

State and county	Location and case No.	Chief executive officer of community	Community map repository	Online location of letter of map revision	Date of modification	Community No.
Loudoun	Town of Leesburg (21-03-0539P).	The Honorable Kelly Burk Mayor, Town of Leesburg 25 West Market Street Leesburg, VA 20176.	Department of Plan Review 25 West Market Street Leesburg, VA 20176.	https://msc.fema.gov/portal/advanceSearch .	Jul. 19, 2021	510091
Loudoun	Unincorporated areas of Loudoun County (21-03-0539P).	Mr. Tim Hemstreet, Loudoun County Administrator, P.O. Box 7000, Leesburg, VA 20177.	Loudoun County Planning and Zoning Department, 1 Harrison Street Southeast, Leesburg, VA 20175.	https://msc.fema.gov/portal/advanceSearch .	Jul. 19, 2021	510090
Stafford	Unincorporated areas of Stafford County (21-03-0356P).	Mr. Fred Presley, Stafford County Administrator, 1300 Courthouse Road, Stafford, VA 22554.	Stafford County Department of Public Works, Environmental Division, 2126 Jefferson Highway, Suite 203, Stafford, VA 22554.	https://msc.fema.gov/portal/advanceSearch .	Jul. 19, 2021	510154

[FR Doc. 2021-08696 Filed 4-26-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-12-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

[OMB Control Number 1653-NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities; New Collection: Flight Manifest/Billing Agreement

AGENCY: U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Department of Homeland Security.

ACTION: 60-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Homeland Security (DHS), U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment upon this proposed new collection of information. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, the information collection notice is published in the **Federal Register** to obtain comments regarding the nature of the information collection, the categories of respondents, the estimated burden (*i.e.*, the time, effort, and resources used by the respondents to respond), the estimated cost to the respondent, and the actual information collection instruments.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until June 28, 2021.

ADDRESSES: All submissions received must include the OMB Control Number 1653-NEW in the body of the correspondence, the agency name and Docket ID ICEB 2021-0005. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions related to this collection, call or email Lois J. Burrows, Office of the Chief Financial Officer, 202-732-4812, email: lois.j.burrows@ice.dhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

- (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

- (1) *Type of Information Collection:* New Collection.
- (2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Flight Manifest/Billing Agreement
- (3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Homeland Security sponsoring the collection:* U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.
- (4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief*

abstract: Primary: Individuals or households. The Flight Manifest/Billing Agreement collects information for the purpose of confirming Space Available passengers on any ICE-chartered flight and to facilitate the effective billing of those passengers for the full coach fare of their seats on the flight.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* The estimated total number of respondents for the information collection is 250 and the estimated hour burden per response is .25 hours.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* 63 annual burden hours.

(7) *An estimate of the total public burden (in cost) associated with the collection:* The estimated total annual cost burden associated with this collection of information is \$2,391.

Dated: April 21, 2021.

Scott Elmore,
PRA Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2021-08687 Filed 4-26-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-28-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R1-ES-2020-N003;
FXES11140100000-212-FF01E00000]

Habitat Conservation Plan for Lorraine Tveten Single-Family Residence, Thurston County, Washington

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, received an application from Ms. Lorraine Tveten for an incidental take permit (ITP) pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended. The ITP would

authorize “take” of the Yelm pocket gopher, incidental to otherwise lawful activities during construction of a single-family home and agricultural buildings in Thurston County, Washington. The application includes a habitat conservation plan (HCP) with measures to minimize and mitigate the impacts of the taking on the covered species. We have also prepared a draft environmental action statement for our preliminary determination that the HCP and permit decision may be eligible for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act. We provide this notice to open a public comment period and invite comments from all interested parties regarding the documents.

DATES: To ensure consideration, please submit written comments by May 27, 2021.

ADDRESSES: To request further information or submit written comments, please use one of the following methods:

- *Internet:* You may view or download copies of the HCP, draft environmental action statement, and additional information at <http://www.fws.gov/wafwo/>.
- *Email:* wfwocomments@fws.gov. Include “Lorraine Tveten HCP” in the subject line of the message.
- *U.S. Mail:* Public Comments Processing, Attn: FWS–R1–ES–2020–N003; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; Washington Fish and Wildlife Office; 510 Desmond Drive SE, Suite 102; Lacey, WA 98503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Romanski, Conservation Planning and Hydropower Branch Manager, Washington Fish and Wildlife Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (see ADDRESSES), telephone: 360–753–5823. If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf, please call the Federal Relay Service at 800–877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), received an application from Lorraine Tveten (applicant) for an incidental take permit (ITP) pursuant to section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA; 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*). The applicant requests an ITP for a period of 3 years that would authorize “take” of the threatened Yelm pocket gopher (*Thomomys mazama yelmensis*) incidental to construction of a single-family home on land the applicant owns in Thurston County, Washington. The application includes a proposed habitat conservation plan (HCP), which describes actions the applicant would

take to minimize and mitigate the impacts of the taking on the covered species. We have also prepared a draft environmental action statement (EAS) and low-effect screening form for our preliminary determination that the HCP and permit decision may be eligible for a categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA; 42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*).

Background

Section 9 of the ESA and its implementing regulations prohibit “take” of fish and wildlife species listed as endangered (16 U.S.C. 1538(a)(1)). Section 4 of the ESA allows FWS to issue regulations which prohibit the take of any fish and wildlife species listed as threatened, as well (16 U.S.C. 1533(d)). The take prohibition has been extended to the Yelm pocket gopher, although certain activities have been excluded (50 CFR 17.40). Under the ESA, the term “take” means to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct (16 U.S.C. 1532(19)). The term “harm,” as defined in our regulations, includes significant habitat modification or degradation that results in death or injury to listed species by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, feeding, or sheltering (50 CFR 17.3). The term “harass” is defined in our regulations as to carry out intentional or negligent actions that create the likelihood of injury to listed species to such an extent as to significantly disrupt normal behavioral patterns, which include, but are not limited to, breeding, feeding, or sheltering (50 CFR 17.3).

Section 10(a)(1)(B) of the ESA contains provisions that authorize the Service to issue permits to non-Federal entities for the take of endangered and threatened species caused by otherwise lawful activities, provided the following criteria are met: (1) The taking will be incidental; (2) the applicant will, to the maximum extent practicable, minimize and mitigate the impact of such taking; (3) the applicant will ensure that adequate funding for the plan will be provided; (4) the taking will not appreciably reduce the likelihood of the survival and recovery of the species in the wild; and (5) the applicant will carry out any other measures that the Service may require as being necessary or appropriate for the purposes of the plan. Regulations governing permits for endangered and threatened species are found at 50 CFR 17.22 and 17.32.

Proposed Action

Covered activities include construction of a single-family home and an agricultural building. The area covered under the HCP consists of a 0.33-acre (ac) project development site and a 0.75-ac conservation site on land owned by the applicant. Take of the Yelm pocket gopher would occur within the 0.33-ac development site. The impacts of the taking on the Yelm pocket gopher would be offset by permanently managing 0.75 ac of occupied habitat for the covered species on the applicant’s land, until and unless the take impacts are offset by purchasing equivalent credits from a Service-approved conservation bank for the Yelm pocket gopher.

The Service proposes to issue the requested 3-year ITP based on the applicant’s commitment to implement the HCP, if permit issuance criteria are met.

Public Comments

You may submit your comments and materials by one of the methods listed in **ADDRESSES**. We specifically request information, views, and suggestions from interested parties regarding our proposed Federal action, including adequacy of the HCP pursuant to the requirements for permits at 50 CFR parts 13 and 17, and adequacy of the EAS pursuant to the requirements of NEPA.

Public Availability of Comments

All written comments and materials we receive become part of the public record associated with this action. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personally identifiable information in your comments, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personally identifiable information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personally identifiable information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public disclosure in their entirety. Comments and materials we receive will be available for public inspection upon request of the Washington Fish and Wildlife Office (see **ADDRESSES**).

Next Steps

After public review, we will evaluate the permit application, associated documents, and any comments received

to determine whether the permit application meets the requirements of section 10(a)(2)(B) of the ESA. We will also evaluate whether issuance of the requested permit would comply with section 7 of the ESA by conducting an intra-Service consultation under section 7(a)(2) of the ESA on the proposed ITP action. If we determine that the project qualifies for a categorical exclusion under NEPA because neither the permit nor the permit issuance is anticipated to significantly affect the quality of the human environment, we will finalize the EAS. The final NEPA and permit determinations will not be completed until after the end of the 30-day comment period, and will fully consider all comments received during the comment period. If we determine that all requirements are met, we will issue an ITP.

Authority

We provide this notice in accordance with the requirements of section 10(c) of the ESA (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations (50 CFR 17.32), and NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations (40 CFR 1506.6 and 43 CFR 46.305).

Robyn Thorson,

Regional Director, Interior Regions 9 and 12, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 2021-08717 Filed 4-26-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4333-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

[NPS-WASO-NAGPRA-NPS0031785; PPWOCRADN0-PCU00RP14.R50000]

Notice of Inventory Completion: San Bernardino County Museum, Redlands, CA

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The San Bernardino County Museum has completed an inventory of human remains and associated funerary objects, in consultation with the appropriate Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian organizations, and has determined that there is a cultural affiliation between the human remains and associated funerary objects, and present-day Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian organizations. Lineal descendants or representatives of any Indian Tribe or Native Hawaiian organization not identified in this notice that wish to request transfer of control of these human remains and associated funerary objects should submit a written request to the San Bernardino County

Museum. If no additional requestors come forward, transfer of control of the human remains and associated funerary objects to the lineal descendants, Indian Tribes, or Native Hawaiian organizations stated in this notice may proceed.

DATES: Lineal descendants or representatives of any Indian Tribe or Native Hawaiian organization not identified in this notice that wish to request transfer of control of these human remains and associated funerary objects should submit a written request with information in support of the request to the San Bernardino County Museum at the address in this notice by May 27, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Tamara Serrao-Leiva, San Bernardino County Museum, 2024 Orange Tree Lane, Redlands, CA 92374, telephone (909) 798-8623, email tserrao-leiva@sbcm.sbcounty.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is here given in accordance with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), 25 U.S.C. 3003, of the completion of an inventory of human remains and associated funerary objects under the control of the San Bernardino County Museum, Redlands, CA. The human remains and associated funerary objects were removed from San Bernardino County, CA.

This notice is published as part of the National Park Service's administrative responsibilities under NAGPRA, 25 U.S.C. 3003(d)(3). The determinations in this notice are the sole responsibility of the museum, institution, or Federal agency that has control of the Native American human remains and associated funerary objects. The National Park Service is not responsible for the determinations in this notice.

Consultation

A detailed assessment of the human remains was made by the San Bernardino County Museum professional staff in consultation with representatives of the Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians of the Agua Caliente Indian Reservation, California; Cabazon Band of Mission Indians, California; Cahuilla Band of Indians [previously listed as Cahuilla Band of Mission Indians of the Cahuilla Reservation, California]; Morongo Band of Mission Indians, California; San Manuel Band of Mission Indians, California [previously listed as San Manuel Band of Serrano Mission Indians of the San Manuel Reservation]; Santa Rosa Band of Cahuilla Indians, California [previously listed as Santa Rosa Band of Cahuilla Mission Indians

of the Santa Rosa Reservation]; Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians of California; and the Mission Creek Band of Mission Indians, a non-federally recognized Indian group. The Augustine Band of Cahuilla Indians, California [previously listed as Augustine Band of Cahuilla Mission Indians of the Augustine Reservation]; Los Coyotes Band of Cahuilla and Cupeno Indians, California [previously listed as Los Coyotes Band of Cahuilla & Cupeno Indians of the Los Coyotes Reservation]; Ramona Band of Cahuilla, California [previously listed as Ramona Band or Village of Cahuilla Mission Indians of California]; Torres Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indians, California [previously listed as Torres-Martinez Band of Cahuilla Mission Indians of California]; and the following non-federally recognized Indian groups: Gabrielino/Tongva Indians of California Tribe; Gabrieleno/Tongva Tribal Council; San Gabriel Band of Mission Indians; and the Gabrielino/Tongva Nation were invited to consult but did not participate. Hereafter, all the Indian Tribes and Groups listed above are referred to as "The Consulted and Invited Tribes and Groups."

History and Description of the Remains

On June 25, 1971, human remains representing, at minimum, one individual were removed by University of California students from the Morongo Valley, at the mouth of Little Morongo Canyon in San Bernardino County, CA. These human remains are identified by a label reading "SBCM-141" and "SBCM-6234." The site was first recorded as CA-SBR-141B by Chase and Redtfel in 1963 and was subsequently recorded as CA-SBR-148 by Wilkie in 1971. It has been heavily looted over the years. The human remains were exhumed from a private homestead owned by Mrs. O.S. McKinney. The presence of burnt beads, skull fragments, and other bone fragments indicate a cremation. No known individual was identified. The 17 associated funerary objects are two lots of worked/unworked bone, two lots of charcoal, six lots of cremation beads, (including A1900-2784, A5-388, A5-389), one lot of glass beads, and six stone markers.

Ethnohistoric evidence indicates that the area around the Morongo Valley was occupied by the Serrano, though many Tribes lived and travelled through the area. Indeed, a pattern of shared villages or territories is evidenced by other nearby sites. One such example is Mission Creek just south of the Bobo Site, which is known historically to have been shared by the Morongo, Agua