

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT—Continued

Docket No	72–1039.
Licensee	Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. (SNC).
Proposed Action	The NRC's review and approval of SNC's initial DFP submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b).
Environmental Impact of Proposed Action.	The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action, the review and approval of SNC's initial DFP, submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b), will not authorize changes to licensed operations or maintenance activities, or result in changes in the types, characteristics, or quantities of radiological or non-radiological effluents released into the environment from the ISFSI, or result in the creation of solid waste. Moreover, the approval of the initial DFP will not authorize any construction activity, facility modification, or other land-disturbing activity. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is a procedural and administrative action that will not have a significant impact on the environment.
Finding of No Significant Impact	The proposed action does not require changes to the ISFSI's licensed routine operations, maintenance activities, or monitoring programs, nor does it require new construction or land-disturbing activities. The scope of the proposed action concerns only the NRC's review and approval of SNC's initial DFP. The scope of the proposed action does not include, and will not result in, the review and approval of decontamination or decommissioning activities or license termination for the ISFSI or for other parts of Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that approval of the initial DFP for the Vogtle ISFSI will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and accordingly, the staff has concluded that a FONSI is appropriate. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required.
Available Documents	Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. ISFSI DFP, dated March 31, 2014. ADAMS Accession No. ML14091A008. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. EA for Final Rule-Decommissioning Planning, dated February 1, 2009. ADAMS Accession No. ML090500648. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Note to File, Re: ESA Section 7 No Effect Determination for ISFSI DFP Reviews, dated May 15, 2017. ADAMS Accession No. ML17135A062. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Review of the Draft EA and FONSI for the Farley and Vogtle ISFSI DFP, dated March 9, 2021. ADAMS Accession No. ML21057A315. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Final EA and FONSI for the Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.'s Initial DFP Submitted in Accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) for Vogtle Electric Generating, Plant Units 1 and 2, ISFSI, dated May 10, 2021. ADAMS Package Accession No. ML21089A221.

Dated: May 14, 2021.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John B. McKirgan,

Chief, Storage and Transportation Licensing Branch, Division of Fuel Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety.

[FR Doc. 2021–10596 Filed 5–19–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–91901; File No. SR–NYSEArca–2020–54]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Amendment No. 2 and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of a Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 2, To Amend NYSE Arca Rule 5.3–E To Exempt Registered Investment Companies That List Certain Categories of the Securities Defined as Derivative and Special Purpose Securities Under NYSE Arca Rules From Having To Obtain Shareholder Approval Prior to the Issuance of Securities in Connection With Certain Acquisitions of the Stock or Assets of an Affiliated Registered Investment Company

May 14, 2021.

I. Introduction

On August 28, 2020, NYSE Arca, Inc. (“Exchange” or “NYSE Arca”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) ¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, ² a proposed rule change to amend NYSE Arca Rule 5.3–E (Corporate Governance and Disclosure

Policies) to exempt issuers of certain investment companies, including Exchange Traded Funds (“ETFs”), from the requirement to obtain shareholder approval prior to the issuance of securities in connection with certain acquisitions of the stock or assets of an affiliated registered investment company. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on September 17, 2020.³ On October 30, 2020, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁴ the Commission designated a longer period within which to approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove the proposed rule change.⁵ On December 1, 2020, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change, which superseded the proposed rule change as originally filed.⁶ On December 15, 2020, the Commission published notice of Amendment No. 1

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 89834 (September 11, 2020), 85 FR 58090.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 90297, 85 FR 70701 (November 5, 2020). The Commission designated December 16, 2020, as the date by which the Commission shall approve or disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove, the proposed rule change.

⁶ Amendment No. 1 is available on the Commission's website at <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nysearca-2020-54/srnysearca202054.htm>.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

and instituted proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act⁷ to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1.⁸ On March 12, 2021, the Commission extended the period for consideration of the proposed rule change to May 15, 2021.⁹ On March 25, 2021, the Exchange submitted Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change, which superseded the proposed rule change, as amended by Amendment No. 1.¹⁰ The Commission has received no comments on the proposed rule change. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on Amendment No. 2 from interested persons, and is approving the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 2, on an accelerated basis.

II. Description of the Proposal, as Modified by Amendment No. 2

NYSE Arca Rule 5.3–E(d)(9) requires listed issuers to obtain shareholder approval in connection with the acquisition of the stock or assets of another company if: (i) Any director, officer, or substantial shareholder of the listed company has a 5% or greater interest (or such persons collectively have a 10% or greater interest), directly or indirectly, in the company or assets to be acquired or in the consideration to be paid in the transaction (or series of related transactions) and the present or potential issuance of common stock, or securities convertible into or exercisable for common stock, could result in an increase in outstanding common shares or voting power of 5% or more; or (ii) the present or potential issuance of common stock, or securities convertible into or exercisable for common stock (other than in a public offering for cash),

could result in an increase in outstanding common shares of 20% or more or could represent 20% or more of the voting power outstanding before the issuance of such stock or securities.

The Exchange proposes to exempt issuers of certain investment companies registered under the 1940 Act,¹¹ that are listed on the Exchange as Unit Investment Trusts, Investment Company Units, Exchange-Traded Fund Shares, Portfolio Depositary Receipts, Managed Fund Shares, Active Proxy Portfolio Shares and Managed Portfolio Shares¹² (collectively, “1940 Act Securities”), from having to comply with the shareholder approval requirement in NYSE Arca Rule 5.3–E(d)(9) in connection with the acquisition of the stock or assets of an affiliated registered investment company in a transaction that complies with Rule 17a–8 under the 1940 Act (Mergers of affiliated companies) (“Rule 17a–8”)¹³ and does not otherwise require shareholder approval under the 1940 Act or the rules thereunder or any other Exchange rule.¹⁴

Sections 17(a)(1) and (2) of the 1940 Act prohibit, among other things, certain transactions between registered investment companies and affiliated persons.¹⁵ Rule 17a–8 provides an exemption from Sections 17(a)(1) and (2) of the 1940 Act for certain mergers of affiliated companies, provided, among other things, that the board of directors of each investment company, including a majority of the directors that are not interested persons of the respective investment company or of any other company or series participating in the transaction, must determine that (i) participation in the merger is in the best interests of its respective investment company, and (ii) the interests of the company’s existing shareholders will not be diluted as a result of the transaction.¹⁶ In addition, under Rule 17a–8, an affiliated merger must be approved by a majority of the

outstanding voting securities of the merging company that is not the surviving company unless certain conditions are met.¹⁷

Because the board of each merging company must make an affirmative decision that the transaction is in the best interest of its respective company and that the transaction will not result in dilution for existing shareholders, the Exchange states that it believes the provisions of Rule 17a–8 protect against dilution and also provide safeguards for existing shareholders when the transaction involves a director, officer, or substantial shareholder of the listed company that has a significant interest in the company or assets to be acquired or the consideration to be paid and therefore may benefit from the transaction.¹⁸ In addition, the Exchange explains that Rule 17a–8 does not require the surviving company to obtain shareholder approval in connection with the merger of an affiliated company. The Exchange states that it therefore believes that it is appropriate to exempt issuers of 1940 Act Securities from having to comply with the shareholder approval requirement in NYSE Arca Rule 5.3–E(d)(9) in connection with the acquisition of the stock or assets of an affiliated registered investment company in a transaction that complies with Rule 17a–8, which the Exchange states can be both time consuming and expensive.¹⁹

Notwithstanding the proposed exemption, the Exchange states that other provisions of Exchange rules or the 1940 Act and the rules thereunder may require shareholder approval and will still apply.²⁰ The Exchange also states that the adopting release for Rule 17a–8 specifically noted that nothing in Rule 17a–8 relieves a fund of its obligation to obtain shareholder approval as may be required by state law or a fund’s organizational documents.²¹

III. Discussion and Commission Findings

After careful review, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 90675, 85 FR 83121 (December 21, 2020).

⁹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 91309, 86 FR 14778 (March 18, 2021).

¹⁰ In Amendment No. 2, the Exchange: (1) Revised the proposed rule text to state that the proposed exemption would apply in connection with the acquisition of the stock or assets of an affiliated registered investment company; (2) revised the proposed rule text to state that the proposed exemption would apply if the transaction does not otherwise require shareholder approval under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (“1940 Act”) and the rules thereunder; (3) added a statement that Rule 17a–8 under the 1940 Act does not relieve a fund of its obligation to obtain shareholder approval as may be required by state law or a fund’s organizational documents; (4) clarified the description of Rule 17a–8 and its requirements throughout the discussion; (5) added an explanation for why the proposal would not present concerns regarding voting dilution; and (6) made other clarifications, corrections, and technical changes. Amendment No. 2 is available on the Commission’s website at <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nysearca-2020-54/srnysearca202054.htm>.

¹¹ The Exchange states that approximately 88% of securities listed on the Exchange are issued by investment companies registered under the 1940 Act. See Amendment No. 2, *supra* note 10, at n.6.

¹² See NYSE Arca Rules 5.2–E(h)(3) (Unit Investment Trusts), 5.2–E(j)(3) (Investment Company Units), 5.2–E(j)(8) (Exchange-Traded Fund Shares), 8.100–E (Portfolio Depositary Receipts), 8.600–E (Managed Fund Shares), 8.601–E (Active Proxy Portfolio Shares) and 8.900–E (Managed Portfolio Shares) for a description of, and listing requirements applicable to, each category of security proposed to be exempted.

¹³ 17 CFR 270.17a–8.

¹⁴ See proposed Rule 5.3–E.

¹⁵ See 15 U.S.C. 80a–17(a)(1)–(2). See also the definition of “affiliated person” in the 1940 Act, 15 U.S.C. 80a–2(a)(3).

¹⁶ See Amendment No. 2, *supra* note 10; 17 CFR 270.17a–8(a)(2).

¹⁷ 17 CFR 270.17a–8(a)(3).

¹⁸ See Amendment No. 2, *supra* note 10, at 6. With respect to voting dilution, the Exchange cited to a prior filing in which it stated that holders of derivative and special purpose securities either do not have the right to elect directors at annual meetings or have the right to elect directors only in very limited circumstances. See *id.* at n. 11 (citing Securities Exchange Act Release No. 83324 (May 24, 2018), 83 FR 25076 (May 31, 2018) (SR–NYSEArca–2018–31)).

¹⁹ See Amendment No. 2, *supra* note 10, at 5–6.

²⁰ See *id.* at 8.

²¹ See *id.* at n. 5 (citing Investment Company Act Release No. 25666, 67 FR 48511 (July 24, 2002) at n. 18).

modified by Amendment No. 2, is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.²² In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,²³ which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest, and are not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Commission finds that the Exchange's proposal to exempt issuers of 1940 Act Securities from the obligation to obtain shareholder approval pursuant to NYSE Arca Rule 5.3–E(d)(9) when they issue securities in connection with the acquisition of the stock or assets of an affiliated registered investment company in a transaction that complies with Rule 17a–8 is consistent with the Act. The corporate governance standards embodied in the listing standards of national securities exchanges, in particular, play an important role in ensuring that exchange-listed companies observe good governance practices, including safeguarding the interests of shareholders with respect to certain potentially dilutive transactions.²⁴ The Commission believes the right of shareholders to vote in connection with

the acquisition of the stock or assets of another company in circumstances that may give rise to dilution or where there may be conflicts of interests is an essential and important one.²⁵ The Commission, however, believes that, because of the requirements set forth in Rule 17a–8, the requirement to obtain shareholder approval under the Exchange's rules may not be necessary for issuers of 1940 Act Securities in connection with a transaction that complies with Rule 17a–8 and does not otherwise require shareholder approval under the 1940 Act and the rules thereunder or any other Exchange rule.²⁶

Specifically, as discussed above, in the case of a merger of affiliated registered investment companies, Rule 17a–8 requires with respect to each registered investment company participating in the merger that the board of directors, including a majority of the directors who are not interested persons of the registered investment company or any other company or series participating in the merger, determines that (i) participation in the merger is in the best interest of the registered investment company, and (ii) the interests of the registered investment company's existing shareholders will not be diluted as a result of the merger.²⁷ The Commission believes these requirements, including the requirement that a majority of directors who are not interested persons of the registered investment company or any other company or series participating in the merger make such determinations, should act as a safeguard for shareholders against dilution and conflicts of interest.²⁸ As a result of the

requirements of Rule 17a–8, the risk of dilution to existing shareholders as a result of an issuance of shares by an issuer of 1940 Act Securities in connection with the acquisition of the stock or assets of an affiliated registered investment company that complies with Rule 17a–8 is sufficiently mitigated. For the same reasons, the Commission believes that the requirements of Rule 17a–8 should help to ensure that conflicts of interests are carefully considered by the board of directors and a majority of the directors who are not interested persons that are required to approve the acquisition, including in cases where shareholder approval would normally be required under the Exchange's rules if such transaction involves a director, officer, or substantial shareholder of the listed company that has an interest in the company or assets to be acquired or the consideration to be paid.²⁹

The proposed exemption from the shareholder approval requirements in NYSE Arca Rule 5.3–E(d)(9) is limited in scope. In particular, the proposed exemption from NYSE Arca Rule 5.3–E(d)(9) is limited to issuers of 1940 Act Securities only in connection with the acquisition of the stock or assets of an affiliated registered investment company if such transaction complies with Rule 17a–8. Furthermore, notwithstanding the proposed exemption from the shareholder approval requirement in NYSE Arca Rule 5.3–E(d)(9), other provisions of the Exchange rules, or the 1940 Act and the rules thereunder will still apply and may require shareholder approval.³⁰ Further, the adopting release for Rule 17a–8 specifically stated that nothing in Rule 17a–8 relieves a fund of its obligation to obtain shareholder approval as may be required by state law or a fund's organizational documents.³¹ Thus, an issuer of a 1940

²² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b). In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

²³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

²⁴ See, e.g., Securities Exchange Act Release No. 48108 (June 30, 2003), 68 FR 39995 (July 3, 2003) (approving equity compensation shareholder approval rules of both the NYSE and the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. n/k/a NASDAQ); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 76814 (December 31, 2015), 81 FR 820 (January 7, 2016) (NYSE–2015–02) (approving amendments to the NYSE Listed Company Manual to exempt early stage companies from requirements to obtain shareholder approval in certain circumstances); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 84287 (September 26, 2018) 83 FR 49599 (October 2, 2018) (NASDAQ–2018–008) (approving a Nasdaq proposal to change to the definition of market value for purposes of the shareholder approval rule and eliminate the requirement for shareholder approval of issuances at less than book value but greater than market value). See also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 58375 (August 18, 2008), 73 FR 49498 (August 21, 2008) (approving registration of BATS Exchange, Inc. noting that qualitative listing requirements including shareholder approval rules are designed to ensure that companies trading on a national securities exchange will adequately protect the interest of public shareholders).

²⁵ See, e.g., Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 86406 (July 18, 2019), 84 FR 35431, 35432 (July 23, 2019) (NYSE–2019–20); 57268 (February 4, 2008), 84 FR 7614, 7616 (February 8, 2008) (AMEX–2006–31).

²⁶ While Rule 17a–8 requires an affiliated merger to be approved by a majority of the outstanding voting securities of the merging company that is not the surviving company unless certain conditions are met, Rule 17a–8 does not include a requirement for a registered investment company that is the surviving company to obtain shareholder approval in connection with the merger of an affiliated company. See 17 CFR 270.17a–8(a)(3).

²⁷ 17 CFR 270.17a–8(a)(2).

²⁸ The Commission previously stated when approving amendments to Rule 17a–8 that “[t]he rule will continue to require that each fund's board (including a majority of disinterested directors) determine that the merger is in the best interests of the fund and will not dilute the interests of shareholders. These are critical determinations boards must carefully consider, particularly when the merger involves significant conflicts of interest.” See Investment Company Act Release No. 25666, July 18, 2002, 67 FR 48511 at 48513 (July 24, 2002). The Commission also stated that directors must request and evaluate any information reasonably necessary to their determinations, and

consider and give appropriate weight to all pertinent factors in making their findings under the rule, and in fulfilling the overall duty of care. *Id.* See also Rule 17a–8(a)(2)(ii).

²⁹ The Commission notes that it also previously approved an NYSE rule proposal that exempted registered investment companies under the 1940 Act from a broker vote prohibition for election of directors. In approving the proposal the Commission concluded that the different regulatory regime for registered investment companies supports the exemption. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 60215 (July 1, 2009), 74 FR 33293 (July 10, 2009) (SR–NYSE–2006–92), at 33303.

³⁰ See NYSE Arca Rule 5.3–E(d), which sets forth the Exchange's shareholder approval requirements, including, among others, for issuances involving a change of control and equity compensation. If shareholder approval is not required under one provision of the Exchange's rule, it may still be required under another provision of the rule.

³¹ See *supra* note 21.

Act Security may still be required to obtain shareholder approval in connection with the acquisition of the stock or assets of an affiliated company even if such transaction complies with Rule 17a-8 if such transaction would require shareholder approval under other applicable Exchange rules, another provision of the 1940 Act or the rules and regulations thereunder, state law, or a fund's organizational documents.

IV. Solicitation of Comments on Amendment No. 2 to the Proposed Rule Change

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning whether Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NYSEArca-2020-54 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEArca-2020-54. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are

cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEArca-2020-54, and should be submitted on or before June 10, 2021.

V. Accelerated Approval of the Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 2

The Commission finds good cause to approve the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 2, prior to the thirtieth day after the date of publication of notice of the filing of Amendment No. 2 in the **Federal Register**. In Amendment No. 2, the Exchange: (1) Revised the proposed rule text to state that the proposed exemption would apply in connection with the acquisition of the stock or assets of an affiliated registered investment company; (2) revised the proposed rule text to state that the proposed exemption would apply if the transaction does not otherwise require shareholder approval under the 1940 Act and the rules thereunder; (3) added a statement that Rule 17a-8 does not relieve a fund of its obligation to obtain shareholder approval as may be required by state law or a fund's organizational documents; (4) clarified the description of Rule 17a-8 and its requirements throughout the discussion; (5) added an explanation for why the proposal would not present concerns regarding voting dilution; and (6) made other clarifications, corrections, and technical changes.³² Amendment No. 2 provided greater clarity to the proposal. The changes and additional clarifying information in Amendment No. 2 strengthen the proposal and assist the Commission in evaluating the Exchange's proposal and in determining that it is consistent with the Act. Accordingly, the Commission finds good cause, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,³³ to approve the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 2, on an accelerated basis.

VI. Conclusion

It is Therefore Ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,³⁴ that the proposed rule change (SR-NYSEArca-2020-54), as modified by Amendment No. 2, be, and it hereby is, approved on an accelerated basis.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.³⁵

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2021-10606 Filed 5-19-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-91897; File No. SR-BOX-2021-11]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; BOX Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Establish Rule 7135 (Execution and Pro Rata Priority) and Rule 8055 (Lead Market Makers)

May 14, 2021.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on April 29, 2021, BOX Exchange LLC ("Exchange" or "BOX") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to establish Rule 7135 (Execution and Pro Rata Priority) and Rule 8055 (Lead Market Makers). The text of the proposed rule change is available from the principal office of the Exchange, at the Commission's Public Reference Room and also on the Exchange's internet website at <http://boxoptions.com>.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in

³² See *supra* note 10.

³³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

³⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

³⁵ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.