for merchandise exported by a producer or exporter not covered in this review but covered in a prior completed segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the producer has been covered in a prior complete segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 11.25 percent,⁵ the all-others rate established in the less-

⁴ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

⁵ See *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Belgium, Colombia, and Thailand: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 83 FR 35214, 35215 (July 25, 2018).

than-fair-value investigation. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: July 8, 2021.

James Maeder,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2021-14896 Filed 7-13-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration
[A-570-827]

Certain Cased Pencils From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018-2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) has completed its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils (cased pencils) from the

People's Republic of China for the period of review (POR) December 1, 2018, through November 30, 2019. We continue to find that Wah Yuen Stationery Co. Ltd. and Shandong Wah Yuen Stationery Co. Ltd. (collectively, Wah Yuen) had no shipments of cased pencils during the POR. We also continue to find that Tianjin Tonghe Stationery Co., Ltd. (Tianjin Tonghe) and Ningbo Homey Union Co., Ltd. (Ningbo Homey) are not eligible for a separate rate and should be treated as part of the China-wide entity.

DATES: Applicable July 14, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sergio Balbontin or Brian Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: 202-482-6478 or 202-482-1766, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 15, 2021, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* in the **Federal Register**.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*; however, no interested parties submitted comments. Accordingly, we made no changes to the *Preliminary Results*.

Scope of the Order²

The merchandise covered by this *Order* is certain cased pencils of any shape or dimension (except as described below) which are writing and/or drawing instruments that feature cores of graphite or other materials, encased in wood and/or man-made materials, whether or not decorated and whether or not tipped (e.g., with erasers, etc.) in any fashion, and either sharpened or unsharpened. The pencils subject to the *Order* are currently classifiable under subheading 9609.10.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Specifically excluded from the scope of the *Order* are mechanical pencils, cosmetic pencils, pens, non-cased crayons (wax), pastels, charcoals, chalks, and pencils produced under U.S. patent number

6,217,242, from paper infused with scents by the means covered in the above-referenced patent, thereby having odors distinct from those that may emanate from pencils lacking the scent infusion. Also excluded from the scope of the *Order* are pencils with all of the following physical characteristics: (1) Length: 13.5 or more inches; (2) sheath diameter: Not less than one-and-one quarter inches at any point (before sharpening); and (3) core length: Not more than 15 percent of the length of the pencil.

In addition, pencils with all of the following physical characteristics are excluded from the scope of the *Order*: Novelty jumbo pencils that are octagonal in shape, approximately ten inches long, one inch in diameter before sharpening, and three-and-one eighth inches in circumference, composed of turned wood encasing one-and-one half inches of sharpened lead on one end and a rubber eraser on the other end.

Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the *Order* is dispositive.

Final Determination of No Shipments

In the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce determined that Wah Yuen³ had no shipments of cased pencils during the POR, based on our analysis of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) entry documentation and Wah Yuen's questionnaire responses.⁴ We received no comments on our preliminary finding. As there is no information on the record that calls into question this preliminary finding, we continue to find in the final results of this review that Wah Yuen had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR.

³ Commerce has determined that Wah Yuen Stationery Co. Ltd. and Shandong Wah Yuen Stationery Co. Ltd. are affiliated and should be treated as a single entity in the *Preliminary Results* and prior administrative reviews. See *Preliminary Results* and Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 1, n.1; see also *Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review; 2014–2015*, 81 FR 37573 (June 10, 2016), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 9–10, unchanged in *Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review; 2014–2015*, 81 FR 74764 (October 27, 2016). We received no comments regarding our treatment of these companies as a single entity and therefore continue to collapse them for the final results of this administrative review.

⁴ See Wah Yuen's Letter, "Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Questionnaire Response," dated August 21, 2020; see also Wah Yuen's Letter, "Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Response to Supplemental Questionnaire," dated February 12, 2021.

China-Wide Entity

With the exception of Wah Yuen, we find all other companies for which a review was requested to be part of the China-wide entity, because they failed to file no-shipment statements, separate rate applications, or separate rate certifications. Accordingly, Tianjin Tonghe and Ningbo Homey are part of the China-wide entity. Because no party requested a review of the China-wide entity, and Commerce no longer considers the China-wide entity as an exporter, conditionally subject to administrative reviews, we did not conduct a review of the China-wide entity.⁵ The rate previously established for the China-wide entity is 114.90 percent and is not subject to change as a result of this review.⁶

Assessment Rates

Commerce shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.212(b). Because we determined that Tianjin Tonghe and Ningbo Homey are not eligible for a separate rate and are part of the China-wide entity, we will instruct CBP to apply an *ad valorem* assessment rate of 114.90 percent (i.e., the China-wide entity rate) to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR that were exported by these companies. In addition, as Commerce continues to find that Wah Yuen did not have any shipments of subject merchandise during the POR, we will instruct CBP to assess any suspended entries of subject merchandise associated with Wah Yuen at the China-wide rate.

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of these final results of review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all

⁵ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013).

⁶ See *Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013*, 80 FR 26897 (May 11, 2015).

¹ See *Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Preliminary Determination of No Shipments, and Rescission of Review, in Part; 2018–2019*, 86 FR 19873 (April 15, 2021) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See *Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order*, 82 FR 41608 (September 1, 2017); and *Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China*, 59 FR 66909 (December 28, 1994) (collectively, *Order*).

shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) Wah Yuen's cash deposit rate will continue to be its existing exporter-producer specific rate;⁷ (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters for which a review was not requested and that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate published for the most recently-completed period; (3) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the China-wide entity; and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

⁷ See *Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review; 2014–2015*, 81 FR 74764 (October 27, 2016), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h) and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: July 8, 2021.

James Maeder,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2021–14957 Filed 7–13–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–919]

Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is rescinding this review. The period of review (POR) is October 1, 2018, through September 30, 2019.

DATES: Applicable July 14, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Krishna Hill, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4037.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 23, 2021, Commerce published its preliminary rescission of this administrative review in the *Federal Register* and invited parties to comment thereon.¹ For a discussion of events subsequent to the *Preliminary Rescission*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.² On June 21, 2021, Commerce extended the deadline for issuing the final results of this review until July 14, 2021.³

¹ See *Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Rescission of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019*, 86 FR 10925 (February 23, 2021) (*Preliminary Rescission*).

² See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2018–2019 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide from the People's Republic of China,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ See Memorandum, “Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide from the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019; Extension of Deadline for Final Results,” dated June 21, 2021.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order includes all manganese dioxide (MnO₂) that has been manufactured in an electrolysis process, whether in powder, chip, or plate form. Excluded from the scope are natural manganese dioxide (NMD) and chemical manganese dioxide (CMD). The merchandise subject to the order is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheading 2820.10.00.00. While the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of the Comments Received

We addressed the issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs that were submitted in this review in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the sections in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>.

Rescission of Administrative Review

As discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, Duracell (China) Limited (DCL), the sole company under review reported that neither it, nor its U.S. affiliates, sold subject merchandise or further manufactured subject merchandise (*i.e.*, batteries containing subject merchandise) to unaffiliated U.S. customers during the POR. Moreover, Commerce determined that DCL did not adequately demonstrate that it could trace the POR entry of subject merchandise, which was used to manufacture batteries in the United States, to particular batteries that were sold to unaffiliated U.S. customers after the end of the POR. Therefore, we have determined that there are no reviewable sales with which to calculate a dumping margin and we have rescinded this review.⁴

Assessment

We intend to instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate POR entries of subject merchandise from DCL at the rate applicable at the time of entry into the United States,

⁴ See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).