and the NTIA website, https://www.ntia.gov/, without change. All personal identifying information (for example, name, address) voluntarily submitted by the commenter may be publicly accessible. Do not submit confidential business information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.

Dated: May 27, 2021.

### Kathy D. Smith,

Chief Counsel, National Telecommunications and Information Administration.

[FR Doc. 2021-11592 Filed 6-1-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-60-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## **Patent and Trademark Office**

[Docket No.: PTO-P-2021-0010]

Submitting Patent Applications in Structured Text Format and Reliance on the Text Version as the Source or Evidentiary Copy

**AGENCY:** United States Patent and Trademark Office, Department of

Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) is in the process of transitioning to a system that supports submitting new patent applications in structured text, specifically DOCX format. Filing in structured text allows applicants to submit their specifications, claims, and abstracts in text-based format, thereby eliminating the need for applicants to convert applications into a PDF for filing. It also provides a flexible format with no template constraints and improves data quality by supporting original formats for chemical formulas, mathematical equations, and tables. The USPTO previously stated that for applications filed in DOCX, the authoritative document would be the accompanying PDF that the USPTO systems generate from the DOCX document. In response to public feedback, however, the USPTO now considers the DOCX document filed by the applicant to be the authoritative document. Accordingly, an applicant who files or has filed an application in DOCX may rely on that version as the source or evidentiary copy of the application to make any corrections to the documents in the application file. The USPTO will be hosting DOCX training sessions to provide more information, demonstrate how to file and retrieve DOCX files in Patent Center, EFS-Web, and PAIR, and answer any questions. Applicants can

also file test submissions through Patent Center training mode to practice filing in DOCX. In addition, we will be offering listening sessions to gather feedback and suggestions to further improve DOCX features.

**DATES:** Effective date: June 2, 2021. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark O. Polutta, Senior Legal Advisor, 571–272–7709, or Eugenia A. Jones, Senior Legal Advisor, 571–272–7727, of the Office of Patent Legal Administration, Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patents.

For technical questions related to submitting documents in DOCX format, please contact the Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 1–866–217–9197 (toll-free), 571–272–4100 (local), or *ebc@uspto.gov*. The EBC is open from 6 a.m. to midnight, ET, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The USPTO is in the process of transitioning to a system that supports submitting new patent applications in structured text, specifically DOCX format. Application documents submitted in DOCX format will facilitate the examination and publication processes. This notice provides information on structured text filing. Specifically, the USPTO now considers the DOCX documents filed by applicants to be the authoritative document, otherwise referred to as the source or evidentiary copy of the application, for purposes of determining the content of the application as originally filed, should a discrepancy be discovered. This notice does not require patent applicants to make any changes to their practices.

Currently, applicants may electronically file an application either by submitting PDF files or by submitting DOCX files. If an applicant submits DOCX files, the USPTO uses the DOCX files to generate PDF files prior to the actual filing of the application. The USPTO published a final rule on setting and adjusting patent fees on August 3, 2020. See Setting and Adjusting Patent Fees During Fiscal Year 2020, 85 FR 46932 (Aug. 3, 2020). In addition to establishing a fee for applications not submitted in a DOCX format, the response to comment 54 in the final rule stated that for applications filed in DOCX, the authoritative document will be the accompanying PDF that the USPTO systems generate from the DOCX document. See id. at 46957.

In response to public feedback, the USPTO has changed what will be the authoritative document. The USPTO is informing applicants that it now considers the DOCX documents filed by applicants to be the authoritative

document, otherwise referred to as the source or evidentiary copy of the application. This change applies to all patent documents submitted in DOCX format, including DOCX submissions made prior to this notice.

The source or evidentiary copy of the application is the version submitted to the USPTO by the applicant in one of the following formats: Paper, DOCX, or PDF when not accompanied by a DOCX version of the same. Applicants should not submit PDF versions they created when filing an application in DOCX, as they are unnecessary. If the applicant submits documents in DOCX along with PDF versions they created (not the autogenerated PDFs created by the USPTO), then the DOCX version will still be considered the source or evidentiary copy, and the applicant will be required to pay the non-DOCX surcharge fee.

Applicants can rely on the DOCX version as the source or evidentiary copy in order to make any corrections to the record when any discrepancies are identified between the source or evidentiary copy and the documents as converted by the USPTO. Accordingly, during the filing process, applicants will be advised to review the DOCX files before submission rather than reviewing the USPTO-generated PDF version, as set forth in the August 3, 2020, final rule.

However, applicants are advised to check the USPTO-generated versions as soon as practicable for any discrepancies or errors. Any discrepancies or errors that occur as a result of filing an application in DOCX format should be promptly brought to the attention of the USPTO. Applicants should initially contact the Patent EBC for investigation at 1-866-217-9197 (toll-free), 571-272-4100 (local), or ebc@uspto.gov. Depending on the situation, applicants may need to file a petition under 37 CFR 1.181 in order to have the issue reviewed and addressed. This is consistent with current USPTO procedures for documents filed in patent applications.

In this regard, the USPTO has a records retention schedule for documents it receives, including new patent applications and correspondence filed in patent applications. For example, applications filed in paper via mail or hand-delivery are scanned into the image file wrapper (IFW) or the Supplemental Complex Repository for Examiners (SCORE), as appropriate. In 2011, the USPTO established a one-year retention policy for patent-related papers scanned into the IFW or SCORE. See Establishment of a One-Year Retention Period for Patent-Related Papers That Have Been Scanned Into the Image File Wrapper System or the Supplemental Complex Repository for Examiners, 77 FR 3745 (Jan. 25, 2012). After the expiration of the one-year period, the USPTO disposes of the paper unless the applicant, patent owner, or reexamination party timely files a bona fide request to correct the electronic record of the paper in IFW or SCORE. DOCX submissions will be treated similarly. Therefore, the procedure to correct any discrepancies or errors that occur as a result of filing an application in DOCX format will align with the established procedure for an applicant, patent owner, or reexamination party to request corrections to the electronic record when there is an error caused by the USPTO in scanning papers into the

Applicants should promptly review the electronic record of an application and file any request to correct the electronic record based on the source or evidentiary copy as soon as possible after the document has been submitted to the USPTO. Applicants should not expect to have a request to correct the electronic record granted if the request is based on the source or evidentiary copy and it is filed more than one year after submission of the document. Documents submitted by applicants in PDF or DOCX in patent applications will be treated in a similar manner to papers that have been scanned into the IFW in that they may be disposed of after a period of time if they are the source or evidentiary copy.

The USPTO's procedures regarding national security classified documents are unaffected by this notice. National security classified documents must be filed in the USPTO in paper format via hand-delivery to Licensing and Review or by mail in compliance with 37 CFR 5.1(a) and Executive Order 13526 of December 29, 2009, or in electronic format via the Department of Defense Secret internet Protocol Router Network (SIPRNET). See section 115 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP, Ninth Edition, Revision 10.2019). National security classified documents filed electronically via SIPRNET are maintained at the USPTO in paper form; an electronic record of such documents is not maintained. Thus, all national security classified documents filed with the USPTO are maintained only in paper form, and the

paper copies of these documents are the source or evidentiary copies.

#### Andrew Hirshfeld,

Commissioner for Patents, Performing the Functions and Duties of the Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

[FR Doc. 2021-11256 Filed 6-1-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## **Federal Energy Regulatory** Commission

[Docket No. RP21-838-000]

# Occidental Permian Ltd., OXY USA WTP LP v. El Paso Natural Gas Company, LLC; Notice of Complaint

Take notice that on May 24, 2021, pursuant to Section 5 of the Natural Gas Act 1 and Rule 206 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.206 (2021), Occidental Permian Ltd. and OXY USA WTP LP (Complainants) filed a formal complaint against El Paso Natural Gas Company, LLC (Respondent), alleging that the Respondent's failure to waive and imposition of Critical Operating Condition charges and penalties for the period February 15, 2021 through February 17, 2021 is unjust and unreasonable, unreasonably punitive, and inconsistent with Commission policy and precedent, all as more fully explained in its complaint.

The Complainants certify that copies of the complaint were served on the contacts listed for Respondent in the Commission's list of Corporate Officials.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. The Respondent's answer and all interventions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. The Respondent's answer, motions to intervene, and protests must be served on the Complainant.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at http:// www.ferc.gov. Persons unable to file electronically may mail similar pleadings to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426. Hand delivered submissions in docketed proceedings should be delivered to Health and Human Services, 12225 Wilkins Avenue, Rockville, Maryland

In addition to publishing the full text of this document in the Federal Register, the Commission provides all interested persons an opportunity to view and/or print the contents of this document via the internet through the Commission's Home Page (http:// www.ferc.gov) using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. At this time, the Commission has suspended access to the Commission's Public Reference Room, due to the proclamation declaring a National Emergency concerning the Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19), issued by the President on March 13, 2020. For assistance, contact the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission at FERCOnline Support@ferc.gov, or calltoll-free, (886) 208-3676 or TYY, (202) 502-8659.

Comment Date: 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on June 14, 2021.

Dated: May 26, 2021.

### Debbie-Anne A. Reese,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2021-11577 Filed 6-1-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## **Federal Energy Regulatory** Commission

# **Combined Notice of Filings**

Take notice that the Commission has received the following Natural Gas Pipeline Rate and Refund Report filings:

Docket Number: PR21-47-000. Applicants: Columbia Gas of Maryland, Inc.

Description: Tariff filing per 284.123(b), (e)/: CMD Rates Effective May 1 2021 to be effective 5/1/2021. *Filed Date:* 5/24/2021.

Accession Number: 202105245095. Comments/Protests Due: 5 p.m. ET 6/ 14/2021.

Docket Number: PR21-48-000. Applicants: Columbia Gas of Ohio,

Description: Tariff filing per 284.123(b), (e)/: COH Rates Effective April 29 2021 to be effective 4/29/2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 717d.