

of the United States (HTSUS) subheading 9403.20.0078. Parts of subject certain metal lockers are classified under HTS subheading 9403.90.8041. In addition, subject certain metal lockers may also enter under HTS subheading 9403.20.0050. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Appendix II

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Investigation
- IV. Subsidies Valuation
- V. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences
- VI. Analysis of Programs
- VII. Analysis of Comments
 - Comment 1: Export Buyer’s Credit Program
 - Comment 2: Whether Commerce Should Use Non-Alloy Hot-Rolled Steel and Galvanized Steel Benchmarks
 - Comment 3: Whether Zhejiang Xingyi Verified the Accuracy of Its Reported Purchases of Galvanized Steel and Stainless Steel Coil
 - Comment 4: Electricity for Less Than Adequate Remuneration (LTAR) Program
 - Comment 5: Whether Commerce Should Continue To Apply AFA to the Provision of Steel Inputs for LTAR
 - Comment 6: Most Favored Nation Duty Rates
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021–14316 Filed 7–6–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–469–815]

Finished Carbon Steel Flanges From Spain: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that producers or exporters of finished carbon steel flanges (flanges) from Spain subject to this review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) June 1, 2019, through May 31, 2020. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable July 7, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marc Castillo or Mark Flessner, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of

Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0519 or (202) 482–6312, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 14, 2017, we published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty (AD) order on flanges from Spain.¹ On June 2, 2020, Commerce published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the *Order*.² Based on timely requests for administrative review, we initiated an administrative review of eight companies: (1) Aleaciones De Metales Sinterizados S.A.; (2) Central Y Almacenes; (3) Farina Group Spain; (4) Friedrich Geldbach GmbH; (5) Grupo Cunado; (6) Transglory S.A.; (7) Tubacero, S.L.; and (8) ULMA Forja, S.Coop (ULMA).³ On September 24, 2020, we identified ULMA as the sole mandatory respondent in this review.⁴ On February 11, 2021, and May 27, 2021, we extended the deadline for the preliminary results, by a total of 120 days.⁵ The deadline for the preliminary results of this administrative review is now June 30, 2021.

For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this administrative review, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁶ The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a

¹ *See Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from Spain: Antidumping Duty Order*, 82 FR 27229 (June 14, 2017) (*Order*).

² *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 85 FR 33628 (June 2, 2020).

³ *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 85 FR 47731 (August 6, 2020).

⁴ *See Memorandum, “Identification of Mandatory Respondent for the 2019–2020 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from Spain,”* dated September 24, 2020.

⁵ *See Memorandum, “Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from Spain: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 2019–2020,”* dated February 11, 2021; *see also* Memorandum, “Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from Spain: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 2019–2020,” dated May 27, 2021.

⁶ *See Memorandum, “Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from Spain: Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020,”* dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>.

Scope of the Order

The scope of the *Order* covers finished carbon steel flanges. Finished carbon steel flanges are currently classified under subheadings 7307.91.5010 and 7307.91.5050 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). They may also be entered under HTSUS subheadings 7307.91.5030 and 7307.91.5070. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes; the written description of the scope is dispositive.

A full description of the scope of the *Order* is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying these preliminary results, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Results of Administrative Review

We preliminarily determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period June 1, 2019, through May 31, 2020:

| Exporter or manufacturer | Weighted-average dumping margin (percent) |
|--|---|
| ULMA Forja, S.Coop | 6.43 |
| Aleaciones De Metales Sinterizados S.A | 6.43 |
| Central Y Almacenes | 6.43 |
| Farina Group Spain | 6.43 |
| Friedrich Geldbach GmbH | 6.43 |
| Grupo Cunado | 6.43 |
| Transglory S.A | 6.43 |
| Tubacero, S.L | 6.43 |

Non-Individually Examined Companies

For the weighted-average dumping margin for non-selected respondents in an administrative review, generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated

weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.” We preliminarily calculated a weighted-average dumping margin for ULMA that was not zero, *de minimis*, or based on facts available. Accordingly, we have preliminarily applied the weighted-average dumping margin calculated for ULMA as the weighted-average dumping margin for the non-individually examined companies.

Disclosure and Public Comment

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these preliminary results to the parties within five days after public announcement of the preliminary results in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review.⁷ Rebuttal briefs may be filed no later than seven days after case briefs are due and may respond only to arguments raised in the case briefs.⁸ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities.⁹ Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.¹⁰

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS. Requests should contain: (1) The party’s name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs.

An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by Commerce’s electronic records system, ACCESS, by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.¹¹

Unless otherwise extended, Commerce intends to issue the final

results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any briefs, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results of review, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rate

Upon issuing the final results, Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.¹² If a respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.50 percent) in the final results of this review, we intend to calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer’s examined sales and the total entered value of the importer’s sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). If the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* in the final results, or if an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, then we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regards to antidumping duties.

Consistent with its recent notice,¹³ Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. The final results of this administrative review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise under review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by ULMA for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹⁴

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements for estimated antidumping duties will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of this review for all shipments of flanges from Spain entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of

publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the companies under review, will be equal to the company-specific weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of the review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, no cash deposit will be required); (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior completed segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in a prior completed segment for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 18.81 percent,¹⁵ the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

Commerce is issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

¹⁵ See *Order*, 82 FR at 27229.

⁷ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii).

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.309(d); see also *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020) (*Temporary Rule*).

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

¹⁰ See *Temporary Rule*.

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

¹² See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

¹³ See *Notice of Discontinuation of Policy to Issue Liquidation Instructions After 15 Days in Applicable Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Proceedings*, 86 FR 3995 (January 15, 2021).

¹⁴ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

Dated: June 30, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Methodology
- V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021-14447 Filed 7-6-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-053]

Certain Aluminum Foil From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2019–2020

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily finds that exporters of certain aluminum foil (aluminum foil) from the People's Republic of China (China) sold subject merchandise in the United States at prices below normal value during the period of review (POR) April 1, 2019, through March 31, 2020. Additionally, Commerce is rescinding this review with respect to multiple companies. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results of this review.

DATES: Applicable July 7, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Chelsey Simonovich or Michael J. Heaney, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1979, or (202) 482-4475, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 8, 2020, Commerce initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on aluminum foil from China,¹ in accordance with

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 85 FR 34708 (June 8, 2020); see also *Certain Aluminum Foil from the People's Republic of China: Amended*

section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). On July 21, 2020, Commerce tolled the deadlines for issuing its preliminary results by 60 days.² On February 26, 2021, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, Commerce extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this review by 120 days, until June 29, 2021.³

The administrative review covers two mandatory respondents: (1) Jiangsu Zhongji Lamination Materials Co., (HK) Ltd.; Jiangsu Zhongji Lamination Materials Stock Co., Ltd.; Jiangsu Zhongji Lamination Materials Co., Ltd.; and Jiangsu Huafeng Aluminum Industry Co., Ltd (collectively, Zhongji);⁴ and (2) Jiangsu Alcha Aluminum Co., Ltd. (Jiangsu Alcha). The administrative review also covers 14 other companies that were not selected for individual examination. For details regarding the events that occurred subsequent to the initiation of the review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁵ A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as Appendix I to this notice.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to

Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: 83 FR 17362 (April 19, 2018) (Order).

² See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews," dated July 21, 2020.

³ See Memorandum, "Aluminum Foil from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated February 26, 2021.

⁴ Consistent with the methodology employed in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, we have continued to collapse Jiangsu Zhongji Lamination Materials Co., (HK) Ltd. (Zhongji HK), and Jiangsu Zhongji Lamination Materials Co., Ltd. (Jiangsu Zhongji), (collectively, Zhongji) and to treat these companies as a single entity. See *Antidumping Duty Investigation of Certain Aluminum Foil from the People's Republic of China: Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination and Accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum*, 82 FR 50858 (November 2, 2017), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 16–18, unchanged in *Certain Aluminum Foil From the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 83 FR 9282 (March 5, 2018). We find that record evidence supports treating each of these entities as a collapsed entity in this review. See Memorandum, "Zhongji Analysis for the Preliminary Results," dated June 29, 2021.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Aluminum Foil from the People's Republic of China; 2018–2019," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be found at <https://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the Order is aluminum foil from China. For a complete description of the scope of the Order, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁶

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Jiangsu Dingsheng New Materials Joint Stock Co., Ltd.; Hangzhou Teemful Aluminum Co., Ltd.; and Hangzhou Five Star Aluminum Co., Ltd. reported that they did not have any exports of subject merchandise during the POR.⁷ To date, we have found no evidence calling into question the no-shipment claims made by these companies; therefore, we preliminarily find that these companies had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. For additional information regarding these preliminary determinations, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Partial Rescission of Administrative Review

Section 351.213(d)(1) of Commerce's regulations provides that Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if all parties that requested a review withdraw their requests for review within 90 days of the publication date of the notice of initiation of the requested review. All parties timely withdrew their requests for administrative review of the following companies: (1) Baotou Alcha Aluminum Co., Ltd.; (2) Granges Aluminum (Shanghai) Co., Ltd.; (3) Guangxi Baise Xinghe Aluminum Industry Co., Ltd.; (4) Hangzhou DingCheng Aluminum Co., Ltd.; (5) Hangzhou Dingsheng Industrial Group Co. Ltd.; (6) Hangzhou Teemful Aluminum Co., Ltd.; (7) Huafon Nikkei Aluminium Corporation; (8) Jiangyin Dolphin Pack Ltd. Co.; (9) Luoyang Longding Aluminium Industries Co.,

⁶ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 2.

⁷ See Letter from Jiangsu Dingsheng New Materials Joint Stock Co., Ltd "No Shipment Letter for Jiangsu Dingsheng in the Administrative Review of Aluminum Foil from the People's Republic of China," dated July 8, 2020 Letter from Hangzhou Five Star, "No Shipment Letter for Hangzhou Five Star in the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Aluminum Foil from the People's Republic of China," dated July 8, 2020; Letter from Hangzhou Teemful, "No Shipment Letter for Hangzhou Teemful in the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Aluminum Foil from the People's Republic of China," dated July 8, 2020.