

pertinent to the reviews by June 2, 2021. However, should the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce’s final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce’s results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the Commission’s website at [https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook\\_on\\_filing\\_procedures.pdf](https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf), elaborates upon the Commission’s procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Determination.**—The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

**Authority:** These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 26, 2021.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2021–11501 Filed 6–1–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1251]

### Certain Cellular Signal Boosters, Repeaters, Bi-Directional Amplifiers, and Components Thereof (II); Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on Settlement; Termination of the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade

Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 9) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) terminating the investigation based on settlement. The investigation is terminated.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Amanda Fisherow, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3179. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On February 25, 2021, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Wilson Electronics LLC of St. George, Utah. 86 FR 11552–53 (Feb. 25, 2021). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain cellular signal boosters, repeaters, bi-directional amplifiers, and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of eleven patents, including U.S. Patent Nos. 7,486,929 (“the ‘929 patent”); 7,409,186 (“the ‘186 patent”); 8,755,399 (“the ‘399 patent”); and 8,849,187 (“the ‘187 patent”). *Id.* at 11553. The complaint, as supplemented, further alleged that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The Commission instituted three separate investigations, and defined the scope of the present investigation as whether there is a violation of section 337 based on the allegations of infringement as to the asserted claims of the ‘929, ‘186, ‘399, and ‘187 patents as to the accused products identified in the notice of investigation. *Id.* The notice of investigation named two respondents: Cellphone-Mate, Inc. d/b/a SureCall of Fremont, California; and Shenzhen SureCall Communication Technology Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen, China. *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not named as a party. *Id.*

On May 12, 2021, the parties jointly moved to terminate this investigation

based on a settlement agreement. On May 13, 2021, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation. The ID explained that the parties provided confidential and public versions of the settlement agreement. ID at 2. The parties also stated that there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, regarding the subject matter of this investigation. *Id.* The ID concluded that termination of the investigation is in the public interest. *Id.* No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on May 27, 2021.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 27, 2021.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2021–11593 Filed 6–1–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Executive Office for Immigration Review

[OMB Number 1125–0010]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comments Requested; Notice of Appeal to the Board of Immigration Appeals From a Decision of a DHS Officer

**AGENCY:** Executive Office for Immigration Review, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 30-Day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on March 3, 2021, allowing for a 60-day comment period.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until July 2, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to [www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain). Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

If you need a copy of the proposed information collection or additional information, please contact Lauren Alder Reid, Assistant Director, Office of Policy, Executive Office for Immigration Review, 5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2500, Falls Church, VA 22041, telephone: (703) 305-0289.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

**Overview of This Information Collection**

1. *Type of Information Collection:* Revision and extension of a currently approved collection.
2. *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Notice of Appeal to the Board of Immigration Appeals from a Decision of a DHS Officer.
3. *The agency form number:* EOIR-29 (OMB 1125-0010).
4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:*  
*Primary:* A party who appeals a decision of a DHS Officer to the Board of Immigration Appeals (Board).  
*Other:* None.

*Abstract:* A party affected by a decision of a DHS Officer may appeal that decision to the Board, provided that the Board has jurisdiction pursuant to 8 CFR 1003.1(b). The party must complete the Form EOIR-29 and submit it to the DHS office having administrative control over the record of proceeding in order to exercise its regulatory right to appeal.

5. *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* It is estimated that 1,664 respondents complete the form annually with an average of 30 minutes per response for completion.

6. *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* 832 annual burden hours.

If additional information is required contact: Melody Braswell, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: May 26, 2021.  
**Melody D. Braswell,**  
*Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.*  
 [FR Doc. 2021-11527 Filed 6-1-21; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4410-30-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act**

On May 25, 2021, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree (“Consent Decree”) with the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut in a lawsuit entitled *United States v. The Metropolitan District*, Civil Action No. 3:21-cv-0715.

In its Complaint, the United States, on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”), alleges that The Metropolitan District violated the Clean Air Act (the “CAA” or “Act”), 42 U.S.C. 7413, by violating the requirements for Standards of Performance for New Sewage Sludge Incineration Units, 40 CFR part 60, subpart LLLL. Those alleged violations include failing to submit required control and monitoring plans, conduct annual performance tests that comply with the CAA regulations, and achieve continuous compliance with operating parameter limits and air pollution emission limits from its sewage sludge incineration (“SSI”) units. The proposed Consent Decree in this case

requires payment of a civil penalty of \$298,000, and injunctive relief including: (a) Notification of a test plan, conducting a performance test, and submitting a test report related to the SSI units; (b) setting and meeting site-specific operating limits; (c) meeting emission limits and standards, and demonstrating initial and ongoing compliance with those limits and standards; (d) submitting an initial compliance report; (e) conducting annual testing; (f) submitting an annual compliance report; (g) submitting progress reports and semi-annual deviation reports; (j) conducting initial and annual air control device inspections and performing related repairs; (k) meeting annual operator training requirements; and, (l) installing a carbon monoxide emissions monitoring system and demonstrating compliance with carbon monoxide emission limits.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. The Metropolitan District*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12047. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email .....	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail .....	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the proposed Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the proposed Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$10.00 (25 cents per page