

relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175 (Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments) because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this proposed rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please call or email the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This proposed rule involves a security zone to limit access near Electric Boat Shipyard. Normally such actions are categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60a of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1. A preliminary Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket. For instructions on locating the docket, see the

ADDRESSES section of this preamble. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to call or email the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places, or vessels.

V. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking, and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov>. If your material cannot be submitted using <https://www.regulations.gov>, call or email the person in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instructions.

We accept anonymous comments. Comments we post to <https://www.regulations.gov> will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and submissions in response to this document, see DHS's eRulemaking System of Records notice (85 FR 14226, March 11, 2020).

Documents mentioned in this NPRM as being available in the docket, and public comments, will be in our online docket at <https://www.regulations.gov> and can be viewed by following that website's instructions. We review all comments received, but we will only post comments that address the topic of the proposed rule. We may choose not to post off-topic, inappropriate, or duplicate comments that we receive. Additionally, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted or a final rule is published.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard is proposing to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

- 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 46 U.S.C. 70034, 70051; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6 and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1

- 2. In § 165.154, revise paragraphs (a)(2)(i) and (ii) to read as follows:

§ 165.154 Safety and Security Zones; Captain of the Port Long Island Sound Zone Safety and Security Zones.

* * * * *

(a) * * *

(2) * * *

(i) *Location.* All navigable waters of the Thames River, from surface to bottom, West of the Electric Boat Corporation Shipyard enclosed by a line beginning at a point on the shoreline 41°20'16" N, 72°04'47" W; then running West to 41°20'16.2" N, 72°04'58.0" W; then running North to 41°20'28.7" N, 72°05'01.7" W; then North-Northwest to 41°20'53.3" N, 72°05'04.8" W; then North-Northeast to 41°21'02.9" N, 72°05'04.9" W; then running to shoreline at 41°21'02.9" N, 72°04'58.2" W (NAD 83).

(ii) *Application.* Paragraphs (a), (e), (f) of § 165.33 do not apply to public vessels or to vessels owned by, under hire to, or performing work for the Electric Boat Division when operating in the security zone.

* * * * *

Dated: April 8, 2021

E.J. Van Vamp,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Long Island Sound.

[FR Doc. 2021–07651 Filed 4–12–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

39 CFR Part 3030

[Docket No. RM2021–2; Order No. 5862]

Market Dominant Products

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Commission is initiating a review seeking input from the public about what additional regulations promulgated by the Commission may be necessary to achieve the objectives of the Postal Accountability and

Enhancement Act (PAEA) particularly related to maximizing incentives to increase efficiency and reduce costs, maintaining high-quality service standards, and assuring financial stability (including retained earnings). This advance notice informs the public of the docket's initiation, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES:

Comments are due: July 14, 2021.

Reply comments are due: August 13, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 15, 2021, the Commission established this proceeding to seek public input regarding any additional regulations that may be necessary to achieve the objectives of the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act (PAEA)¹ over the longer-term, particularly for issues such as "maximizing incentives to increase efficiency and reduce costs, maintaining high-quality service standards, and assuring financial stability (including retained earnings)."² The Commission established April 15, 2021, and May 17, 2021, as the deadlines for filing comments and reply comments, respectively. Order No. 5816 at 15.

On March 23, 2021, the Postal Service published a ten-year plan announcing potential changes intended to achieve financial stability and service excellence.³ On April 1, 2021, the National Association of Presort Mailers (NAPM) filed a request for an extension of the deadline for initial comments in this proceeding.⁴ NAPM raises three reasons in support of its Request, which are generally premised on recent

developments resulting from the potential changes announced in the Postal Service's Plan. *See* Request at 1-2. First, NAPM asserts that it would be premature to discuss the issues raised in this proceeding without a fuller understanding of the potential changes announced in the Postal Service's Plan. *See id.* Second, NAPM observes that commenters may face difficulties in providing effective comments on issues related to the maintenance of high-quality service standards by the established deadline because the Postal Service also recently announced plans to reduce service standards for First-Class Mail and Periodicals.⁵ Third, noting that the Postal Service has recently initiated five proceedings in which mailing association stakeholders intend to participate,⁶ NAPM requests that the Commission consider how the resources of commenters affect their ability to meaningfully respond to multiple filing deadlines. *See* Request at 2.

The Association of Postal Commerce (PostCom) and the Public Representative support this Request.⁷ PostCom agrees with NAPM's assessment of the recent developments. PostCom Statement at 1. The Public Representative asserts that extending both the initial and reply comment deadlines would allow interested persons to better evaluate the advisability of adopting a performance incentive mechanism and the structure

⁵ *See* Request at 2; *see also* Postal Service's Plan at 52; Docket No. N2021-1, Notice of Pre-Filing Conference, March 23, 2021.

⁶ No specific proceedings are referenced in the Request other than Docket No. N2021-1; however, the Commission takes note of the following four proceedings recently initiated by the Postal Service: Docket Nos. RM2021-5, CP2021-81, MC2021-78, and RM2021-4. *See* Docket No. RM2021-5, United States Postal Service Application for Waiver Under 39 CFR 3030.286, March 26, 2021 (seeking waiver of rules for setting the First-Class Mail Letters 5-Digit Automation workshare discount in the next rate adjustment filing); Docket No. CP2021-81, USPS Notice of Changes in Class of General Applicability for a Competitive Product, March 26, 2021 (seeking to eliminate the 10:30 a.m. delivery option for the Priority Mail Express product and the associated fee for that option); Docket No. MC2021-78, United States Postal Service Request to Transfer Bound Printed Matter Parcels to the Competitive Product List, March 26, 2021 (seeking to transfer Bound Printed Matter Parcels from the Market Dominant product list to the Competitive product list); Docket No. RM2021-4, Petition of the United States Postal Service for the Initiation of a Proceeding to Consider Proposed Changes in Analytical Principles (Proposal Two), March 24, 2021 (seeking to change the assignment of cost pools in the First-Class Mail cost avoidance model).

⁷ Statement in Support of the National Association of Presort Mailers Request for Extension of Initial Comments Deadline, March 30, 2021 (PostCom Statement); Response of the Public Representative in Support of Request for Extension of Comment Deadlines, April 2, 2021 (Public Representative Response).

of such a mechanism in light of the Postal Service's recently announced service changes. Public Representative Response at 1.

Given the recent developments, this requested extension is reasonable and does not prejudice any party. Therefore, the Request is granted.

Comments are due July 14, 2021.

Reply comments are due August 13, 2021.

It is ordered:

1. The Request for Extension of Initial Comments Deadline, filed on April 1, 2021, is granted.

2. Interested persons may submit comments no later than July 14, 2021.

3. Interested persons may submit reply comments no later than August 13, 2021.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Erica A. Barker,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2021-07521 Filed 4-12-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2020-0602; FRL-10022-54-Region 5]

Air Plan Approval; Ohio; Ohio Permit Fee Rule Removal

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve under the Clean Air Act (CAA), a revision to Ohio's State Implementation Plan (SIP), submitted by the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) on November 12, 2020 and supplemented on February 11, 2021. OEPA is requesting to remove the Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) Permit Fees rule provisions from the Ohio SIP because they no longer exist and have been superseded by the fee system in Ohio's Title V permitting program and the Ohio Revised Code (ORC). OEPA rescinded the permit fee rules at the state level in 2003.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 13, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R05-OAR-2020-0602 at <http://www.regulations.gov>, or via email to damico.genevieve@epa.gov. For

¹ Public Law 109-435, 201, 120 Stat. 3198, 3204 (2006).

² Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Regarding Performance Incentive Mechanism, January 15, 2021, at 1 (Order No. 5816).

³ *See* United States Postal Service, Delivering for America: Our Vision and Ten-Year Plan to Achieve Financial Sustainability and Service Excellence, March 23, 2021, at 3, available at https://about.usps.com/what/strategic-plans/delivering-for-america/assets/USPS_Delivering-For-America.pdf (Postal Service's Plan).

⁴ Request for Extension of Initial Comments Deadline, April 1, 2021 (Request).