plan can be developed and submitted after your application has been reviewed and selected for funding. For additional information on the open licensing requirements please refer to 2 CFR 3474.20.

4. *Reporting:* (a) If you apply for a grant under this competition, you must ensure that you have in place the necessary processes and systems to comply with the reporting requirements in 2 CFR part 170 should you receive funding under the competition. This does not apply if you have an exception under 2 CFR 170.110(b).

(b) At the end of your project period, you must submit a final performance report, including financial information, as directed by the Secretary. If you receive a multiyear award, you must submit an annual performance report that provides the most current performance and financial expenditure information as directed by the Secretary under 34 CFR 75.118. The Secretary may also require more frequent performance reports under 34 CFR 75.720(c). For specific requirements on reporting, please go to *www.ed.gov/fund/grant/apply/appforms/appforms.html.*

5. *Performance Measures:* For the purposes of the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA) and reporting under 34 CFR 75.110, the Department has established a set of performance measures, including long-term measures, that are designed to yield information on the quality of the Personnel Development to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities program. These measures include: (1) The percentage of preparation programs that incorporate scientifically or evidence-based [13] practices into their curricula; (2) the percentage of scholars completing preparation programs who are knowledgeable and skilled in evidence-based practices for children with disabilities; (3) the percentage of scholars who exit preparation programs prior to completion due to poor academic performance; (4) the percentage of scholars completing preparation programs who are working in the area(s) in which they were prepared upon program completion; (5) the Federal cost per scholar who completed the preparation program; (6) the percentage of scholars who completed the preparation program and are employed in high-need districts; and (7) the percentage of scholars who completed the preparation program and who are rated effective by their employers.

In addition, the Department will gather information on the following outcome measures: (1) The percentage of scholars who completed the preparation program and are employed in the field of special education for at least two years; (2) the number and percentage of scholars proposed by the grantee in their application that were actually enrolled and making satisfactory academic progress in the current academic year; and (3) the number and percentage of enrolled scholars who are on track to complete the training program by the end of the project's original grant period.

Grantees may be asked to participate in assessing and providing information on these aspects of program quality.

6. *Continuation Awards:* In making a continuation award under 34 CFR 75.253, the Secretary considers, among other things: Whether a grantee has made substantial progress in achieving the goals and objectives of the project; whether the grantee has expended funds in a manner that is consistent with its approved application and budget; and, if the Secretary has established performance measurement requirements, the performance targets in the grantee's approved application.

In making a continuation award, the Secretary also considers whether the grantee is operating in compliance with the assurances in its approved application, including those applicable to Federal civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance from the Department (34 CFR 100.4, 104.5, 106.4, 108.8, and 110.23).

VII. Other Information

Accessible Format: On request to the program contact person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**, individuals with disabilities can obtain this document and a copy of the application package in an accessible format. The Department will provide the requestor with an accessible format that may include Rich Text Format (RTF) or text format (txt), a thumb drive, an MP3 file, braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disc, or other accessible format.

Electronic Access to This Document: The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. You may access the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations at *www.govinfo.gov.* At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the **Federal Register** by using the article search feature at *www.federalregister.gov.* Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

David Cantrell,

Deputy Director, Office of Special Education Programs, Delegated the authority to perform the functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for the Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services.

[FR Doc. 2021–07261 Filed 4–7–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

[13] For the purposes of this performance measure, ''evidence-based'' means, at a minimum, evidence that demonstrates a rationale (as defined in 34 CFR 77.1), where a key project component included in the project's logic model is informed by research or evaluation findings that suggest the project component is likely to improve relevant outcomes.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. IC21–13–000]

Commission Information Collection Activities (FERC–725l); Comment Request; Extension

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of information collection and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission or FERC) is soliciting public comment on the currently approved information collection, FERC 725L (Mandatory Reliability Standards for the Bulk-Power System: MOD Reliability Standards).

DATES: Comments on the collection of information are due June 7, 2021.

ADDRESSES: You may submit copies of your comments (identified by Docket No. IC21–13–000) by one of the following methods:

Electronic filing through *http://www.ferc.gov,* is preferred.

- *Electronic Filing:* Documents must be filed in acceptable native applications and print-to-PDF, but not in scanned or picture format.
- For those unable to file electronically, comments may be filed by USPS mail or by hand (including courier) delivery:

○ *Mail via U.S. Postal Service Only:* Addressed to: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Secretary of the

Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426.

○ *Hand (Including Courier) Delivery:* Deliver to: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 12225 Wilkins Avenue, Rockville, MD 20852.

Instructions: All submissions must be formatted and filed in accordance with submission guidelines at: *http://www.ferc.gov.* For user assistance, contact FERC Online Support by email at *ferconlinesupport@ferc.gov,* or by phone at (866) 208–3676 (toll-free).

Docket: Users interested in receiving automatic notification of activity in this docket or in viewing/downloading comments and issuances in this docket may do so at *http://www.ferc.gov.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ellen Brown may be reached by email at *DataClearance@FERC.gov,* telephone at (202) 502–8663.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: FERC–725L, Mandatory Reliability Standards for the Bulk-Power System: MOD Reliability Standards.

OMB Control No.: 1902–0261.

Type of Request: Three-year extension of the FERC–725L information collection requirements with no changes to the reporting requirements.

Abstract: MOD Reliability Standards ensure that generators remain in operation during specified voltage and frequency excursions, properly coordinate protective relays and generator voltage regulator controls, and ensure that generator models accurately reflect the generator's capabilities and equipment performance.

On May 30, 2013, the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) filed a petition explaining that the reliability of the Bulk-Power System benefits from ''good quality simulation models of power system equipment,'' [2] and that ''model validation ensures the proper performance of the control systems and validates the computer models used for stability analysis.'' NERC further stated that the Reliability Standards will enhance reliability because the tests performed to obtain model data may reveal latent defects that could cause ''inappropriate unit response during system disturbances.'' [2] Subsequently, on March 20, 2014,[1] the Commission approved Reliability Standards MOD–025–2, MOD–026–1, and MOD–027–1. These Standards were intended to address generator verifications needed to support Bulk-Power System reliability that would also ensure that accurate data is verified and made available for planning simulations.[2]

On May 1, 2014,[3] the Commission approved Reliability Standards MOD–032–1 and MOD–033–2. These Standards were to address ''system-level modeling data and validation requirements necessary for developing planning models and the Interconnection-wide cases that are integral to analyzing the reliability of the Bulk-Power System''.

MOD–025–2, MOD–026–1, MOD–027–1, MOD–031–3, MOD–032–1 and MOD–033–2 are all currently approved within the FERC–725L information collection. The reporting requirements associated with each standard will not change as a result of this extension request.

Type of Respondents: NERC-registered entities including generator owners, transmission planners, planning authorities, balancing authorities, resource planners, transmission service providers, reliability coordinators, and transmission operators.[4]

Estimate of Annual Burden: [5] The Commission estimates the annual public reporting burden [6] and cost for the information collection as:

RD20–4

- Elimination of the burden associated with the load-serving entity (LSE) function in Requirement R1 of proposed Reliability Standard MOD–031–3.[7] The NERC petition states as the load-serving entity is no longer a NERC registration category, NERC proposes to remove this entity from the applicability section of proposed Reliability Standard MOD–031–3 and remove reference to this entity in Requirement R1, Part 1.1, where it is listed as an ''Applicable Entity'' for purposes of Requirements R2 and R4.[8] Additionally, NERC proposes to strike the term ''Planning Authority'' from the applicability section of the standard and the explanatory text that follows. The preferred terminology for the responsible entity that coordinates and integrates transmission facilities and service plans, resource plans, and protection systems is ''Planning Coordinator.'' [9] This is a terminology change and will not result in a change in burden.

- Modification of the term ''Planning Authority'' to ''Planning Coordinator'' in proposed Reliability Standard MOD–033–2.[10] In the petition, NERC proposes to strike the term ''Planning Authority'' from the applicability section of the standard and the explanatory text that follows. The proposed change is intended to promote consistent use of ''Planning Coordinator'' throughout the Reliability Standards.[11] This is a terminology change and will not result in a change in burden.

- Reliability Standard MOD–031–3 (Demand and Energy Data).

- Reliability Standard MOD–033–2 (Steady-State and Dynamic System Model Validation).

[1] Final Rule in Docket No. RM13–16–000.

[2] NERC Petition for Approval of Five Proposed Reliability Standards MOD–025–2, MOD–026–1, MOD–027–1, PRC–019–1, and PRC–024–1 submitted to FERC on 5/30/2013.

[3] Order in Docket No. RD14–5–000.

[4] In subsequent portions of this notice, the following acronyms will be used: PA = Planning Authority, GO = Generator Owner, TP = Transmission Planner, BA = Balancing Authority, RP = Resource Planner, TSP = Transmission Service Provider, RC = Reliability Coordinator, TOP = Transmission Operator.

[5] ''Burden'' is defined as the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. For further explanation of what is included in the information collection burden, reference 5 Code of Federal Regulations 1320.3.

[6] Each of the five MOD standards in the FERC–725L information collection previously contained ''one-time'' components to their respondent burden. These one-time burden categories consisted primarily of activities related to establishing industry practices and developing data validation procedures tailored toward these reliability standards and their reporting requirements. None of the one-time burdens apply any longer, so they are being removed from the FERC–725L information collection.

[7] The burden associated with the current version of this standard, MOD–031–2, is included in FERC–725L.

[8] Standards Alignment with Registration Petition at 10.

[9] Standards Alignment with Registration Petition at 10.

[10] The burden associated with the current version of this standard, MOD–033–1, is included in FERC–725L.

[11] Standards Alignment with Registration Petition at 11.

PROPOSED CHANGES TO BURDEN DUE TO DOCKET NO. RD20–4–000 ADJUSTMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS [1]

Reliability standard & requirements	Number of respondents & type of entity (1)	Annual number of responses per respondent (2)	Annual number of responses (1) * (2) = (3)	Average burden hrs. per response (4)	Total annual burden hours (3) * (4) = (5)
RD20–4 Net Changes to FERC–725L, OMB Control No. 1902–0261					
MOD–031–3 (Demand and Energy Data) Develop summary in accordance w/R1, Subparts 1.5.4 and 1.5.5.—program decrease & adjustment/clarification [12].	–561 (DP, LSE, TP & BA) ...	1	–561	8	–4,488
MOD–031–3 (Demand and Energy Data) Develop data request in accordance w/R1 and R3 & Evidence Retention—adjustment/clarification [13].	113 (PC & BA)	1	113	8	904
MOD–031–3 (Demand and Energy Data) Develop and provide data in accordance w/R2 and R4 & Evidence Retention—adjustment/clarification [12].	381 (TP, BA & DP)	1	381	8	3,048
MOD–033–2 (Steady-State Dynamic System Model Validation) R2 Data Submittal [for R2]—adjustment.	–14 (RC & TOP) [14]	1	–14	8	–112
MOD–033–2 (Steady-State Dynamic System Model Validation), R1–R2, Evidence Retention, adjustment.	–14 (PC, RC & TOP) [15]	1	–14	1	–14
Net Changes for FERC–725L due to RD20–4.	.....	.....	–95 (net reduction) ...	.....	–662 (net reduction).

[1] The adjustments, due to normal industry fluctuations, are based on figures in the NERC registry as of April 10, 2020.

MOD–025–2 (VERIFICATION AND DATA REPORTING OF GENERATOR REAL AND REACTIVE POWER CAPABILITY AND SYNCHRONOUS CONDENSER REACTIVE POWER CAPABILITY)

	Number of respondents [16] (1)	Annual number of responses per respondent (2)	Total number of responses (1) * (2) = (3)	Average burden & cost per response (4)	Total annual burden hours & total annual cost (3) * (4) = (5)	Cost per respondent ($) (5) ÷ (1)
Attachment 2	1003 (GO)	1	1003	6 hrs.; $502.02 [17]	6,018 hrs.; $503,526.06	502.02
Evidence Retention	1003 (GO)	1	1003	1 hr.; $34.79 [18]	1003 hrs.; $34,894.07	34.79
Total	.....	.....	.....	.....	7,021 hrs.; $538,420.07.	

MOD–026–1 (VERIFICATION OF MODELS AND DATA FOR GENERATOR EXCITATION CONTROL SYSTEM OR PLANT VOLT/VARIANCE CONTROL FUNCTIONS)

	Number of respondents (1)	Annual number of responses per respondent (2)	Total number of responses (1) * (2) = (3)	Average burden & cost per response (4)	Total annual burden hours & total annual cost (3) * (4) = (5)	Cost per respondent ($) (5) ÷ (1)
Instructions for obtaining excitation control system or plant voltage/variance control function model.	201 (TP)	1	201	8 hrs.; $669.36 [17]	1,608 hrs.; $134,541.36 ..	669.36

[12] The estimates reflect a program decrease of 63 de-registered LSEs (and corresponding program decrease of 504 hrs.) related to Docket No. RD20–4–000, and an adjustment/clarification (decrease) of 498 DPs, TPs, and BAs (and corresponding decrease of 3,984 hrs.), not related to Docket No. RD20–4–000. The updated number of 381 DPs, TPs and BAs is listed in a new row clarifying their applicability with Requirements R2 and R4. Requirement R2 requires applicable entities to develop and provide data pursuant with Requirement R1.

[13] The 113 PCs and BAs were originally estimated in FERC–725A due to Order No. 693. However, the estimates and descriptions were not clearly spelled out, so we are clarifying them. [Some of this burden may still be in FERC–725A (and double counted temporarily).]

[14] The estimate is changing to 174 (from 188) due to normal industry fluctuation.

[15] The estimate is changing to 188 (from 194) due to normal industry fluctuation.

[16] The number of respondents for MOD–025–2/MOD–026–1/MOD–027–1/MOD–31–3/MOD–032–/MOD–033–2 are from the NERC compliance registry February 5, 2021.

[17] This wage figure uses the average hourly wage (plus benefits) for electrical engineers (Occupation Code: 17–2071, $70.19/hour) and managers (Occupation Code: 11–0000, $97.15/hour) obtained from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) (from *https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/naics2_22.htm*). The average used the following calculation: [$70.19/hour + $97.15/hour] ÷ 2 = $83.67/hour.

[18] The estimate uses the hourly average wage (plus benefits) for file clerks obtained from the Bureau of Labor Statistics: $34.79/hour (BLS Occupation Code: 43–4071).

MOD–026–1 (VERIFICATION OF MODELS AND DATA FOR GENERATOR EXCITATION CONTROL SYSTEM OR PLANT VOLT/VARIANCE CONTROL FUNCTIONS)—Continued

	Number of respondents	Annual number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden & cost per response	Total annual burden hours & total annual cost	Cost per respondent ($)
	(1)	(2)	(1) * (2) = (3)	(4)	(3) * (4) = (5)	(5) ÷ (1)
Documentation on generator verification.	501 (GO)[i]	1	501	8 hrs.; $669.36[17]	4,008 hrs.; $335,349.36 ..	669.36
Evidence Retention	668 (GO and TOP)	1	668	1 hr.; $34.79[18]	668 hrs.; $23,239.72	34.79
Total					6,284 hrs.; $493,130.44.	

MOD–027–1 (VERIFICATION OF MODELS AND DATA FOR TURBINE/GOVERNOR AND LOAD CONTROL OR ACTIVE POWER/FREQUENCY CONTROL FUNCTIONS)

	Number of respondents	Annual number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden & cost per response	Total annual burden hours & total annual cost	Cost per respondent ($)
	(1)	(2)	(1) * (2) = (3)	(4)	(3) * (4) = (5)	(5) ÷ (1)
Instructions for obtaining excitation control system or plant voltage/variance control function model.	201 (TP)	1	201	8 hrs.; $669.36[17]	1,608 hrs.; $134,541.36 ..	669.36
Documentation on generator verification.	501 (GO)[19]	1	501	8 hrs.; $669.36[17]	4,008 hrs.; $335,349.36 ..	669.36
Evidence Retention	668 (GO and TP)	1	668	1 hr.; $34.79[18]	668 hrs.; $23,239.72	34.79
Total					6,284 hrs.; $493,130.44.	

MOD–031–3 (FORMERLY MOD–031–2) (DEMAND AND ENERGY DATA), INCLUDED IN FERC–725L

Reliability standard MOD–031–3	Number and type of respondents	Annual number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Avg. burden & cost per response[20]	Total annual burden hours & total annual cost	Cost per respondent
	(1)	(2)	(1) * (2) = (3)	(4)	(3) * (4) = (5)	(5) ÷ (1)
(On-going) Develop summary in accordance with Requirement R1, Subparts 1.5.4 and 1.5.5.	616 (DP, TP and/or BA).	1	616	8 hrs.; $561.52	4,928 hrs.; $345,896.32 ..	561.52
MOD–031–3 Net Changes in RD20–4 (in the first table above).			–67		–536 hrs.; $37,621.84.	
New Total for MOD–031–3 for Renewal.			549		4,392 hrs.; $308,274.48.	

MOD–032–1 (VERIFICATION OF MODELS AND DATA FOR TURBINE/GOVERNOR AND LOAD CONTROL OR ACTIVE POWER/FREQUENCY CONTROL FUNCTIONS)

	Number of respondents	Annual number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden & cost per response	Total annual burden hours & total annual cost	Cost per respondent ($)
	(1)	(2)	(1) * (2) = (3)	(4)	(3) * (4) = (5)	(5) ÷ (1)
Data Submittal	1,418 (BA, GO, PA/PC, RP, TO, TP, and TSP).	1	1,418	8 hrs.; $561.52[20]	11,344 hrs.; $796,235.36 ..	561.52

[19] It is estimated that the applicable numbers of generator owner respondents used to calculate the public reporting burden for these standards MOD–026–1, MOD–027–1, MOD–032–1 and MOD–033–1 is half of total numbers of GO (501=1003/2) due to the higher applicability threshold for those Reliability Standards.

[20] The estimate uses the average hourly wage (plus benefits) of $70.19/hour for electrical engineers (Occupation Code: 17–2071) from the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

MOD–032–1 (VERIFICATION OF MODELS AND DATA FOR TURBINE/GOVERNOR AND LOAD CONTROL OR ACTIVE POWER/FREQUENCY CONTROL FUNCTIONS)—Continued

	Number of respondents	Annual number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden & cost per response	Total annual burden hours & total annual cost	Cost per respondent ($)
	(1)	(2)	(1) * (2) = (3)	(4)	(3) * (4) = (5)	(5) ÷ (1)
Evidence Retention	1,418 (BA, GO, PA/PC, RP, TO, TP, and TSP).	1	1,418	1 hr.; $34.79 [18]	1,418 hrs.; $49,332.22	34.79
Total					12,762 hrs.; $998,484.70.	

MOD–033–2 (FORMERLY MOD–033–1) (STEADY-STATE AND DYNAMICS SYSTEM MODEL VALIDATION)

	Number of respondents	Annual number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden & cost per response	Total annual burden hours & total annual cost	Cost per respondent ($)
	(1)	(2)	(1) * (2) = (3)	(4)	(3) * (4) = (5)	(5) ÷ (1)
Data Submittal	178 (RC and TOP)	1	178	8 hrs.; $669.36	1,424 hrs.; $119,146.08	669.36
Evidence Retention	243 (PA/PC, RC, and TOP).	1	243	1 hr.; $34.79 [18]	243 hrs.; $8,453.97	34.79
MOD–033–2 Net Changes in RD20–4 (in the first table above).			–28		–126.	
New Total for MOD–033–2 Renewal.			393		1,541 hrs.; $128,935.47.	

The total annual estimated burden and cost for the FERC–725L information collection is 38,724 hours and $2,960,375.60 respectively.

Comments: Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden and cost of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Dated: April 4, 2021.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2021–07226 Filed 4–7–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[10022–37–Region 1]

Notice of Availability of Draft NPDES Small Wastewater Treatment Facility General Permits in Massachusetts and New Hampshire

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of Availability of Draft NPDES General Permits MAG580000 and NHG580000.

SUMMARY: The Director of the Water Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency—Region 1 (EPA), is providing a Notice of Availability for the Draft National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Small Wastewater Treatment Facility General Permit (WWTF GP) for discharges to certain waters of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and the State of New Hampshire. This Draft NPDES WWTF GP (''Draft General Permit'') establishes effluent limitations and requirements, effluent and ambient monitoring requirements, reporting requirements, and standard conditions for 66 eligible facilities currently covered by either the existing General Permit or individual NPDES permits (see Attachment E of the Draft General Permit for a list of eligible WWTFs; 34 in Massachusetts and 32 in New Hampshire). The Draft General Permit is available on EPA Region 1's website at *https://www.epa.gov/npdes-permits/region-1-draft-small-wastewater-treatment-facilities-general-permit.* The Fact Sheet for the Draft General Permit sets forth principal facts and the significant factual, legal, methodological, and policy questions considered in the development of the Draft General Permit and is also available at this website.

DATES: Public comments must be received by May 10, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on the Draft General Permit may be mailed to U.S. EPA Region 1, Water Division, Attn: Michael Cobb, 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100, Mail Code 06–1, Boston, Massachusetts 02109–3912, or sent via email to: *Cobb.Michael@epa.gov.* Due to the COVID–19 National Emergency, if comments are submitted in hard copy form, please also email a copy to the EPA contact above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Additional information concerning the Draft General Permit may be obtained from Michael Cobb, U.S. EPA Region 1, Water Division, 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100, Mail Code 06–1, Boston, MA 02109–3912; telephone: 617–918–1369; email: *Cobb.Michael@epa.gov.* Following U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and U.S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM) guidance and specific state guidelines impacting our regional offices, EPA's workforce has been directed to telework to help prevent transmission of the