

225. Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan
226. Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community
227. Samish Indian Tribe
228. San Carlos Apache Tribe
229. San Manuel Band of Mission Indians
230. San Pasqual Band of Diegueno Mission Indians
231. Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi-Yokut Tribe
232. Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Mission Indians
233. Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe
234. Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians
235. Scotts Valley Band of Pomo Indians
236. Seminole Nation of Oklahoma
237. Seminole Tribe of Florida
238. Seneca Nation of Indians of New York
239. Seneca-Cayuga Tribe of Oklahoma
240. Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community
241. Shawnee Tribe
242. Sherwood Valley Rancheria of Pomo Indians
243. Shingle Springs Band of Miwuk Indians
244. Shinnecock Indian Nation
245. Shoalwater Bay Indian Tribe
246. Shoshone-Bannock Tribes of the Fort Hall Indian Reservation of Idaho
247. Shoshone-Paiute Tribe of the Duck Valley Indian Reservation
248. Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate of the Lake Traverse Reservation
249. Skokomish Indian Tribe
250. Smith River Rancheria
251. Snoqualmie Tribe
252. Soboba Band of Luiseno Indians
253. Sokaogon Chippewa Community
254. Southern Ute Indian Tribe
255. Sprite Lake Tribe
256. Spokane Tribe of Indians
257. Squaxin Island Tribe
258. St. Croix Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin
259. St. Regis Mohawk Tribe
260. Standing Rock Sioux Tribe
261. Stillaguamish Tribe of Indians
262. Stockbridge-Munsee Community
263. Suquamish Tribe of the Port Madison Reservation
264. Susanville Indian Rancheria
265. Swinomish Indian Tribal Community
266. Sycuan Band of Diegueno Mission Indians
267. Table Mountain Rancheria
268. Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone Indians of Nevada
269. Thlopthlocco Tribal Town
270. Three Affiliated Tribes of the Fort Berthold Reservation
271. Timbisha Shoshone Tribe
272. Tohono O'odham Nation
273. Tolowa Dee-ni' Nation
274. Tonkawa Tribe of Oklahoma
275. Tonto Apache Tribe
276. Torres Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indians
277. Tulalip Tribes of Washington
278. Tule River Tribe
279. Tunica-Biloxi Indians of Louisiana
280. Tuolumne Band of Me-Wuk Indians
281. Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians
282. Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians
283. United Auburn Indian Community
284. Upper Sioux Community
285. Upper Skagit Indian Tribe of Washington
286. Ute Mountain Ute Tribe
287. U-tu-Utu-Gwaitu Paiute Tribe of Benton Paiute Reservation
288. Viejas Band of Kumeyaay Indians
289. Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head
290. Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California
291. White Earth Band of Chippewa Indians
292. White Mountain Apache Tribe
293. Wichita and Affiliated Tribes of Oklahoma
294. Wilton Rancheria
295. Winnebago Tribe of Nebraska
296. Wiyot Tribe of Table Bluff Reservation
297. Wyandotte Nation of Oklahoma
298. Yankton Sioux Tribe
299. Yavapai Apache Nation of the Camp Verde Indian Reservation
300. Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe
301. Yerington Paiute Tribe
302. Yocha-De-He Wintun Nation
303. Yurok Tribe
- National Indian Gaming Commission.
- E. Sequoyah Simermeyer,**
Chairman.
- Kathryn Isom-Clause,**
Vice Chair.
- Jeannie Hovland,**
Associate Commissioner.
- [FR Doc. 2021-10904 Filed 5-21-21; 8:45 am]
- BILLING CODE 7565-02-P**

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-465 and 731-TA-1161 (Second Review)]

Certain Steel Grating From China Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that revocation of the countervailing duty and antidumping duty orders on certain steel grating from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on October 1, 2020 (85 FR 61981) and determined on January 4, 2021 that it would conduct expedited reviews (86 FR 19286, April 13, 2021).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on May 19, 2021. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5195 (May 2021), entitled *Certain Steel Grating from China: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-465 and 731-TA-1161 (Second Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 19, 2021.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2021-10906 Filed 5-21-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1208]

Certain Electronic Devices, Including Computers, Tablet Computers, and Components and Modules Thereof; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting an Unopposed Motion To Terminate the Investigation in Its Entirety Based Upon Settlement; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission ("Commission") has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 33) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ"), granting an unopposed motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based upon settlement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3042. Copies of non-confidential

documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 10, 2020, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Nokia Technologies Oy and Nokia Corporation both of Espoo, Finland (collectively, "Nokia"). 85 FR 48263-64 (Aug. 10, 2020). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic devices, including computers, tablet computers, and components and modules thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1, 2, 5-7, 9-13, 15, 16, 18, 21-23, 25-29, 31, 32, 35-37, 39-47, 49, 52-54, and 56-62 of U.S. Patent No. 8,144,764 ("the '764 patent"); claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9-13, 15-18, 20-30, 32-41, 43-49, 51-60, and 62-65 of U.S. Patent No. 7,532,808 ("the '808 patent"); claims 1-7, 9, 15, 16, 18, 20-25, 27-30, and 50 of U.S. Patent No. 6,950,469 ("the '469 patent"); claims 1-15 and 20-23 of U.S. Patent No. 7,724,818 ("the '818 patent"); and claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 8,583,706 ("the '706 patent"). *Id.* The Commission's notice of investigation named the following nine Lenovo entities as respondents: Lenovo (United States), Inc. of Morrisville, North Carolina; Lenovo Group Limited of Quarry Bay, Hong Kong; Lenovo (Beijing) Limited of Beijing, China; Lenovo (Shanghai) Electronics Technology Co. Ltd. of Shanghai, China; Lenovo PC HK Limited of Quarry Bay, Hong Kong; Lenovo Information Products Shenzhen Co. Ltd. of Shenzhen, China; Lenovo Mobile Communication of Wuhan, China; Lenovo Corporation of Wujiang, China; and Lenovo Centro Tecnológico S. de RL CV of Nuevo Leon, Mexico (collectively, "Lenovo"). *Id.* at 48264. The notice of investigation also named the Office of Unfair Import Investigations ("OUII") as a party. *Id.*

On September 4, 2020, the ALJ granted a motion by Google to intervene in this investigation. Although Google sought intervention only as to the '706 patent, the ALJ granted intervention

without limitation, and the Commission determined not to review the ID. Order No. 5 (Sept. 4, 2020), *unreviewed by Comm'n Notice* (Sept. 29, 2020).

On March 12, 2021, ALJ granted a motion by Nokia to terminate the investigation as to (1) claims 1, 4-6, 9-13, 22, and 23 of the '818 patent; (2) claims 1, 2, 5-7, 9-13, 15, 16, 18, 21-23, 25-31, 35, 36, 39, 41-46, 48, 52, 53, 56, 58-60, and 62 of the '764 patent; (3) claims 1, 2-4, 6, 7, 9-13, 15, 17, 18, 20-30, 32-39, 41, 43-47, 49, 51-53, 56-60, and 63 of the '808 patent; (4) claims 2-4, 6, 7, 16, 20-25, 28, and 29 of the '469 patent; (5) all the asserted claims (1-16) of the '706 patent; and (6) Intervenor Google. The Commission determined not to review. Order No. 26 (Mar. 12, 2020), *unreviewed by Comm'n Notice* (Mar. 30, 2020).

On April 22, 2021, Nokia filed a motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based upon settlement. On May 3, 2021, OUII filed a response in support of the motion, and the ALJ found that the Lenovo respondents do not oppose the motion. ID at 1.

On May 4, 2021, the Chief ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 33) granting the motion. The subject ID found that the joint motion complies with Commission Rule 210.21(a)(2), which provides that "[a]ny party may move at any time to terminate an investigation in whole or in part as to any or all respondents on the basis of a settlement, a licensing or other agreement" 19 CFR 210.21(a)(2). ID at 2. The ID further found that in compliance with 19 CFR 210.21(b), "Nokia represents that there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied between the parties concerning the subject matter of the investigation." In addition, the parties provided confidential and public versions of the settlement agreement. The ID also found that "termination of this investigation does not impose any undue burdens on the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the United States economy, production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2)." *Id.* at 3 (citing 19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)). No one petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is hereby terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on May 18, 2021.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as

amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 18, 2021.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2021-10856 Filed 5-21-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Information Warfare Research Project Consortium

Notice is hereby given that, on April 29, 2021, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Information Warfare Research Project Consortium ("IWRP") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, A5G Networks, Inc., Westford, MA; Aarna Networks, Inc., San Jose, CA; Ace Electronics Defense Systems, APG, MD; Adaptive Intelligence [AI] Corporation, Banks, OR; Aegis Power Systems, Inc., Murphy, NC; Anritsu Company, Morgan Hill, CA; Applied Insight, LLC., Tysons, VA; Atlas Technologies, Inc., North Charleston, SC; Ball Aerospace, Broomfield, CO; Bascom Hunter Technologies, Inc., Baton Rouge, LA; BlackHorse Solutions, Inc., Herndon, VA; Buchanan & Edwards, Inc., Arlington, VA; Cobalt Solutions, Inc., Austin, TX; Concept Solutions, LLC., Reston, VA; CRFS, Chantilly, VA; Cypress International, Inc., Alexandria, VA; DESE Research Inc., Huntsville, AL; Dynamic Data Management, Inc., d/b/a Delta Bravo, Rock Hill SC; Endgame Systems, LLC., Arlington, VA; Entech Web Solutions INC., Dunellen, NJ; Excelerated Analytics, LLC., Woodbridge, VA; Excella, Inc., Arlington, VA; Feith Systems and Software, Inc., Fort Washington, PA; FUTURA Cyber, Inc., Dedham, MA; Giesler LLC., Alpharetta, GA; Global Planning Initiatives, LLC., Virginia Beach, VA; INDUS Technology, Inc., San Diego, CA; Kaizen Approach Inc.,